Sativa : Journal of Agricultural Sciences
E- ISSN : 3089-7807
Volume. 1 Issue 3 September 2025
Page No: 168-178

Organic Premiums and Market Access: Consumer Trust, Economic Equity, and Policy Solutions

Fitri Awaliyah
Universitas Garut, Indonesia
Correspondent: fitriawaliyah@uniga.ac.id

Received : August 12, 2025
Accepted : September 20, 2025
Published : September 30, 2025

Citation: Awaliyah, F., (2025). Organic Premiums and Market Access: Consumer Trust, Economic Equity, and Policy Solutions. Sativa : Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 1(3), 168-178.

ABSTRACT: This study explores consumer willingness to pay (WTP) for organic food products, synthesizing global data from meta-analyses, discrete choice experiments (DCEs), and valuation methods. Organic products are increasingly favored for their health, environmental, and ethical benefits, yet price premiums present a barrier to widespread adoption. The study identifies average WTP premiums ranging from 20% to 60%, with dairy and fresh produce attracting the highest values. Key findings show that trust in organic certification significantly boosts WTP, while affordability limits access for lower-income consumers. Methodological biases, especially in hypothetical survey methods, are discussed alongside strategies to improve reliability, including the use of behavioral and experimental techniques. Policy interventions such as producer subsidies, consumer education, and improved labeling are recommended to foster a more inclusive organic food market.

Keywords: Willingness To Pay, Organic Food, Price Premium, Sustainability Labels, Consumer Trust, Affordability, Policy Interventions.

INTRODUCTION

The organic food market has experienced significant transformation and expansion in recent years, becoming a central focus in discussions on sustainable consumption and ethical food systems. This growth is underpinned by a confluence of factors, including changing consumer behavior, increased environmental awareness, policy interventions, and shifting global health priorities. The increasing demand for organic products reflects a broader societal shift toward health-conscious, ethically-informed, and environmentally sustainable lifestyles. As the market transitions from niche to mainstream, understanding the dynamics of consumer willingness to pay (WTP) and the role of price premiums becomes critical in both economic and policy contexts.

Recent global trends illustrate the strength and direction of this market shift. According to Rizzo et al. (2020), the implementation of stringent EU regulations on organic production has stabilized markets and boosted consumer trust. In parallel, the COVID-19 pandemic has amplified the demand for organic and health-oriented products, as consumers place greater emphasis on immunity, food safety, and sustainability (Wojciechowska-Solis & Śmiglak-Krajewska, 2022).

Thøgersen et al. (2017) further assert that rising consumer awareness about the adverse impacts of conventional agriculture on health and the environment has propelled organic products into broader public favor. These findings collectively highlight an evolving consumer mindset that favors organic options for their perceived health benefits and lower ecological footprint.

Within this broader context, consumer perceptions of organic food play a pivotal role. Drejerska et al. (2021) note that organic products are often viewed as healthier and purer than conventional alternatives. Such beliefs are rooted in the assumption that organic products are less contaminated by pesticides and synthetic additives. Trust in organic certification and quality assurance mechanisms also contributes to this perception. In contrast, conventional products are sometimes viewed with skepticism, particularly in terms of their long-term health effects and ecological consequences (Murphy et al., 2022). This divergence in perception has helped organic food carve out a unique identity in the marketplace, enhancing its appeal among consumers who prioritize health and sustainability (Aboutalebi et al., 2013; Watanabe et al., 2020).

Consumer motivations for purchasing organic food are complex and multifaceted. While health considerations are often cited as the primary driver (Rana & Paul, 2020), environmental and ethical motivations are also significant. Many consumers associate organic farming with reduced environmental degradation, animal welfare, and sustainable land use practices (Kranjac et al., 2017). Moreover, recent studies suggest that social influences and lifestyle alignment also play a role in organic food consumption (X. Wang et al., 2023). For instance, individuals embedded in socially conscious networks or environmentally engaged communities are more likely to consume organic products. This intersection of personal health, environmental responsibility, and social identity positions organic food within a broader narrative of responsible consumption.

