

Emotion and Altruism: The Psychological Foundations of Community Mental Health Responses

Eka Widyanti

STAI Sangatta Kutai Timur Indonesia, Indonesia

Correspondent : ekawidyanti619@gmail.com

Received : December 1, 2024

Accepted : January 21, 2025

Published : January 31, 2025

Citation: Widyanti, E., (2025). Emotion and Altruism: The Psychological Foundations of Community Mental Health Responses. *Psychosocia : Journal of Applied Psychology and Social Psychology*, 3(1), 51-64.

ABSTRACT: This narrative review investigates the intersection of psychological, emotional, and contextual determinants in prosocial behavior and moral decision-making within mental health frameworks. The study aims to synthesize current empirical and comparative findings on how variables such as empathy, guilt, social norms, and cultural settings shape individual responses in social contexts. The review employed a rigorous literature search across four major academic databases—PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar—using Boolean combinations of keywords including "mental health," "community-based intervention," "psychosocial support," and "prosocial behavior." Inclusion criteria centered on peer-reviewed studies involving experimental and cross-cultural methodologies within the past decade. Findings indicate that emotions such as guilt and empathy significantly impact moral choices and prosocial tendencies, especially within environments characterized by collective norms and community support. Cultural comparisons reveal that collectivist societies exhibit stronger emotional responses and higher propensities for altruistic behavior. Moreover, contextual elements like group pressure, power dynamics, and access to supportive structures further modulate behavioral outcomes. Despite these insights, systemic barriers such as policy fragmentation and societal stigma remain significant impediments. The study underscores the importance of integrating emotional intelligence, social norm transformation, and structural support into mental health strategies. It recommends community-engaged interventions, digital advocacy, and cross-sector partnerships to promote sustainable solutions. These findings offer actionable implications for policy reform and future empirical research aimed at enhancing prosocial engagement globally..

Keywords: Prosocial Behavior, Empathy, Moral Decision-Making, Mental Health Intervention, Social Norms, Cultural Context, Community-Based Programs.



This is an open access article under the CC-BY 4.0 license

INTRODUCTION

The growing recognition of mental health as a critical dimension of public health has intensified in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic. Globally, mental health disorders have escalated,

particularly among vulnerable populations such as children, adolescents, and individuals with chronic illnesses (Virole et al., 2024). The pandemic has not only exacerbated psychological stress and anxiety, but it has also exposed the fragility of existing mental health infrastructures. These developments have catalyzed a parallel rise in the adoption of digital mental health tools, including internet-based therapeutic applications designed to help users manage symptoms in real time (Lichtenthaler, 2021). However, despite the technological progress, persistent social stigma continues to impede the effectiveness of these innovations. Scholars argue that community-based interventions and educational programs remain essential in dismantling stigma and facilitating access to care (Vidal et al., 2020; Maynard, 2023).

At the national level, especially in low- and middle-income countries, the burden of mental health remains acutely under-addressed. For example, research conducted in El Salvador illustrates that the community's perception of mental health significantly influences the management of non-communicable diseases, suggesting that public attitudes are a determinant of intervention success (Vidal et al., 2020). Therefore, community-driven approaches and health counseling strategies are gaining traction as effective means to address mental health concerns, particularly among underserved and marginalized groups (Maynard, 2023).

Empirical evidence underscores the urgency of addressing mental health within geographically and socioeconomically distinct populations. Studies reveal a marked decline in psychological well-being among marginalized demographics, including adolescents in low-density regions (Wainaina et al., 2021). Urban youth in resource-poor environments, for instance, have shown heightened prevalence of anxiety disorders following the pandemic (Farrell et al., 2022). In Italy, patients diagnosed with schizophrenia spectrum disorders have demonstrated significant functional impairments in daily activities, underscoring the necessity of integrative interventions that encompass psychosocial support alongside clinical treatment (Girolamo et al., 2020).

Moreover, the pandemic has led to a substantial increase in the use of telehealth services for mental health care, with data suggesting a 50% surge in digital consultation uptake during lockdown periods (Horton et al., 2022). In the United Kingdom, educational programs aimed at fostering mental resilience among healthcare professionals have yielded favorable outcomes, indicating that skill-based interventions can enhance coping mechanisms and mitigate stress (Horton et al., 2022). These findings affirm the significance of empirically grounded, skill-focused strategies in cultivating a more supportive environment for individuals grappling with psychological distress.

