Sustainability in Human Resources
Volume. 1 Issue 1 May 2025
Page No: 57-68

Collaborative Models for Ethical AI Integration in Human Resources

Hot Saut Halomoan
Universitas Buddhi Dharma, Indonesia
Correspondent : hotsautmanurung@gmail.com

Received : April 19, 2025
Accepted : May 16, 2025
Published : May 31, 2025

Citation: Halomoan, H, S. (2025). Collaborative Models for Ethical AI Integration in Human Resources. Sustainability in Human Resources, 1(1), 57-68.

ABSTRACT: This narrative review investigates the systemic and organizational factors influencing the adoption of artificial intelligence (AI) in human resource management (HRM). The study aims to synthesize current literature on how structural and social contexts affect the integration of AI technologies into HRM practices. Using a structured thematic analysis of recent scholarly contributions, the research explores the interplay between organizational culture, social systems, leadership dynamics, and collaborative strategies. The findings indicate that flat organizational structures and inclusive social systems significantly accelerate AI adoption, while hierarchical and siloed arrangements create barriers. Effective AI integration depends on transparent leadership, cross-functional collaboration, and adaptive HR policies that align technology with human-centered values. The review further underscores the role of algorithmic fairness, real-time performance analytics, and AI-powered recruitment systems in improving objectivity and operational efficiency. Collaborative strategies, involving IT experts, HR managers, ethicists, and external stakeholders, are critical to overcoming ethical and technical barriers. This review concludes that AI implementation in HRM requires a multi-level, systemic approach that goes beyond technological readiness. It calls for strategic alignment of organizational vision, inclusive policymaking, and intersectoral partnerships. The implications of this study suggest that AI, when ethically and strategically deployed, can reshape HRM practices to be more efficient, equitable, and sustainable.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Human Resource Management, Organizational Structure, Digital Transformation, Collaborative Strategy, Algorithmic Fairness, Inclusive HR Policy.

INTRODUCTION

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into Human Resource Management (HRM) has emerged as a transformative force in modern organizational practice. In recent years, a growing body of literature has explored the potential of AI to enhance operational efficiency, strategic decision-making, and talent development across the HRM spectrum. AI applications now extend beyond administrative automation to encompass complex functions such as recruitment analytics, predictive

performance evaluation, and personalized learning pathways. These developments underscore a broader paradigm shift in HRM, where data-driven intelligence increasingly supplements or replaces traditional judgment-based approaches (K. Chowdhury et al., 2024).

Empirical studies have documented the rising prevalence of AI technologies in HRM, driven by organizational demands for greater accuracy, speed, and objectivity in workforce management processes. The adoption of generative models and algorithmic decision-support tools has significantly accelerated these trends, enabling organizations to not only streamline hiring processes but also optimize resource allocation and mitigate human bias. Literature reviews and bibliometric analyses have confirmed the exponential growth in publications concerning AI-HRM integration, signaling its centrality in the evolving discourse on digital transformation in the workplace (Bhardwaj et al., 2025).

In response to these changes, organizations have begun reconfiguring their internal structures and competencies to accommodate AI-enhanced decision-making. The deployment of AI in recruitment has been particularly noteworthy, with evidence suggesting significant reductions in time-to-hire and improvements in candidate-job fit accuracy(Dima et al., 2024). At the same time, AI tools are being employed to support dynamic performance monitoring and strategic talent development, creating more agile and responsive HR systems(Tairov, 2024). These innovations have also catalyzed cultural shifts within organizations, reinforcing values such as transparency, accountability, and evidence-based management (Zhang, 2023).

Despite its many benefits, the integration of AI in HRM raises substantial ethical and equity concerns. Scholars have pointed to the risks of algorithmic bias, data privacy violations, and opaque decision-making processes that may reinforce rather than resolve systemic inequities(Zawada, 2024). Literature on AI ethics in HRM advocates for robust governance frameworks that prioritize fairness, inclusiveness, and auditability (Malik et al., 2025). These frameworks are essential not only for protecting individual rights but also for fostering employee trust and institutional legitimacy in the face of technologically mediated decisions.