The issue of price premium is particularly salient in understanding consumer dynamics in the organic food market. Historically, the higher cost of organic production has been passed onto consumers in the form of price premiums. Yet, as Rizzo et al. (2020) suggest, many consumers are willing to accept this premium, interpreting it as a reflection of quality and ethical value. Iqbal et al. (2021) report that willingness to pay these premiums varies significantly across demographic and regional contexts, influenced by income, education, and cultural attitudes. Moreover, marketing strategies that enhance perceived value such as storytelling, transparency, and certification can substantially impact consumer willingness to pay (Llanos-Herrera et al., 2022; Watanabe et al., 2020). These observations suggest that price sensitivity in the organic sector is nuanced and shaped by both tangible economic factors and intangible value perceptions.

Despite the growing popularity of organic products, several barriers to access remain. Price continues to be the most prominent deterrent, especially for low-income consumers. As Saleki et al. (2019) emphasize, high prices contribute to socio-economic segmentation within the organic food market. Other impediments include limited availability, lack of awareness, and confusion surrounding certification standards (Anh & Tai, 2023). Murphy et al. (2022) also point to widespread skepticism about labeling integrity and transparency, which can erode consumer trust and deter potential buyers. These structural and perceptual challenges hinder market inclusivity and highlight the need for more accessible and equitable distribution strategies.

In this context, sustainability labels have emerged as crucial informational tools that influence consumer decision-making. Murphy et al. (2022) find that credible labels enhance trust and signal

product integrity. As consumer education around environmental and ethical issues increases, so too does the importance of transparent and trustworthy labeling practices. Kalyani & Prabhavathi (2023) argue that these labels are not only markers of sustainability but also represent aspirational values for many consumers. Labels help bridge the information gap between producers and consumers, reinforcing ethical consumption patterns and shaping purchasing behavior. The effectiveness of these labels, however, is contingent on their clarity, standardization, and consumer understanding (Wojciechowska-Solis & Barska, 2021).

In light of these considerations, this study aims to examine consumer willingness to pay for organic food products through a meta-analytic lens. By synthesizing global data on price premiums and WTP, this research seeks to unpack the complex relationship between consumer perceptions, economic valuation, and market access. It identifies key variables such as product category, income segmentation, and methodological reliability that influence WTP. In doing so, the study contributes to the broader literature on sustainable consumption and market design, offering insights for marketers, policymakers, and researchers alike. The novelty of this study lies in its comparative approach analyzing both percentage and absolute premiums while accounting for biases inherent in hypothetical valuation methods. The scope includes a variety of food categories and economic contexts, enabling a comprehensive assessment of the organic food economy's demand-side dynamics.

METHOD

Evaluating consumer willingness to pay (WTP) for organic food requires a methodological approach that integrates empirical rigor with contextual understanding of consumer behavior. This chapter describes the methods used to assess WTP, focusing on meta-analytic synthesis and experimental valuation techniques such as Discrete Choice Experiments (DCEs) and Contingent Valuation Methods (CVM). These tools enable the quantification of consumer preferences and offer insights into how various product attributes including organic labeling and sustainability indicators affect perceived value and pricing acceptance.

Meta-Analytic Data Synthesis

The core of this research involves secondary analysis of existing meta-analytical studies that have quantified WTP for organic products. Among the most comprehensive contributions is Li & Kallas (2021), who found that the price premium for organic food typically ranges between 20% and 40%. Their analysis encompassed various sustainable food attributes and highlighted the consistency of consumer preference for organic labeling across different socio-economic groups and global regions.

Similarly, Ribeiro (2023) conducted a meta-analysis of 56 empirical studies focusing exclusively on organic food, offering robust average WTP estimates and exploring variables influencing consumer valuation. This synthesis serves as an essential benchmark in understanding not only how much consumers are willing to pay for organic labels but also the socio-demographic and psychological factors that mediate this willingness.