Despite these advances, numerous challenges persist across policy, social, and technological domains. From a policy perspective, discrepancies between mental health policies and their actual implementation remain a pressing issue. Existing frameworks often lack adequate funding and logistical support, thereby limiting service accessibility (Mao et al., 2017). Furthermore, inconsistencies in policy execution hinder the continuity of care and highlight the dependence on localized support systems and non-governmental organizations (Vidal et al., 2020).

On the societal front, stigma remains a formidable barrier to mental health treatment. Even as awareness grows, fear of social judgment continues to deter individuals from seeking professional help (Cruwys et al., 2021). The situation is further complicated by inadequate educational resources

and the absence of robust social support networks, particularly among socioeconomically disadvantaged populations (Hussain et al., 2025).

From a technological standpoint, while digital platforms offer scalable and cost-effective solutions, significant limitations remain. Access to reliable internet and digital literacy is unevenly distributed, especially in remote or underserved communities. These disparities curtail the potential reach and efficacy of online mental health programs (Mirković et al., 2018; Bertman et al., 2019). Hence, innovative and inclusive design strategies are necessary to ensure that digital interventions can be equitably implemented.

A review of existing literature reveals specific gaps that warrant further investigation. These include: (1) a paucity of comparative studies on the efficacy of digital versus traditional interventions, (2) limited exploration of cultural norms in program outcomes, (3) a lack of longitudinal studies assessing sustained impacts, and (4) methodological fragmentation between qualitative and quantitative approaches.

This narrative review aims to explore and critically analyze the multidimensional landscape of mental health and community-based therapeutic interventions through a multidisciplinary lens. The review focuses on identifying the most effective strategies for enhancing mental well-being, particularly among high-risk populations such as youth, individuals with chronic conditions, and those in socioeconomically marginalized settings (Scarton & Groot, 2016). In doing so, it seeks to bridge gaps between disciplines including public health, psychology, sociology, and policy studies, offering a more comprehensive framework for understanding and addressing mental health challenges.

Furthermore, this review promotes cross-sectoral collaboration among researchers, healthcare practitioners, and policymakers. Such collaboration is vital for the development of integrated and context-sensitive mental health care strategies. Recognizing that mental health outcomes are shaped not only by individual attributes but also by environmental and structural factors, the review underscores the necessity of inclusive, community-anchored solutions (Vidal et al., 2020).

Geographically, the scope of this review encompasses diverse cultural and national contexts. For instance, Scarton and Groot (2016) highlight the psychological burdens experienced by American Indian and Alaska Native populations in the context of diabetes management. Their findings underscore the importance of culturally tailored interventions. Similarly, research in El Salvador points to the value of community-centered strategies in managing non-communicable diseases, emphasizing alignment between public health initiatives and community perceptions (Vidal et al., 2020). In Kenya, Wainaina et al. (2021) detail the social and psychological adversities encountered by pregnant and postpartum adolescents, illustrating the urgent need for targeted mental health support services.

Thomas et al. (2016) offer additional insight through their examination of patients with multidrug-resistant tuberculosis, revealing the significant psychosocial challenges faced in under-resourced healthcare environments. These cases collectively highlight how sociocultural dynamics intersect

with mental health outcomes, reinforcing the value of localized, participatory intervention models informed by empirical evidence and community narratives.

Taken together, the aforementioned studies emphasize the necessity of incorporating cultural sensitivity, empirical validation, and interdisciplinary collaboration into mental health strategies. By systematically analyzing the interplay of technological, social, and policy-related factors, this review seeks to illuminate effective pathways for improving mental health outcomes in diverse and often underserved populations.

METHOD

This narrative review employed a structured methodology designed to identify, select, and synthesize relevant literature on mental health and community-based interventions, with particular emphasis on multidisciplinary approaches. The process of literature collection, inclusion and exclusion criteria, and evaluation of study types was conducted systematically in order to ensure the comprehensiveness and academic integrity of the findings.