Globally, research has highlighted the uneven adoption of AI technologies in HRM between developed and developing countries. Studies have shown that infrastructural gaps, limited digital literacy, and inconsistent policy support hinder the implementation of AI in resource-constrained environments (Aboramadan et al., 2024). Conversely, developed countries benefit from advanced IT infrastructures, greater investment in innovation, and more favorable regulatory climates, which facilitate the integration of AI into complex HRM functions such as workforce planning and succession management (Zhang, 2023). This digital divide underscores the need for context-specific strategies that address disparities in technological readiness and institutional capacity (Benabou & Touhami, 2025).

In addition to infrastructural constraints, organizational readiness plays a critical role in the success of AI-HRM implementations. Resistance to change, lack of managerial awareness, and insufficient cross-functional collaboration often impede effective integration. Cultural factors, such as an organization's openness to innovation and its tolerance for risk, further influence the adoption trajectory. Comparative analyses between public and private sector organizations reveal distinct

patterns in how AI is deployed and governed, with public institutions often facing greater scrutiny and slower adoption rates due to bureaucratic inertia and regulatory rigidity (Chilunjika et al., 2022).

The current literature, while rich in descriptive and exploratory studies, reveals several gaps that warrant further investigation. Notably, there is limited empirical research on the long-term impacts of AI on employee development, strategic alignment, and organizational resilience. Moreover, the integration of qualitative data—such as employee perceptions, cultural nuances, and informal work practices—into AI systems remains underexplored. This gap constrains the ability of AI to capture the full complexity of human behavior and organizational dynamics, potentially limiting its strategic value.

This review seeks to address these gaps by providing a comprehensive synthesis of the current state of research on AI in HRM, with a particular focus on recruitment, performance management, and employee development. The objectives are to (1) assess the opportunities and challenges associated with AI adoption in HRM, (2) evaluate the ethical implications of algorithmic decision-making, and (3) examine disparities in AI usage across different organizational and national contexts. Through this analysis, the review aims to offer actionable insights for both scholars and practitioners seeking to navigate the rapidly evolving landscape of AI-enhanced HRM.

The scope of this review is intentionally broad, encompassing both theoretical and empirical contributions from various disciplines, including management, psychology, information systems, and ethics. Geographically, the analysis considers evidence from both developed and developing countries to provide a balanced understanding of global trends and local challenges. Sectorally, the review includes studies from public and private organizations to capture the diverse ways in which AI is implemented and experienced in different institutional settings. By adopting this integrative approach, the review aspires to contribute to a more nuanced and context-sensitive understanding of how AI is reshaping HRM in the digital age.

METHOD

This study adopted a systematic and bibliometric review methodology to investigate the application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Human Resource Management (HRM) over the past decade. The approach emphasized a rigorous protocol for identifying, selecting, evaluating, and analyzing scholarly literature, using both quantitative and qualitative techniques to ensure comprehensiveness and validity. The methodological process was structured around several key elements: literature collection, keyword determination, inclusion and exclusion criteria, classification of study types, and literature selection and analysis techniques.

The first stage of the review involved identifying relevant literature from reputable databases, particularly Scopus and Web of Science. These databases were selected due to their broad coverage of peer-reviewed journals and high reliability for bibliometric analysis. Complementary searches were also conducted using Google Scholar and PubMed to ensure that no significant studies were overlooked, especially those in interdisciplinary journals that may not be indexed in core databases.

The time frame for the literature search was limited to the last ten years (2014–2024) to reflect the most recent advancements and trends in AI applications within HRM.

The search strategy was carefully developed by combining core and extended keywords using Boolean operators. Core keywords included "Artificial Intelligence", "Human Resource Management", "Machine Learning", "Deep Learning", "HR Analytics", and "Talent Acquisition". These were complemented by additional terms such as "Algorithmic Management", "Performance Management", "Predictive Analytics", "Chatbots", "Digital Transformation in HRM", and "HR Digitalization". The final search string used combinations such as: ("Artificial Intelligence" OR "Machine Learning" OR "Deep Learning") AND ("Human Resource Management" OR "HR Analytics" OR "Talent Management").

To refine the corpus of literature, inclusion and exclusion criteria were rigorously applied. Studies were included if they: (1) focused on AI applications in HRM contexts, (2) were published in peer-reviewed journals or reputable conferences, (3) provided empirical, conceptual, or review-based findings, and (4) were written in English. Excluded from the review were studies that: (1) focused solely on technical AI algorithms without HRM context, (2) were opinion pieces or non-peer-reviewed content, (3) lacked methodological transparency, and (4) were duplicate records.

