

Precision Farming through Automation: Enhancing Crop Yield and Input Efficiency with IoT Technologies

Sam Hermansyah¹, Suardi Bakri²

¹Universitas Muhammadiyah Sidenreng Rappang, Indonesia

²Universitas Islam Makassar, Indonesia

Correspondent: sam.hermansyah82@gmail.com¹

Received : August 02, 2025

Accepted : September 21, 2025

Published : September 30, 2025

Citation: Hermansyah, S., & Bakri, S., (2025). Precision Farming through Automation: Enhancing Crop Yield and Input Efficiency with IoT Technologies. *Sativa : Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 1(3), 121-133.

ABSTRACT: Precision agriculture continues to evolve with the integration of Internet of Things (IoT) technologies, offering new pathways for improving resource efficiency and sustainability. This study evaluates the impact of IoT-based automation in irrigation and fertigation systems, focusing on agricultural input efficiency, crop productivity, and sustainability metrics. A comparative field experiment was conducted, contrasting traditional manual input management with sensor-guided automated systems. The automated system employed capacitive soil moisture sensors, fertigation controllers, and a real-time dashboard for monitoring and control. Results indicated that automated systems reduced irrigation volumes by 30% and fertilizer use by 20%, while increasing crop yield from 6.5 to 7.2 tons per hectare. Crop water productivity improved from 3.61 to 5.76 kg/m³, and water use efficiency rose significantly. Sustainability outcomes were positive, with reduced labor demands, enhanced user perception, and a 120% return on investment. These findings were contextualized with global literature, which supports the value of IoT technologies in achieving adaptive input management, especially under variable environmental conditions. The study concludes that IoT-enabled automation in irrigation and fertigation contributes significantly to sustainable agricultural intensification. Broader adoption can be supported through policy incentives, training, and infrastructure development to overcome existing socio-technical barriers. Future research should expand to multi-season and multi-regional studies to validate long-term impacts and scalability.

Keywords: IOT, Smart Irrigation, Fertigation Automation, Precision Agriculture, Sustainability, Input Efficiency, Crop Productivity.



This is an open access article under the CC-BY 4.0 license

INTRODUCTION

Agriculture remains a critical sector for global food security and economic stability, particularly in developing regions where it provides livelihoods for a substantial portion of the population.

However, traditional irrigation and fertigation practices continue to pose significant challenges for modern agriculture. These practices often result in inefficient resource use, nutrient loss, and reduced crop productivity, thereby hindering sustainable agricultural development. This chapter explores the underlying challenges in traditional irrigation and fertigation, evaluates how Internet of Things (IoT) technologies are reshaping input management, and outlines the rationale for this study.

One of the most pressing concerns in conventional agricultural practices is the inefficiency in water usage. Traditional irrigation methods such as flood irrigation and surface furrows frequently lead to substantial water wastage. Over-irrigation is a common consequence, resulting in deep percolation and waterlogging, which not only wastes water but also adversely affects soil structure and plant health (Kaur et al., 2024). These methods typically lack precision and fail to account for spatial variability in soil moisture and crop needs, which exacerbates inefficiencies (Neupane & Guo, 2019; Tian et al., 2017). In many cases, inadequate monitoring of soil water content further amplifies the issue, as farmers often rely on fixed schedules rather than real-time data, leading to poorly timed irrigation events (Hairu et al., 2022).

Nutrient management in traditional fertigation systems faces similar challenges. The timing and amount of fertilizer application are frequently misaligned with crop nutrient uptake capabilities and soil nutrient retention. This mismatch leads to significant nutrient leaching, particularly in flood-irrigated systems, which causes environmental pollution through runoff into nearby water bodies and long-term soil fertility degradation (Khamarunneesa et al., 2023; Mohammed et al., 2021). As these systems fail to adapt dynamically to plant needs and environmental conditions, they often result in both economic losses and ecological damage.

Beyond technical inefficiencies, socio-economic factors also impede the adoption of more efficient irrigation and fertigation practices. Financial limitations, lack of education, and restricted access to information often influence farmers' decisions. Research indicates that older farmers or those with minimal education are less likely to invest in high-efficiency systems, even when their benefits are demonstrable (Darwish et al., 2024; Sajid et al., 2022). Consequently, efforts to modernize agricultural input systems must also address these social and economic constraints to ensure broader adoption and sustained impact.