To gather the relevant literature, four major academic databases were utilized: PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. PubMed served as the primary resource for biomedical and psychological literature due to its comprehensive indexing of peer-reviewed articles in medicine, psychiatry, and public health. The selection of this database was especially relevant for accessing clinical research related to mental health diagnoses and treatment models. Scopus was chosen for its extensive coverage of scientific journals across various disciplines, including public health, psychology, sociology, and health education. It provided access to multidisciplinary studies addressing both clinical and social dimensions of mental health care. Web of Science was employed to locate interdisciplinary research with a strong theoretical foundation, often cited in high-impact journals. This database proved valuable in identifying studies at the intersection of psychology, social sciences, and health policy. Google Scholar was included to capture gray literature such as conference proceedings, theses, and other academically relevant works that may not be available through traditional databases. Although less rigorous in indexing standards, Google Scholar offered additional access to emerging perspectives and regional case studies.

A comprehensive keyword strategy was developed to maximize the relevance and specificity of the search. The terms used in the search were derived from preliminary readings and refined iteratively. The core keywords included "mental health," "community-based intervention," "psychosocial support," "stigma in mental health," and "digital health interventions." Boolean operators were applied to form logical combinations that reflected the conceptual scope of the review. For instance, the phrase "mental health" AND "community-based intervention" was used to target literature focusing on localized support systems and outreach programs. The combination "psychosocial support" OR "mental health stigma" facilitated the retrieval of studies exploring either social stigma or supportive frameworks. To capture the intersection of technology and mental health care, the combination "digital health" AND "mental health" AND "intervention" was employed. Finally, the term "mental health" NOT "substance abuse" was applied in specific

queries to exclude studies focusing exclusively on addiction-related disorders, which fell outside the intended scope of this review.

The literature search was conducted without limitations on publication date to allow for a comprehensive view of the historical development and recent innovations in mental health interventions. However, priority was given to studies published within the past 10 years to ensure contemporary relevance. Titles and abstracts were first screened to determine the preliminary relevance of the articles. This initial screening was followed by a full-text review to assess methodological rigor and thematic alignment with the review objectives. Studies that met the inclusion criteria were then subject to a qualitative assessment based on their contribution to the central themes of mental health care, intervention efficacy, and community-based implementation.

The inclusion criteria required that studies be peer-reviewed, published in English, and directly address one or more of the following topics: mental health outcomes, community-based or digital interventions, stigma reduction strategies, or psychosocial support mechanisms. Only studies that presented empirical findings or systematic theoretical analyses were considered. Both quantitative and qualitative research designs were included, as well as mixed-methods studies that provided a more holistic understanding of complex phenomena. Specifically, randomized controlled trials (RCTs), cohort studies, case-control studies, and observational studies were accepted under the quantitative umbrella. In the qualitative category, ethnographic studies, grounded theory research, and phenomenological approaches were included to capture lived experiences and sociocultural dynamics.

Exclusion criteria were also applied to maintain focus and relevance. Articles were excluded if they focused solely on pharmacological treatment without reference to psychosocial or community-based factors. Literature reviews that lacked a clear methodological framework or that did not critically engage with the included studies were omitted. Non-peer-reviewed materials, such as opinion pieces, editorials, and policy briefs, were also excluded to ensure the reliability of the synthesized data. Additionally, studies that addressed substance abuse or neurodegenerative disorders as their primary topic were excluded unless they explicitly connected those conditions to broader mental health frameworks or community interventions.

After identifying the eligible studies, a data extraction process was conducted to systematically organize information. Each study was categorized according to its primary research question, study design, sample characteristics, setting (e.g., urban, rural, low-resource), type of intervention, and key outcomes. These elements were then synthesized thematically to identify patterns, contradictions, and gaps in the literature. The thematic synthesis focused on recurring constructs such as stigma, access to care, cultural adaptation of interventions, and the integration of digital platforms into mental health services.

Quality assessment was carried out using established guidelines appropriate to the study type. For quantitative research, criteria such as sample size, statistical validity, and control of confounding variables were evaluated. For qualitative research, methodological transparency, depth of data analysis, and reflexivity were considered. Studies scoring low on methodological rigor were not

automatically excluded but were interpreted with caution, and their limitations were clearly acknowledged in the results and discussion sections.