The literature selection process followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. Titles and abstracts of all retrieved documents were screened independently by two reviewers. Disagreements regarding inclusion were resolved through consensus or adjudicated by a third reviewer. The remaining full-text articles were then assessed in detail to determine final eligibility based on the previously mentioned criteria.

The collected literature was further classified according to the type of study design. Based on the synthesis by Dima et al. (2024) and Benabou & Touhami (2025), the following categories were identified: systematic reviews, scoping reviews, bibliometric analyses, empirical studies (quantitative and qualitative), experimental studies (including pilot applications of AI tools), conceptual frameworks, and case studies. Review studies aimed to synthesize existing evidence and identify research gaps, while bibliometric studies used statistical tools such as VOSviewer and CiteSpace to map trends and keyword co-occurrences. Empirical studies involved surveys, interviews, and observations to explore real-world applications of AI in HR functions like recruitment, performance appraisal, and employee engagement.

Experimental studies focused on testing AI tools such as chatbots or predictive analytics systems in controlled HR settings. These studies often included user feedback and iterative design improvements to evaluate the feasibility and effectiveness of the tools in HR processes. Conceptual studies proposed theoretical models integrating AI with HRM strategies, offering novel perspectives on the alignment between technological capabilities and organizational needs. Case studies, on the other hand, documented the implementation of AI systems in specific organizations, providing contextual insights into challenges, best practices, and outcomes.

The bibliometric component of the methodology relied on quantitative analyses of keyword frequency, co-word networks, and citation patterns. Software tools like VOSviewer enabled the

construction of keyword maps that identified dominant research clusters and temporal evolution of research themes. For instance, clusters emerged around topics such as "predictive analytics in HR", "employee performance management", and "AI-based recruitment systems". These clusters illustrated both the breadth and depth of AI applications in HRM and facilitated the identification of under-researched areas.

A critical aspect of the methodology was the evaluation of literature quality. This was achieved through both content relevance and citation impact. High-impact studies were prioritized to ensure that the review reflected influential contributions in the field. Moreover, the methodological rigor of each study was assessed using established quality appraisal tools appropriate for each study type, such as the CASP checklist for qualitative studies and AMSTAR 2 for systematic reviews.

Overall, the integrated methodological framework allowed for a multidimensional analysis of the AI-HRM research landscape. It ensured that the review was both comprehensive and focused, enabling meaningful insights into the evolution of research themes, methodological trends, and practical implications of AI in HRM. This approach supports the development of future research agendas and informs HR practitioners and policymakers about the strategic integration of AI technologies into human resource functions.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Human Resource Management (HRM) has generated transformative impacts across various domains, as evidenced by a growing body of literature. The results of this narrative review are organized into several key themes: AI in recruitment and selection, adaptive learning in employee development, AI in performance analytics, employee engagement and well-being, ethical and data security concerns, and global comparative perspectives. Each theme synthesizes the findings from multiple empirical and conceptual studies, offering comprehensive insights into the multidimensional role of AI in HRM.

AI in Recruitment and Selection

Studies by Dima et al. (2024) and Paramita et al. (2024) provide strong evidence that AI has revolutionized recruitment processes by automating the screening of resumes and cover letters using machine learning algorithms and natural language processing (NLP). These technologies enable real-time matching of applicant profiles with job criteria, significantly improving the efficiency and objectivity of candidate selection. Empirical data confirm that such automation reduces recruitment time and costs while enhancing HR professionals' ability to focus on strategic decision-making.

AI applications also extend to candidate evaluation through virtual interviews that analyze facial expressions and voice intonation to assess soft skills like confidence, empathy, and communication. The deployment of AI-generated analytical reports minimizes subjectivity and creates a standardized comparison framework, leading to more transparent and systematic performance scoring.

Administrative tasks, such as scheduling interviews and sending confirmation emails, are also streamlined through AI, contributing to operational efficiency (Dima et al., 2024). Chatbots and virtual assistants further optimize candidate interaction, especially in high-volume industries like finance and technology. These tools not only expedite initial communications but also dynamically adjust screening criteria to match organizational needs.