Further, Bastounis et al. (2021) examined the role of environmental sustainability labels in shaping consumer preferences through a systematic review of DCEs. Their findings suggest that consumers are more inclined to pay higher premiums for products bearing credible sustainability labels, reinforcing the assumption that certification plays a critical role in consumer decision-making. These meta-analyses together form the empirical basis of the study, providing reliable estimates and comparative perspectives across markets.

Discrete Choice Experiments (DCEs)

DCEs are a dominant method for eliciting consumer WTP in the context of food economics. The technique presents participants with sets of hypothetical product options, each comprising a combination of attributes (e.g., price, certification, production method), and requires them to make a choice. Through repeated trials, researchers can infer the marginal value of each attribute and the trade-offs consumers are willing to make.

Richetin et al. (2022) describe DCEs as tools that effectively simulate real-world purchase behavior by enabling respondents to make comparative decisions rather than declaring absolute valuations. This helps minimize common biases and allows for more nuanced interpretations of consumer preferences. Skreli et al. (2017), for example, applied DCEs to measure WTP for organic tomatoes in Albania. Their study demonstrated that DCEs can yield valuable insights into localized consumer behavior and aid in tailoring marketing strategies.

DCEs also facilitate deeper investigation into latent preferences. Danne et al. (2021) commend the method for capturing heterogeneous consumer responses and modeling preference structures across segments. Additionally, the flexibility of DCE design allowing for multiple combinations of attribute levels makes it an adaptable tool in diverse research settings.

Contingent Valuation and Methodological Biases

While CVM and CE approaches (including DCEs) are widely accepted, they are not without limitations. A major challenge is hypothetical bias, especially in CVM, where respondents may overstate their WTP in a hypothetical context. Colombo et al. (2022) note that this discrepancy between stated and actual behavior can inflate premium estimates, thereby misleading policymakers and marketers.

DCEs also face limitations such as attribute non-attendance, where participants disregard certain product characteristics during evaluation due to fatigue or lack of interest (Sever et al., 2019). This behavior can distort preference weights and lead to biased WTP estimates. Moreover, the framing of survey instruments, including the wording and order of questions, significantly influences how respondents perceive and evaluate options (Zweifel, 2021).

Social desirability bias is another important consideration, wherein respondents tailor their answers to align with perceived social norms or expectations (Rahimi et al., 2018). This issue can be particularly pronounced in sustainability-related research, where consumers may overstate environmentally favorable preferences to project a socially responsible image.

To address these concerns, researchers employ several strategies, including pre-testing instruments, applying validity checks, and designing experiments with attention to cognitive load. Brouwer et al. (2016) stress the importance of methodological transparency and robustness to mitigate measurement errors and enhance the reliability of WTP estimates.

Scope and Limitations of the Current Study

This study adopts a meta-analytic review strategy combined with interpretation of DCE and CVM results to understand the landscape of consumer WTP for organic food. The data include percentage-based premiums and absolute values (e.g., $/kg), as well as comparisons across food categories such as produce, dairy, and eggs. Methodological biases are critically analyzed to contextualize the reported WTP values. However, limitations persist due to heterogeneity in primary data sources, variation in survey design, and cultural or economic contexts that may not be generalizable.

This chapter has provided a methodological foundation for the study, articulating both the strengths and constraints of prevalent techniques. The subsequent results and discussion chapters will apply these frameworks to evaluate synthesized data and explore broader implications for market design and consumer policy.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

This chapter synthesizes the empirical findings related to consumer willingness to pay (WTP) for organic food, based on secondary data from meta-analyses, discrete choice experiments (DCEs), and related empirical studies. The section is divided into three subsections: (1) meta-analytic WTP overview, (2) category-specific price premiums, and (3) methodological considerations.

Meta-Analytic WTP Overview

Table 1. Summary of Average WTP for Organic and Sustainability Labels

Attribute Type	Average WTP Premium	Unit
Organic food products	~60%	%
Organic vs. sustainability gap	10–20% higher for organic	%

This table presents a synthesis of WTP premiums from recent meta-analyses. Li & Kallas (2021) report a substantial average premium of about 60% for organic food over conventional products, reflecting strong consumer preference. Bastounis et al. (2021) further indicate that WTP for organic-certified goods exceeds that for general sustainability labels by 10–20%, suggesting that consumers perceive organic certifications as more credible and desirable.