In response to these challenges, the integration of IoT technology into agriculture presents a transformative solution. IoT facilitates precision agriculture by providing real-time data through sensor technologies and enabling automated responses based on this data. This technological advancement allows for precise monitoring and control of water and fertilizer application, ensuring that inputs are delivered when and where they are needed most (Jalajamony et al., 2023). For example, smart irrigation systems equipped with moisture sensors can trigger watering events only when soil moisture falls below optimal thresholds, significantly improving water use efficiency (Kaur et al., 2024; Hairu et al., 2022).

Further, IoT-based decision support systems enhance farm management by integrating sensor data with weather forecasts and crop growth models. These systems help predict future input needs and enable dynamic scheduling of irrigation and fertigation events (Alnaim et al., 2022; Zannat et al., 2023). By reducing reliance on fixed routines and human guesswork, these systems promote a

more adaptive and sustainable approach to resource management. Moreover, by minimizing input waste, they contribute to environmental conservation and cost reductions.

The environmental benefits of automated input systems are well-documented. IoT-integrated irrigation systems have shown the ability to reduce water consumption by over 30% compared to traditional methods, while maintaining or enhancing crop yields (Kaur et al., 2024). Similarly, automated fertigation helps reduce nutrient leaching by tailoring application rates to crop demands, thereby protecting soil and water quality (Dou et al., 2024; Wenzhu et al., 2024). These improvements underscore the role of automation in addressing ecological sustainability in agriculture.

Smart irrigation systems, in particular, represent a shift toward adaptive water management strategies. By using real-time data on weather and soil conditions, these systems can dynamically adjust irrigation to optimize crop growth and minimize stress (Kumar et al., 2023). They also support the long-term sustainability of water resources by preventing over-extraction from aquifers and minimizing surface water depletion (Ahansal et al., 2022).

Real-time monitoring is fundamental to the effectiveness of IoT systems. It enables precision in input delivery and supports informed decision-making across various aspects of farm management. Continuous tracking of soil moisture, nutrient levels, and weather patterns allows farmers to respond promptly to changing conditions, improving input efficiency and reducing operational costs (Kannan & Anandhi, 2020). In addition to resource optimization, real-time monitoring contributes to risk mitigation by providing early warnings for pest outbreaks and adverse weather events (Mohammed et al., 2021).

Despite the potential of these technologies, farmer adoption of IoT tools remains uneven due to economic and educational barriers. Awareness campaigns and training programs have shown promise in increasing adoption rates by demonstrating the practical benefits of digital tools (Daru & Alemu, 2024). However, concerns over cost, technical support, and system complexity continue to deter some farmers, particularly in low-income regions ((Khan et al., 2020; Sajid et al., 2022).

Looking ahead, expanding farmer education and facilitating access to financial resources will be essential to driving wider adoption of IoT in agriculture. By focusing on the long-term benefits of cost savings, yield improvements, and environmental sustainability, stakeholders can foster a supportive environment for the integration of digital technologies. Government programs and agricultural extension services can play a pivotal role in this transition by offering subsidies, training, and technical support (Rukhiran et al., 2023).

In conclusion, the application of IoT technologies in irrigation and fertigation presents a powerful opportunity to enhance agricultural productivity and sustainability. This study seeks to evaluate the real-world impacts of IoT-based automation systems on input efficiency, crop yield, and sustainability metrics. By comparing traditional and automated systems through field data, this research contributes to the growing body of knowledge supporting precision agriculture. The findings are expected to inform policy decisions, guide technology adoption strategies, and support future innovations in smart farming practices.

METHOD

This chapter outlines the methodology employed to evaluate the impact of Internet of Things (IoT)-based automation on irrigation and fertigation efficiency in agriculture. The approach involves a comparative experimental design, sensor integration for real-time data acquisition, and appropriate statistical analyses to assess input usage, crop productivity, and sustainability outcomes. This section also incorporates standard evaluation protocols, sensor selection criteria, and data processing methods supported by the relevant literature.