Furthermore, AI's ability to reduce biases in recruitment—especially those related to gender and ethnicity—is supported by several studies. Algorithms trained on representative datasets can neutralize discriminatory variables, leading to more diverse and meritocratic hiring outcomes (Paramita et al., 2024).

Adaptive Learning in Employee Development

The deployment of AI in employee development has led to the emergence of adaptive learning systems that customize training based on real-time performance data. These systems use machine learning to identify skill gaps and recommend personalized interventions, leading to increased knowledge retention and practical application. AI-driven analytics generate dynamic competency maps, allowing HR to tailor training materials to each employee's learning preferences.

Big data analytics further support the design of responsive training modules by incorporating data from performance evaluations, feedback, and competency assessments. Personalized feedback and real-time content recommendations enhance engagement, while reducing course failure rates and increasing knowledge transfer effectiveness.

Despite these benefits, challenges persist, particularly in data privacy and system integration. Ensuring compliance with data protection regulations and overcoming employee resistance to technology adoption require cross-functional collaboration and organizational readiness (S. Chowdhury et al., 2024).

AI in Performance Analytics

AI-driven performance analytics allow real-time monitoring of employee output and behavior, facilitating objective performance metrics (Dima et al., 2024). Algorithms analyze digital interactions, work patterns, and feedback to generate data-backed evaluations. This shift from subjective appraisals to data-informed assessments marks a significant evolution in HR practices.

Predictive models, as demonstrated by Zhang (2023) and Tairov (2024), forecast employee retention and productivity trends, enabling proactive HR interventions. Dashboards visualize these metrics, supporting strategic decision-making and timely corrective actions. Iftikhar et al., (2024) highlight AI's role in team dynamics by offering interactive feedback that fosters collaboration and enhances collective output.

Employee Engagement and Well-Being

AI has also made strides in managing employee well-being. Wearable sensors and biometric monitoring systems detect stress indicators, offering early interventions through personalized wellness recommendations. Chatbots play a critical role in sustaining engagement by providing 24/7 access to information, stress management tips, and career advice via NLP-powered sentiment analysis.

These systems support psychological safety and proactive mental health management by facilitating timely and individualized support (Kumah et al., 2024). By integrating engagement data with organizational goals, AI helps HR develop strategic well-being initiatives that enhance employee satisfaction and reduce turnover.

Ethical and Data Security Concerns

Despite the benefits, ethical challenges remain significant. Zawada (2024) emphasizes the risks of algorithmic bias and data privacy violations. AI systems trained on historical datasets may inherit and propagate past discrimination unless subject to regular audits and bias mitigation protocols. Compliance with legal frameworks like GDPR is crucial to ensuring ethical deployment.

Organizations are adopting internal policies and transparent reporting mechanisms to address these concerns. Ensuring explainability and auditability of AI decisions is essential for maintaining employee trust and regulatory compliance.

Global Comparative Perspectives

Cross-national studies by Aboramadan et al. (2024), Chilunjika et al. (2022), and Xiang et al. (2023) reveal that national policies, digital infrastructure, and organizational culture significantly shape AI adoption in HRM. Countries with advanced digital capabilities and supportive policies demonstrate comprehensive AI integration across HR functions. Conversely, resource-constrained settings often limit AI to automating routine tasks.

Cultural factors also influence implementation. In innovative environments, AI is embraced as a strategic tool, while in conservative cultures, resistance to change slows adoption. Comparative studies suggest that successful AI integration hinges on context-specific strategies tailored to local conditions (Xiang et al., 2023).

Best practices from regions like Europe and the Middle East, where collaboration between government, academia, and industry fosters digital transformation, can serve as models for other countries seeking to scale AI in HRM. These findings underscore the importance of customizing AI strategies to national and organizational contexts to maximize their transformative potential.

In summary, the literature confirms that AI plays a pivotal role in reshaping HRM through enhanced efficiency, objectivity, and strategic alignment. From recruitment to performance management and employee development, AI facilitates data-driven decisions that promote organizational agility, inclusivity, and sustainable growth.