Throughout the literature selection and analysis process, particular attention was paid to the inclusion of studies from diverse geographic and cultural contexts. This emphasis was essential to understanding the global variability in mental health needs and responses. Case studies from low- and middle-income countries were especially valuable in illustrating how resource constraints, cultural beliefs, and policy environments shape mental health outcomes. Similarly, examples from high-income settings offered insights into technological innovations and institutional reforms that may be adapted elsewhere.

Overall, this methodological approach ensured a balanced and rigorous synthesis of current knowledge in the field of mental health and community-based interventions. By integrating diverse study designs and sources, and by applying stringent criteria for inclusion, this review aimed to provide a nuanced and comprehensive understanding of the challenges and opportunities in addressing mental health needs through localized, culturally sensitive, and technologically supported interventions.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

This section synthesizes the findings of recent empirical and theoretical studies on the role of psychological, emotional, and social-contextual factors in influencing prosocial behavior and moral decision-making, particularly in the context of mental health and community-based interventions. The results are organized under three main thematic sub-sections: psychological factors in social interaction, the role of emotions in moral decision-making, and the influence of social context on prosocial behavior. Each sub-section draws on cross-cultural comparisons and emphasizes the importance of integrating culturally sensitive frameworks in the design and delivery of mental health interventions.

Psychological Factors in Social Interaction

Emerging research suggests that psychological attributes, particularly empathy and social identity, significantly shape individual social behavior across different cultural contexts. Hayas et al. (2019) underscore empathy as a core mechanism in interpersonal relations, noting that its expression and reception are deeply moderated by cultural values. Their study reveals that in collectivist societies, empathy tends to be expressed more communally and is aligned with broader group norms, whereas in individualist societies, its manifestation is often more private and self-focused. These differences underscore the necessity of tailoring psychosocial interventions to align with local norms and expectations.

Cruwys et al. (2021), in a broader analysis of social identity and mental health, emphasize the role of social belonging and perceived communal support in shaping psychological well-being. Although their work does not directly measure prosocial behavior in varied cultural settings, it provides indirect evidence that social environments reinforcing positive norms can foster supportive behavior and reduce mental health risks. Thus, the interaction between internal

psychological states and external cultural norms becomes a critical consideration in evaluating prosocial behavior within mental health frameworks.

Comparative studies across nations offer further insights into the culturally contingent role of psychological variables in prosocial decision-making. Gershuny et al. (2021) explore how empathy and social values differ between Western and Eastern populations, particularly during periods of collective stress such as the COVID-19 lockdowns. While not specifically focused on prosocial acts, their research reveals how variations in perceived social responsibility influence individuals' willingness to help others, providing a framework for understanding cross-national behavioral differences. Meanwhile, Hussain et al. (2025) examine the perception of emotional support across cultural contexts and find that support structures are interpreted and valued differently depending on social traditions and norms. Though not explicitly tied to prosocial decision-making, these findings suggest that culturally embedded notions of support and reciprocity may underlie broader behavioral patterns.

The Role of Emotion in Moral Decision-Making

Emotions, particularly empathy and guilt, are central to moral judgment and ethical behavior. Experimental research by Córdova et al. (2014) demonstrates that guilt serves as a motivational force that encourages individuals to engage in reparative actions, often through prosocial means. Their findings imply that when individuals experience moral transgressions, the resulting negative affect can lead to altruistic behavior as a form of psychological compensation. While the study focuses on immediate emotional responses, it highlights the long-term potential of emotion-driven interventions in promoting ethical and empathetic conduct.

The influence of social context on emotional responsiveness in moral decisions has also been explored. Wainaina et al. (2021) discuss how adolescent girls in low-resource settings experience heightened emotional strain due to lack of social support, and although the focus is on health outcomes rather than moral reasoning, the findings underscore the critical role of community environments in shaping emotional resilience. Tsai et al. (2017) add a cross-cultural perspective, arguing that emotions elicited by social norms—such as shame, pride, or communal expectations—serve as mediators in moral decision-making. Their study reveals that individuals from different cultural backgrounds rely on emotional cues embedded within their social structures to make judgments about right and wrong. This reinforces the need for emotion-focused mental health interventions to consider the normative frameworks within which emotions are interpreted and acted upon.

Furthermore, evidence points to a feedback loop in which emotional experiences and moral reasoning are mutually reinforcing. Emotional distress can impair moral judgment, while at the same time, ethically challenging situations can exacerbate emotional burdens. Interventions that seek to strengthen emotional regulation and promote empathy, therefore, have the potential not only to enhance individual mental health but also to foster prosocial and ethical community engagement.