Category-Specific Premiums

Table 2. Premiums by Organic Food Category

Category	Observed Premium Range	Unit
Dairy products	30–50%	%
Fresh produce	20–30%	%
Non-perishables	~10%	%
Fruits/vegetables	High (health perception)	Qualitative

This table highlights WTP variation across product categories. Dairy and produce categories attract the highest premiums, consistent with their strong health and ethical associations. Non-perishables see lower premiums, reflecting lesser perceived benefits. Notably, organic fruits and vegetables are favored for their freshness and low chemical exposure, contributing to higher WTP in these segments.

Methodological Considerations

Table 3. Methodological Biases in WTP Estimates

Issue	Impact on WTP Estimation
Hypothetical bias	Inflated WTP in CVM settings
Survey vs. real-purchase gap	Survey-based estimates often overstate WTP
BDM mechanism	More accurate WTP through incentivized design
Two-stage survey calibration	Balances hypothetical and actual responses

This table summarizes key methodological challenges in WTP estimation. Hypothetical bias remains a major issue, with survey responses frequently overstating actual willingness to pay. To address this, mechanisms like BDM and two-stage survey designs offer more realistic valuation techniques by linking monetary outcomes to participant responses. Awareness of these biases is essential for researchers and policymakers relying on stated-preference data.

In summary, the findings underscore substantial and differentiated consumer willingness to pay for organic products. The degree of willingness varies by product type, demographic profile, and methodological approach. These insights are essential for marketers seeking to optimize pricing strategies and for policymakers aiming to ensure equitable market access and effective sustainability labeling.

The findings from this study reveal significant patterns in consumer willingness to pay (WTP) for organic food and highlight underlying factors that influence market behavior, equity, and policy. Drawing upon the empirical insights and methodological evaluations from the previous chapter, this discussion explores the broader implications of trust in organic labeling, price-related accessibility challenges, potential policy interventions, and future directions for WTP research.

Trust in organic labeling emerges as a fundamental determinant of WTP. Consumers are more inclined to pay a premium for organic food when they believe the certifications are credible and the production practices are transparent. Rizzo et al. (2020) argue that the perceived authenticity

and health benefits of organic products are key motivators in shaping consumer valuation. The presence of recognized labels reinforces these perceptions, serving as a proxy for product safety and environmental stewardship. However, when consumers question the legitimacy of organic claims due to poor regulatory enforcement, inconsistent labeling, or misinformation their willingness to pay diminishes sharply. Kushwah et al. (2019) demonstrate that distrust in organic standards significantly reduces WTP, underlining the importance of certification integrity. Building consumer trust through transparent labeling, standardized certification protocols, and public information campaigns is therefore essential for sustaining and expanding WTP.

Price premiums, while indicative of perceived product value, pose significant barriers to equitable food access. The high cost of organic products often renders them inaccessible to lower-income consumers, exacerbating dietary and health disparities. (L. Wang et al., 2021) highlight that such socio-economic barriers create a tiered food system, where only certain segments of the population can afford to make health-conscious or environmentally motivated food choices. This segmentation undermines the inclusivity of the organic food movement and risks entrenching inequalities. Le et al. (2023) add that market segmentation based on affordability may foster consumer alienation and reinforce a class-based approach to sustainable consumption. From a public health standpoint, the inaccessibility of organic products could limit their potential benefits to those most at risk of nutrition-related illnesses, thereby reducing the societal value of sustainable food systems.