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into Human Resource Management (HRM) represents a pivotal shift in the organizational landscape, with systemic structures and social dynamics playing a significant role in shaping its adoption. The literature emphasizes that AI implementation does not occur in isolation but rather within the broader context of organizational hierarchies and sociotechnical systems (Dima et al., 2024). Rigid bureaucratic structures often hinder agile decision-making processes, which are essential for integrating AI tools, while organizations characterized by horizontal collaboration and open communication tend to facilitate smoother transitions into AI-driven systems (Kueper et al., 2022).

Organizational structures that support interdisciplinary collaboration allow for faster information flow and real-time problem-solving capabilities, significantly enhancing the adoption of AI technologies in HRM (Arslan et al., 2021). When HR departments collaborate with IT, data scientists, and senior leadership, they co-create more responsive solutions that align with both strategic objectives and employee needs. This interplay enhances the efficacy of AI systems while reducing resistance from staff members who feel included in the change process. Conversely, siloed organizational models limit the exchange of ideas and delay critical adjustments to AI systems, further exacerbating implementation gaps.

Social systems within organizations must promote trust, inclusion, and ethical awareness to support the diffusion of AI tools. In the public sector, such as observed in South Africa, social legitimacy and participatory governance were found to ease AI adoption despite bureaucratic inertia. These inclusive systems enable transparent discussions around data ethics and fairness, providing fertile ground for designing equitable AI policies. When stakeholders from diverse levels and functions engage in dialogue, the organization develops a readiness to adopt transformative digital tools with minimal resistance.

The integration of AI into HR policies necessitates a holistic shift in how organizations conceptualize performance, recruitment, and talent development. Zhang (2023) stresses that AI adoption should not merely optimize efficiency but also uphold human values and fairness in workforce management. For instance, incorporating algorithmic fairness and bias auditing into recruitment protocols not only enhances transparency but also bolsters legitimacy in decision-making processes (Dima et al., 2024). These adjustments require a systemic recalibration of HR strategies to ensure they reflect both technological and human-centric principles.

Policy adaptation plays a critical role in overcoming AI implementation barriers. Organizations that have successfully embedded AI into HRM typically revise their policies to include structured guidelines on ethical AI usage, digital literacy programs for staff, and continuous performance monitoring systems (Dima et al., 2024). Such approaches respond to dynamic business needs while ensuring compliance with data protection regulations. More importantly, they signal a commitment to responsible innovation, thereby increasing trust among employees and stakeholders alike.

The literature consistently underscores the importance of collaborative approaches to managing change. Iftikhar et al. (2024) demonstrated that Human-Agent Teams—hybrid models where AI and humans interact regularly—can promote organizational learning and reduce resistance to AI. These models facilitate a gradual acclimatization to digital tools and mitigate fears around automation and job displacement. Through interdisciplinary workshops and training sessions, employees become more confident in using AI, which supports its broader institutionalization.

Moreover, successful AI integration demands visionary leadership capable of championing digital transformation. Leaders must communicate a clear vision, foster empathy, and provide opportunities for feedback to ensure alignment across departments (Arslan et al., 2021). In doing so, they help shape a culture that is adaptive and resilient in the face of technological change. Leaders also play a crucial role in maintaining momentum, providing necessary resources, and reinforcing accountability mechanisms.

Experiences from organizations with robust AI ecosystems illustrate that partnerships across sectors—public, private, and academic—are essential for overcoming institutional rigidity. Chilunjika et al. (2022) emphasized that collaboration with external stakeholders can minimize bureaucratic delays and facilitate policy harmonization. These partnerships provide access to best practices, global standards, and infrastructure resources that might be unavailable within a single institution. They also support the co-creation of context-specific solutions that align with local norms and legal frameworks.

An often-overlooked aspect is the need for trust-building measures when deploying AI in HRM. Dima et al. (2024) argue that without sufficient transparency and ethical safeguards, the perceived legitimacy of AI tools may be undermined. Organizations must adopt proactive measures such as algorithmic audits, stakeholder consultations, and regular impact assessments to ensure their AI systems align with organizational values. This reinforces the principle that data-driven decisions must remain accountable to human oversight.

Pilot projects are instrumental in mitigating risk during AI adoption. As highlighted by Iftikhar et al. (2024), trial implementations allow organizations to test functionalities, gather user feedback, and adapt systems before full-scale deployment. These initiatives enable continuous learning and help identify both technical and socio-cultural barriers. Moreover, they provide tangible evidence of AI's potential, which can be leveraged to build organizational support for future expansion(Fahed et al., 2025; Goense et al., 2025).