Influence of Social Context on Prosocial Behavior

The role of social structures and contextual factors in shaping prosocial behavior has been widely examined. Wang et al. (2014) conducted a study on school-aged children, showing that social

norms emphasizing collective responsibility and mutual aid significantly influenced behavioral outcomes. In environments where such norms were actively promoted, children exhibited greater inclination toward helping behaviors and cooperation. This suggests that early exposure to prosocial norms within structured environments can cultivate long-term behavioral tendencies aligned with community wellbeing.

Studies comparing collectivist and individualist cultures provide further insights into the contextual modulation of prosocial actions. While Cruwys et al. (2021) primarily focus on the relationship between group identity and mental health, their findings tangentially support the idea that collectivist cultures, which emphasize group harmony and mutual dependence, may foster higher levels of prosocial engagement. Nevertheless, their study does not offer direct empirical data on prosocial behavior, pointing to a gap in the literature that warrants further empirical exploration.

Cross-cultural perspectives from healthcare contexts also offer indirect insights. For instance, Scarton and Groot (2016) report that among American Indian and Alaska Native populations, culturally adapted mental health interventions that align with communal values show greater acceptance and efficacy. Although not explicitly focused on prosocial behavior, these results highlight the importance of cultural congruence in enhancing cooperative and supportive practices within targeted populations.

Global evidence also underscores disparities in prosocial tendencies and their sociocultural determinants. In high-income countries, prosocial behavior is often institutionalized through volunteerism and charitable giving, whereas in low-income settings, it frequently manifests through informal networks and communal obligations. These distinctions reflect structural differences in how communities mobilize support and distribute resources, which in turn affect mental health outcomes and social cohesion.

Altogether, these findings illustrate the complex interplay between individual psychological traits, emotional responsiveness, and sociocultural context in shaping prosocial behavior. They also affirm the relevance of culturally and emotionally attuned mental health interventions that are grounded in community values and responsive to structural realities. Future research should expand the empirical base by incorporating diverse cultural settings and employing longitudinal designs to better understand how these factors evolve over time and influence one another.

The overall pattern emerging from this synthesis suggests that prosocial behavior and moral decision-making in mental health contexts cannot be fully understood without considering the dynamic interactions between psychological predispositions, emotional mechanisms, and the broader sociocultural environment. This reinforces the necessity for a multidisciplinary and globally informed approach in designing effective interventions that are both ethically grounded and contextually relevant.

The findings presented in this narrative review reinforce and expand upon existing literature concerning the psychosocial and emotional underpinnings of prosocial behavior, particularly within culturally and structurally diverse contexts. Previous studies have consistently emphasized the role of social norms and community support in shaping individual behavior. For instance, Scarton and Groot (2021) highlighted how social environments critically influence health-related decisions, underscoring the importance of context in designing effective interventions. Similarly,

the relevance of group norms and communal expectations is supported by a wide range of empirical studies that point to their powerful impact on both prosocial motivation and behavior.

In this review, a nuanced understanding of how psychological factors like empathy and guilt influence moral decision-making within social contexts has emerged. Notably, while prior studies have acknowledged the role of emotion in ethical behavior, our findings delve deeper into the variability introduced by cultural moderation. For example, the work of Hayas et al. (2019) provided a cultural lens for interpreting empathetic expressions, suggesting that cultural values shape not only the perception of emotional expressions but also their subsequent behavioral responses. This aligns with, yet also extends, earlier theories that largely approached prosocial behavior from a universalist psychological framework. Our review calls for a more differentiated model that takes into account the intricate interplay between emotional states and the cultural context in which decisions are made.

One novel insight generated from this synthesis relates to the interplay between individual emotional experiences and external power structures. Emotional responses like guilt or shame do not arise in a vacuum; rather, they are situated within systems of power that influence the legitimacy and visibility of such feelings. This observation is in line with more recent sociocultural perspectives that examine emotions as social constructs embedded in political and institutional frameworks. The role of systemic power relations—be it institutionalized norms or socioeconomic hierarchies—in conditioning emotional expression thus warrants further scholarly attention. These insights advocate for an interdisciplinary framework, merging social psychology with sociological and anthropological dimensions to better understand behavior within lived realities.