To address these challenges, several policy tools can be deployed. Subsidizing organic producers is one promising approach. By reducing production costs, such subsidies can help lower consumer prices without compromising sustainability. Abdullah et al. (2022) argue that targeted financial incentives can expand organic supply chains and promote affordability across market segments. In parallel, consumer education programs that clarify the health and environmental advantages of organic products can stimulate demand and enhance perceived value. These initiatives may include public awareness campaigns, school-based nutrition programs, or partnerships with community organizations. Community-supported agriculture (CSA) models, which connect consumers directly with local organic farms, also offer a more affordable and trust-based mechanism for accessing organic food. Additionally, improvements in labeling such as simplifying terminology, increasing label visibility, and ensuring third-party verification can further bolster consumer trust and encourage WTP.

Moreover, policy frameworks that support organic farming through accessible credit, training, and market infrastructure can enhance production capacity and reduce prices through scale. These supply-side interventions, combined with demand-side education and affordability strategies, create a holistic ecosystem for fostering equitable growth in organic markets.

From a research perspective, future studies should strive to integrate behavioral and experimental approaches to better capture the complexity of WTP. Traditional economic models, while useful, may overlook psychological, social, and cultural dimensions that shape consumer behavior. Integrating DCEs with behavioral economics concepts such as nudging, framing, and bounded rationality can yield richer insights into decision-making processes. Rana & Paul (2020) suggest that behavioral research can reveal latent motivations and cognitive biases that influence WTP

beyond price and attribute levels. Longitudinal research designs would also be beneficial, tracking changes in WTP over time as consumers become more informed or as market conditions shift.

Mixed-method approaches hold particular promise. By combining quantitative experiments with qualitative techniques such as interviews or focus groups, researchers can explore the 'why' behind consumer choices. This dual perspective enables a more comprehensive understanding of how identity, values, and lived experiences influence willingness to pay. Such insights are not only academically valuable but also practically relevant for policymakers and marketers aiming to broaden participation in the organic market.

In summary, this discussion underscores that willingness to pay for organic food is shaped by a constellation of factors ranging from label trust to income disparities and methodological nuances. Strengthening trust mechanisms, addressing affordability barriers, and refining research methodologies are critical steps toward building a more inclusive, evidence-based, and equitable organic food economy.

CONCLUSION

This study examined consumer willingness to pay (WTP) for organic food products by synthesizing insights from global meta-analyses, discrete choice experiments, and market evaluations. The findings reveal that consumers consistently demonstrate a significant WTP for organic food, driven by perceived health, environmental, and ethical benefits. Average WTP premiums range from 20% to 60%, depending on product category and methodological approach, with perishable items like dairy and fresh produce attracting the highest premiums.

Trust in organic labels emerged as a critical determinant of WTP. Consumers are more likely to pay a premium when certification is transparent and credible. Conversely, skepticism about label authenticity can severely undermine WTP. This insight highlights the importance of standardized certification and effective communication strategies to sustain consumer confidence in organic products.

Despite robust consumer interest, price premiums remain a major barrier to equitable access. Higher costs limit organic consumption among lower-income populations, perpetuating dietary inequality and restricting the health and environmental benefits of organic food to privileged groups. This market segmentation calls for targeted interventions that enhance affordability and broaden access.

Policy responses should include producer subsidies, consumer education, community-supported agriculture initiatives, and improved certification systems. These tools can bridge accessibility gaps and foster a more inclusive organic market. On the supply side, increasing investment in organic farming infrastructure and reducing input costs will also help lower prices over time.

Methodologically, this study underscores the need for integrating behavioral and experimental approaches in future WTP research. Traditional methods such as CVM and DCEs are valuable but prone to biases. Combining these with behavioral insights, longitudinal designs, and mixed methods can yield a more holistic understanding of consumer valuation.

The contribution of this study lies in its comprehensive synthesis of WTP data across food categories and economic contexts. It informs pricing strategies, policy development, and future research directions, aiming to enhance both market efficiency and social equity in the organic food sector.