Despite the progress achieved, several limitations persist in current research and practice. For instance, many studies focus predominantly on high-income countries, leaving gaps in understanding how socio-economic constraints impact AI adoption in developing contexts. There is also limited empirical work on how organizational justice and employee perception mediate the effectiveness of AI tools. Future research should investigate these dimensions, especially in cross-cultural and underrepresented settings, to develop more inclusive implementation frameworks.

In sum, the successful integration of AI into HRM is contingent upon three mutually reinforcing pillars: structural adaptability, inclusive social systems, and collaborative policy innovation. Each of these dimensions contributes to a robust organizational foundation that not only accommodates but also thrives on technological advancement. As the discourse evolves, it is imperative to continue bridging the gap between technical capabilities and social imperatives to ensure that AI serves as a tool for equitable and sustainable organizational growth(Asselborn et al., 2025; Mobasseri et al., 2025).

CONCLUSION

This study highlights the transformative impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on human resource management (HRM), emphasizing the interplay between organizational structures, social systems, and technological integration. The findings confirm that successful AI adoption in HRM is not solely a matter of technological capability but heavily depends on systemic enablers, including collaborative cultures, transparent governance, and adaptive policies. The discussion has shown that hierarchical,

siloed structures hinder AI implementation, whereas cross-functional collaboration and visionary leadership serve as accelerators. Inclusive social systems, rooted in fairness and trust, support the ethical use of AI and foster stakeholder engagement(Altuntaş et al., 2025; Doğru et al., 2023).

Crucially, integrating AI outcomes into HR policies, including algorithmic fairness and bias audits, emerged as a key strategy for aligning digital tools with human-centered values. Collaborative approaches—across departments, industries, and sectors—are essential to overcoming implementation challenges and optimizing AI's potential in recruitment, performance management, and employee well-being. These insights underscore the urgency for organizations to redesign internal processes, invest in digital literacy, and adopt change management practices that support AI-driven transformation(Hamzaa et al., 2025; Lindgren et al., 2025).

Further research should explore sector-specific dynamics and longitudinal impacts of AI on workforce equity and productivity. Additionally, investigations into co-design methodologies and real-time algorithmic adjustments could offer practical guidance for sustainable AI governance in HRM. Addressing these gaps will enable organizations to leverage AI as a strategic asset while safeguarding ethical and inclusive work environments.

REFERENCE

Aboramadan, M., Jebril, M., & Maweri, A. (2024). *The role of artificial intelligence in transforming human resource management in the Middle East* (pp. 21–38). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-62369-1_2

Altuntaş, G., Hoş, Y., & Tekin, İ. (2025). *A bibliometric review on the use of artificial intelligence in human resources management* (pp. 1–46). https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-7693-5.ch001

Arslan, A., Cooper, C., Khan, Z., Gölgeci, İ., & Ali, I. (2021). Artificial intelligence and human workers interaction at team level: A conceptual assessment of the challenges and potential HRM strategies. *International Journal of Manpower*, *43*(1), 75–88. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijm-01-2021-0052

Asselborn, T., Melzer, S., Schiff, S., Bender, M., Marwitz, F. A., Aljoumani, S., Thiemann, S., Hirschler, K., & Möller, R. (2025). Building sustainable information systems and transformer models on demand. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, *12*(1). https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-025-04491-x

Benabou, A., & Touhami, F. (2025). Empowering human resource management through artificial intelligence: A systematic literature review and bibliometric analysis. *International Journal of Production Management and Engineering*, *13*(1), 59–76. https://doi.org/10.4995/ijpme.2025.21900

Bhardwaj, S., Chopra, R., & Pandita, D. (2025). Navigating the digital evolution of HRM: An integrative review. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*.