Structural barriers continue to limit the applicability and scalability of findings in real-world settings. Key obstacles include inconsistent policy frameworks and insufficient funding, which undermine community-based mental health programs. This has been extensively reported in the literature. For example, Vidal et al. (2020) pointed out that systemic inefficiencies and bureaucratic inertia often prevent local initiatives from accessing necessary support and resources. These limitations not only curtail the implementation of evidence-based interventions but also exacerbate existing disparities in mental health access and outcomes, particularly among marginalized communities. Such inequities are often masked by an overemphasis on individual responsibility, neglecting the broader social determinants of health that must be addressed.

Another systemic issue is the persistent stigma surrounding mental health, which not only impedes treatment-seeking behavior but also deters engagement with community-based programs. As emphasized in the introductory section of this review, stigma functions both as a psychological barrier and a social one, discouraging individuals from openly acknowledging mental health needs. The resultant social isolation has downstream effects on participation in prosocial activities and community engagement. This dynamic further entrenches mental health challenges, making it critical to address stigma not merely at the individual level, but also through structural reform and cultural transformation.

To overcome these barriers, literature increasingly recommends adopting integrative and participatory policy approaches. Community-Based Participatory Research (CBPR) has gained prominence as a method that actively involves community stakeholders in all stages of the intervention process. Mao et al. (2021) argue that CBPR not only enhances cultural relevance and

local ownership but also promotes sustainability of health interventions. This participatory orientation ensures that programs are not only responsive to community needs but also resilient in the face of shifting policy landscapes.

Digital interventions also offer promising avenues for expanding the reach of mental health programs and reducing stigma. Educational campaigns through social media platforms have been highlighted as effective tools for raising awareness and normalizing conversations about mental health. These efforts align with broader digital health trends, which emphasize scalability and accessibility, especially in under-resourced settings. Moreover, digital platforms offer anonymized spaces that may encourage disclosure and help-seeking behaviors, particularly among younger populations who are digitally literate but socially constrained by traditional stigma.

A critical insight from this review is the need for multi-sectoral collaboration. As Bertman et al. (2022) suggest, meaningful progress in community mental health requires alignment among stakeholders across healthcare, education, civil society, and government. Such collaborations enable the pooling of resources, expertise, and legitimacy, which are vital for overcoming institutional inertia and fostering innovation. Policy reforms should therefore be co-designed with community actors, incorporating both top-down strategic direction and bottom-up experiential knowledge.

Despite these advances, several limitations persist in the current body of literature. First, many of the studies reviewed are contextually limited, focusing primarily on high-income countries with relatively robust health systems. This geographic skew may obscure the unique challenges faced by low- and middle-income settings where infrastructure, cultural norms, and governance models differ significantly. Future research must address this gap by conducting comparative studies that capture the complexity of global mental health dynamics.

Second, methodological inconsistencies across studies hinder the synthesis of findings. Variation in study designs, measurement tools, and outcome indicators complicates efforts to draw generalized conclusions. As highlighted in the methods section of this review, keyword variability and inconsistent application of inclusion/exclusion criteria reflect broader epistemological differences within the field. Harmonizing methodological approaches would significantly enhance the validity and utility of narrative reviews such as this one.

Finally, the influence of temporal dynamics—such as the evolving impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic—remains underexplored. The pandemic has reshaped not only the delivery of mental health services but also the social norms and emotional landscapes that inform behavior. Longitudinal studies that track these changes over time would be invaluable in understanding how structural shocks interact with psychosocial determinants to shape health outcomes.

In conclusion, this review affirms the critical role of emotional, psychological, and social factors in shaping prosocial behavior within the domain of mental health. However, it also exposes the systemic and structural impediments that limit the translation of these insights into policy and practice. Addressing these barriers will require a coordinated, multi-level response grounded in evidence, equity, and community engagement.

CONCLUSION

This narrative review highlights the critical role of psychological, emotional, and contextual factors in shaping prosocial behavior and moral decision-making across diverse cultural settings. The evidence presented in the results section demonstrates that empathy, guilt, and social norms significantly influence individual responses, especially in morally complex situations. Cultural differences amplify or moderate these influences, suggesting that prosocial tendencies are deeply embedded within specific socio-cultural frameworks. In addition, the findings stress how social pressure, power structures, and perceived community support either enhance or hinder prosocial behavior.