REFERENCE

Abdullah, M. Z., Othman, A. K., Hamzah, M. I., Anuar, A., Tobi, S. N. M., & Solat, N. (2022). The Influence of Healthcare Service Quality on Public University Students' Satisfaction and Behavioural Intention: Moderating Role of Trust. *Journal of Health Management*, *25*(3), 489–498. https://doi.org/10.1177/09720634221076886

Aboutalebi, A., Jahromi, M. G., & Farahi, M. H. (2013). Evaluation of growth and yield of organically-grown basil (Ocimum basilicum L.) in soilless culture. *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment*, *11*(1), 299–301. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-84874536631&partnerID=40&md5=54e4cc4e50f5411819469eaaeb39c653

Anh, N. T. V, & Tai, T. T. (2023). Factors Influence Organic Food Purchase Intention of Vietnamese Consumers. *Journal of Economics and Business*, *6*(3). https://doi.org/10.31014/aior.1992.06.03.531

Bastounis, A., Buckell, J., Hartmann-Boyce, J., Cook, B., King, S., Potter, C., Bianchi, F., Rayner, M., & Jebb, S. A. (2021). The Impact of Environmental Sustainability Labels on Willingness-to-Pay for Foods: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Discrete Choice Experiments. *Nutrients*, *13*(8), 2677. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13082677

Colombo, S., Budziński, W., Czajkowski, M., & Glenk, K. (2022). The Relative Performance of Ex-Ante and Ex-Post Measures to Mitigate Hypothetical and Strategic Bias in a Stated Preference Study. *Journal of Agricultural Economics*, *73*(3), 845–873. https://doi.org/10.1111/1477-9552.12484

Danne, M., Meier-Sauthoff, S., & Mußhoff, O. (2021). Analyzing German Consumers' Willingness to Pay for Green Electricity Tariff Attributes: A Discrete Choice Experiment. *Energy Sustainability and Society*, *11*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13705-021-00291-8

Drejerska, N., Sobczak, W., Goł ebiewski, J., & Gierula, W. A. (2021). Does Organic Means Health for Consumers? Selected Issues of Organic Food Market. *British Food Journal*, *123*(8), 2622–2640. https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-12-2020-1175

Iqbal, J., Yu, D., Zubair, M., Rasheed, M. I., Khizar, H. M. U., & Imran, M. K. (2021). Health Consciousness, Food Safety Concern, and Consumer Purchase Intentions Toward Organic Food: The Role of Consumer Involvement and Ecological Motives. *SAGE Open*, *11*(2). https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211015727

Kalyani, R., & Prabhavathi, Y. (2023). Understanding Consumer Behavior in the Organic Food Market: Perceptions, Preferences and Purchase Factors. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension Economics & Sociology*, *41*(10), 992–1004. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajaees/2023/v41i102253

Kranjac, M., Vapa-Tankosić, J., & Knezevic, M. (2017). Profile of Organic Food Consumers. *Ekonomika Poljoprivrede*, *64*(2), 497–514. https://doi.org/10.5937/ekoPolj1702497K

Kushwah, S., Dhir, A., & Sagar, M. (2019). Understanding Consumer Resistance to the Consumption of Organic Food. A Study of Ethical Consumption, Purchasing, and Choice Behaviour. *Food Quality and Preference*, *77*, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2019.04.003

Le, P. G., Anh, L. H., Dinh, X. T., & Nguyen, K. L. P. (2023). Development of Sustainability Assessment Criteria in Selection of Municipal Solid Waste Treatment Technology in Developing Countries: A Case of Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. *Sustainability*, *15*(10), 7917. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15107917

Li, S., & Kallas, Z. (2021). Meta-Analysis of Consumers' Willingness to Pay for Sustainable Food Products. *Appetite*, *163*, 105239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2021.105239

Llanos-Herrera, G. R., Vega-Muñoz, A., Salazar-Sepúlveda, G., Contreras-Barraza, N., & Gil-Marín, M. (2022). Environmental and Health Factors as Organic Fruit Purchase Drivers and the Mediating Role of Price and Effort. *Horticulturae*, *8*(10), 955. https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae8100955