Chilunjika, A., Intauno, K., & Chilunjika, S. (2022). Artificial intelligence and public sector human resource management in South Africa: Opportunities, challenges and prospects. *SA Journal of Human Resource Management*. https://doi.org/10.4102/sajhrm.v20i0.1972

Chowdhury, K., Kawsar, S., & Imam, T. (2024). *Rapid mass level organizational AI sensitization and skill development using no code AI tool*. https://doi.org/10.2118/222844-ms

Chowdhury, S., Budhwar, P., & Wood, G. (2024). Generative artificial intelligence in business: Towards a strategic human resource management framework. *British Journal of Management*, *35*(4), 1680–1691. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.12824

Dima, J., Gilbert, M., Dextras-Gauthier, J., & Giraud, L. (2024). The effects of artificial intelligence on human resource activities and the roles of the human resource triad: Opportunities and challenges. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *15*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1360401

Doğru, T., Line, N., Mody, M., Hanks, L., Abbott, J., Açikgöz, F., & Zhang, T. (2023). Generative artificial intelligence in the hospitality and tourism industry: Developing a framework for future research. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research*, *49*(2), 235–253.

Fahed, G., Collins, B. N., Cai, N., Jimenez, J. I., Kitakata, H., Pino Moreno, J. E., & Alexander, K. M. (2025). Race, Genetics, and Social Determinants of Health in Transthyretin Cardiac Amyloidosis: A Literature Review and Call to Action. *Current Cardiology Reports*, *27*(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11886-025-02220-z

Goense, C. J. D., Evers, Y. J., Hoebe, C. J. P. A., & Dukers-Muijrers, N. H. T. M. (2025). A Perspective on Home-Based Sexual Health Care: Evidence, Access, and Future Directions. *Current HIV/AIDS Reports*, *22*(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11904-025-00724-5

Hamzaa, H. G., Atta, M. H. R., Taha, H. M. A., Sayed, M. A., Ahmed, A. K., Othman, A. A., & Wahba, N. M. I. (2025). Exploring the role of spiritual leadership among nurse colleagues: an associative analysis of its impact on passion and altruism. *BMC Nursing*, *24*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-025-02750-5

Iftikhar, R., Chiu, Y., Khan, M., & Caudwell, C. (2024). Human–agent team dynamics: A review and future research opportunities. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, *71*, 10139–10154. https://doi.org/10.1109/tem.2023.3331369

Kueper, J., Terry, A., Bahniwal, R., Meredith, L., Beleno, R., Brown, J., & Lizotte, D. (2022). Connecting artificial intelligence and primary care challenges: Findings from a multi stakeholder collaborative consultation. *BMJ Health & Care Informatics*, *29*(1), 100493. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjhci-2021-100493

Kumah, P., Nketia, I., Yaokumah, W., & Asante-Offei, K. (2024). *Exploring the application of generative AI in human resource management* (pp. 51–82). https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-5578-7.ch003

Lindgren, L. H., Thomsen, T., Hetland, M. L., Aadahl, M., Kristensen, S. D., de Thurah, A., & Esbensen, B. A. (2025). A self-management intervention for newly diagnosed with inflammatory arthritis: a randomized controlled feasibility and fidelity study. *Pilot and Feasibility Studies*, *11*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40814-025-01601-z

Malik, A., Lirio, P., Budhwar, P., Nguyen, M., & Fauzi, M. (2025). Guest editorial: Artificial intelligence (AI) in the world of work: Bibliometric insights and mapping opportunities and challenges. *Personnel Review*.

Mobasseri, K., Ghasemyani, S., Khodayari-Zarnaq, R., & Kousha, A. (2025). Developing a comprehensive model of home-based long-term care for older people in Iran: a multi-method study. *BMC Health Services Research*, *25*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-025-12434-0

Paramita, D., Okwir, S., & Nuur, C. (2024). Artificial intelligence in talent acquisition: Exploring organisational and operational dimensions. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, *32*(11), 108–131. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijoa-09-2023-3992

Tairov, I. (2024). Artificial intelligence application in human resources management. *Bm*, *34*(3).

Xiang, H., Lü, J., Косов, M., Volkova, M., Ponkratov, V., Masterov, A., & Zekiy, A. (2023). Sustainable development of employee lifecycle management in the age of global challenges: Evidence from China, Russia, and Indonesia. *Sustainability*, *15*(6), 4987. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15064987

Zawada, M. (2024). The impact of artificial intelligence on human resource management: Challenges, opportunities, and future directions. *System Safety Human - Technical Facility - Environment*, *6*(1), 239–250. https://doi.org/10.2478/czoto-2024-0026

Zhang, Z. (2023). The HRM model based on competency model in the context of new age intelligence. *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/6030183