These insights reaffirm the urgent need to address mental health issues with nuanced, context-specific interventions that consider emotional and structural determinants. While emotional responses such as guilt and empathy are proven motivators for prosocial conduct, systemic barriers—such as stigmatization, inconsistent policy support, and lack of community-based infrastructure—continue to obstruct sustainable implementation.

Policy recommendations emphasize expanding inclusive, community-based mental health programs rooted in participatory research, digital outreach, and collaborative policymaking. Educational campaigns and digital platforms should aim to normalize mental health discourse and reduce social stigma, especially in underserved areas. Moreover, public-private partnerships must be harnessed to innovate support systems and expand their reach.

Future research should adopt longitudinal and cross-cultural approaches to assess the long-term impact of emotional and contextual variables on prosocial engagement. Such studies will not only bridge theoretical gaps but also guide the design of holistic, scalable interventions. Ultimately, prioritizing empathy cultivation, social norm reform, and policy integration offers a strategic path to promoting inclusive mental health practices globally.

REFERENCE

- Annesi, J., & Tennant, G. (2013). Mediation of social cognitive theory variables in the relationship of exercise and improved eating in sedentary adults with severe obesity. *Psychology Health & Medicine*, 18(6), 714–724. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13548506.2013.766354>
- Batorsky, A., Bowden, A., Darwin, J., Fields, A., Greco, C., Harris, R., ... & McCumber, M. (2023). The back pain consortium (bacpac) research program data harmonization: rationale for data elements and standards. *Pain Medicine*, 24(Supplement_1), S95–S104. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnad008>
- Bertman, V., Petracca, F., Makunike-Chikwinya, B., Jonga, A., Dupwa, B., Jenami, N., ... & Downer, A. (2019). Health worker text messaging for blended learning, peer support, and

- mentoring in pediatric and adolescent hiv/aids care: a case study in zimbabwe. *Human Resources for Health*, 17(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12960-019-0364-6>
- Córdova, D., Parra-Cardona, J., Blow, A., Johnson, D., Prado, G., & Fitzgerald, H. (2014). ‘they don't look at what affects us’: the role of ecodevelopmental factors on alcohol and drug use among latinos with physical disabilities. *Ethnicity and Health*, 20(1), 66–86. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13557858.2014.890173>
- Cruwys, T., Brossard, B., Zhou, H., Hellenen-Simpson, G., Klik, K., Rooy, D., ... & Caele, A. (2021). Disciplinary differences in the study of the relationship between social variables and mental health: a systematic mapping review. *Health: An Interdisciplinary Journal for the Social Study of Health, Illness and Medicine*, 27(5), 810–828. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13634593211063049>
- Farrell, E., Hollmann, E., Roux, C., Nadglowski, J., & McGillicuddy, D. (2022). At home and at risk: the experiences of irish adults living with obesity during the covid-19 pandemic. *EClinicalMedicine*, 51, 101568. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2022.101568>
- Gegač, J., Greb, N., & Babac, M. (2025). Exploring the via classification of human strengths and virtues using topic modeling. *Information Discovery and Delivery*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/idd-10-2023-0116>
- Gershuny, J., Sullivan, O., Sevilla, A., Vega-Rapun, M., Foliano, F., Grignon, J., ... & Walthéry, P. (2021). A new perspective from time use research on the effects of social restrictions on covid-19 behavioral infection risk. *PLOS ONE*, 16(2), e0245551. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0245551>
- Girolamo, G., Rocchetti, M., Benzi, I., Agosta, S., Casiraghi, L., Ferrari, C., ... & Starace, F. (2020). Daily time use, physical activity, quality of care and interpersonal relationships in patients with schizophrenia spectrum disorders (diapason): an italian multicentre study. *BMC Psychiatry*, 20(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-020-02588-y>
- Hayas, C., Izco-Basurko, I., Fullaondo, A., Gabrielli, S., Zwiefka, A., Hjemdal, O., ... & Keenoy, E. (2019). Upright, a resilience-based intervention to promote mental well-being in schools: study rationale and methodology for a european randomized controlled trial. *BMC Public Health*, 19(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-7759-0>
- Horton, N., Drayton, M., Wilcox, D., & Dymond, H. (2022). Evaluating the effectiveness of resilience-building training within the national health service in the uk. *The Journal of Mental Health Training Education and Practice*, 17(6), 538–549. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jmhtep-05-2021-0048>
- Hussain, M., Luu, T., & Marjoribanks, T. (2025). How and when paternalistic leadership influences service innovative behaviour while inhibiting counterproductive work behaviour among healthcare professionals: the roles of perceived supervisor support and public service