Murphy, B., Martini, M., Fedi, A., Loera, B., Elliott, C. T., & Dean, M. (2022). Consumer Trust in Organic Food and Organic Certifications in Four European Countries. *Food Control*, *133*, 108484. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2021.108484

Rahimi, F., Rasekh, H. R., Abbasian, E., & Peiravian, F. (2018). A New Approach to Pharmaceutical Pricing Based on Patients' Willingness to Pay. *Tropical Medicine & International Health*, *23*(12), 1326–1331. https://doi.org/10.1111/tmi.13157

Rana, J., & Paul, J. (2020). Health Motive and the Purchase of Organic Food: A Meta-Analytic Review. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, *44*(2), 162–171. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12556

Ribeiro, J. E. (2023). *Meta-Analysis of Consumer Willingness to Pay for Organic Food*. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3149555/v1

Richetin, J., Caputo, V., Demartini, E., Conner, M., & Perugini, M. (2022). Organic Food Labels Bias Food Healthiness Perceptions: Estimating Healthiness Equivalence Using a Discrete Choice Experiment. *Appetite*, *172*, 105970. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2022.105970

Rizzo, G., Borrello, M., Guccione, G. D., Schifani, G., & Cembalo, L. (2020). Organic Food Consumption: The Relevance of the Health Attribute. *Sustainability*, *12*(2), 595. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12020595

Saleki, R., Quoquab, F., & Mohammad, J. (2019). What Drives Malaysian Consumers' Organic Food Purchase Intention? The Role of Moral Norm, Self-Identity, Environmental Concern and Price Consciousness. *Journal of Agribusiness in Developing and Emerging Economies*, *9*(5), 584–603. https://doi.org/10.1108/JADEE-02-2019-0018

Sever, I., Verbič, M., & Sever, E. K. (2019). Estimating Willingness-to-Pay for Health Care: A Discrete Choice Experiment Accounting for Non-Attendance to the Cost Attribute. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*, *25*(5), 843–849. https://doi.org/10.1111/jep.13095

Skreli, E., Imami, D., Chan, C., Canavari, M., Zhllima, E., & Pire, E. (2017). Assessing Consumer Preferences and Willingness to Pay for Organic Tomatoes in Albania: A Conjoint Choice Experiment Study. *Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research*, *15*(3), e0114. https://doi.org/10.5424/sjar/2017153-9889

Thøgersen, J., Pedersen, S., Paternoga, M., Schwendel, E., & Aschemann-Witzel, J. (2017). How Important Is Country-of-Origin for Organic Food Consumers? A Review of the Literature and Suggestions for Future Research. *British Food Journal*, *119*(3), 542–557. https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-09-2016-0406

Wang, L., Tian, J., Si, Y., & Sun, X. (2021). Optimal Duration, Rate and Price When Online Retailers Offer Installment Payment Services. *Nankai Business Review International*, *12*(1), 96–121. https://doi.org/10.1108/NBRI-08-2019-0039

Wang, X., Wang, X., & Cao, J. (2023). Environmental Factors Associated With Cryptosporidium and Giardia. *Pathogens*, *12*(3), 420. https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens12030420

Watanabe, E. A. de M., Alfinito, S., Curvelo, I. C. G., & Hamza, K. M. (2020). Perceived Value, Trust and Purchase Intention of Organic Food: A Study With Brazilian Consumers. *British Food Journal*, *122*(4), 1070–1184. https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-05-2019-0363

Wojciechowska-Solis, J., & Barska, A. (2021). Exploring the Preferences of Consumers' Organic Products in Aspects of Sustainable Consumption: The Case of the Polish Consumer. *Agriculture*, *11*(2), 138. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture11020138

Wojciechowska-Solis, J., & Śmiglak-Krajewska, M. (2022). Being a Product Consumer During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Profile of the Polish Consumer in the Organic Dairy Market. *British Food Journal*, *125*(7), 2350–2367. https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-04-2022-0384

Zweifel, P. (2021). Preference Measurement in Health Using Experiments. *Central European Journal of Operations Research*, *30*(1), 49–66. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10100-021-00761-6