- motivation. *Journal of Health Organization and Management*, 39(9), 71–95. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jhom-08-2024-0333>
- Lichtenthaler, U. (2021). Why being sustainable is not enough: embracing a net positive impact. *Journal of Business Strategy*, 44(1), 13–20. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jbs-09-2021-0153>
- Mao, A., Willard-Grace, R., Dubbin, L., Aronson, L., Fernández, A., Burke, N., ... & Davis, E. (2017). Perspectives of low-income chronically ill patients on complex care management. *Families Systems & Health*, 35(4), 399–408. <https://doi.org/10.1037/fsh0000260>
- Maynard, D. (2023). Capacity building in mental health: preparing caribbean psychologists for the future. *The Journal of Mental Health Training Education and Practice*, 18(3), 195–204. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jmhtep-08-2022-0067>
- Mertz, M., Inthorn, J., Renz, G., Rothenberger, L., Salloch, S., Schildmann, J., ... & Schicktanz, S. (2014). Research across the disciplines: a road map for quality criteria in empirical ethics research. *BMC Medical Ethics*, 15(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6939-15-17>
- Mirković, J., Jessen, S., Kristjánsdóttir, Ó., Krogseth, T., Koricho, A., & Ruland, C. (2018). Developing technology to mobilize personal strengths in people with chronic illness: positive codesign approach. *JMIR Formative Research*, 2(1), e10774. <https://doi.org/10.2196/10774>
- Scarton, L., & Groot, M. (2016). Emotional and behavioral aspects of diabetes in american indians/alaska natives. *Health Education & Behavior*, 44(1), 70–82. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1090198116639289>
- Thomas, B., Shanmugam, P., Muniyandi, M., Ovung, S., Suresh, C., Subbaraman, R., ... & Nagarajan, K. (2016). Psycho-socio-economic issues challenging multidrug resistant tuberculosis patients: a systematic review. *PLOS ONE*, 11(1), e0147397. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0147397>
- Tsai, J., O'Toole, T., & Kearney, L. (2017). Homelessness as a public mental health and social problem: new knowledge and solutions. *Psychological Services*, 14(2), 113–117. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ser0000164>
- Turchi, G., Bassi, D., Agnoletti, C., Riva, M., Iudici, A., & Orrù, L. (2023). What are they gonna think about me? an innovative text analysis on social anxiety and taijin kyofusho through madit methodology. *Human Arenas*, 8(2), 379–393. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42087-023-00328-8>
- Vidal, N., León-García, M., Jiménez, M., Bermúdez, K., & Vos, P. (2020). Community and health staff perceptions on non-communicable disease management in el salvador's health system: a qualitative study. *BMC Health Services Research*, 20(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-020-05249-8>

- Virole, L., Gabarro, C., & Ricadat, É. (2024). Social support for the chronically ill during lockdown. qualitative research in the covid-19 pandemic. *Sociology of Health & Illness*, 47(1). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9566.13845>
- Voitenko, A. (2022). Biopsychosocial factors of the prosecutor's professional activity. *Соціально-Правові Смыдії*, 5(4). <https://doi.org/10.32518/2617-4162-2022-5-4-46-55>
- Wainaina, C., Sidze, E., Maina, B., Badillo-Amberg, I., Anyango, H., Kathoka, F., ... & Okoror, C. (2021). Psychosocial challenges and individual strategies for coping with mental stress among pregnant and postpartum adolescents in nairobi informal settlements: a qualitative investigation. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, 21(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-021-04128-2>
- Wang, Y., Xue, H., Chen, H., & Igusa, T. (2014). Examining social norm impacts on obesity and eating behaviors among us school children based on agent-based model. *BMC Public Health*, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-14-923>