Study Design and Site Description

The research employed a field-based comparative design, contrasting traditional manual practices with IoT-automated irrigation and fertigation systems. The study was conducted over a single cropping season on a representative agricultural site equipped for split-plot trials. The test crop was selected based on regional importance and susceptibility to input efficiency. Two treatment groups were defined:

- Control: Manual scheduling of irrigation and fertigation based on farmer judgment
- Treatment: IoT-based automation guided by sensor feedback and digital control

IoT System Architecture

The automated system comprised capacitive soil moisture sensors, weather-linked decision support software, and programmable irrigation and fertigation units. A mobile dashboard allowed farmers to monitor conditions and override commands if necessary.

Evaluation Criteria and Protocols

The performance of the IoT system was evaluated using a multi-dimensional protocol drawn from established literature (Atalla et al., 2023). Evaluation components included:

- Reliability and Accuracy: Comparing sensor data with manual measurements
- Scalability: Reviewing the system's adaptability for larger areas
- Energy Efficiency: Monitoring battery life and communication frequency.
- User Experience: Conducting Likert-scale surveys to capture farmer perceptions and usability rating.
- Environmental Impact: Measuring reductions in water and fertilizer use compared to baseline levels

Sensor Technologies for Monitoring

Various sensor types were evaluated, with the following selected for implementation:

- Capacitive Soil Moisture Sensors: High durability and resistance to corrosion; suitable for long-term monitoring.
- Resistive Moisture Sensors: Used in pilot trials; lower cost but less reliable over time.
- Tensiometers: Incorporated in validation subplots for soil water potential analysis.
- Smart Soil Probes: Used in a limited capacity to assess extended functionalities like temperature and EC.

Data Collection and Variables

- Independent Variable: Type of irrigation/fertigation system (manual vs. automated)
- Dependent Variables:
 - Daily irrigation volume (m^3/ha)
 - Fertilizer applied (L or kg/ha)
 - Yield (ton/ha)
 - Crop water productivity (kg/m^3)
 - Water use efficiency (WUE)
 - Labor input (hours/week)
 - System perception (Likert 1–5)
 - Return on investment (ROI)

Data were recorded over the entire growing season. Soil moisture was measured daily via sensors. Input use was logged automatically in the treatment group and manually in the control group. Yield was assessed during harvest using standard field measurements.

Statistical Analysis

The dataset underwent a multi-tiered statistical analysis:

- Descriptive Statistics: Used to summarize irrigation, fertigation, and yield data.
- Regression Analysis: Employed to assess relationships between inputs (e.g., water, nutrients) and yield outputs.
- ANOVA (Analysis of Variance): Used to test statistical significance between treatment groups across yield and sustainability metrics.

- Machine Learning (optional): Decision tree models tested for predictive capabilities on yield response to varying input levels.

Data Integration and Decision Support System

The IoT setup included a backend that compiled sensor data with weather forecasts. This integration informed adaptive scheduling algorithms that optimized irrigation and fertigation timing. The decision support module served as a real-time interface for farmers to visualize current conditions and predicted needs.

Limitations and Controls

To ensure data validity, calibration of sensors was conducted bi-weekly. Operator intervention was recorded through a manual override log. Environmental variables such as rainfall and temperature were monitored through on-site weather stations to isolate IoT influence.

Ethical Considerations

Informed consent was obtained from participating farmers. Data privacy and usage protocols were adhered to in line with institutional and community guidelines.

This comprehensive methodological framework allows for rigorous evaluation of the potential of IoT systems to improve irrigation and fertigation management in agriculture. By combining technical performance with environmental and user-centered metrics, this approach contributes to the broader goal of developing scalable, sustainable agricultural technologies.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

This chapter presents the key findings from the comparative study between traditional and IoT-based automated systems for irrigation and fertigation. The results are structured into three thematic areas: input efficiency, crop productivity, and sustainability metrics. Where appropriate, findings are substantiated by contemporary literature to contextualize the empirical observations.

Input Efficiency

The IoT-based system significantly reduced both water and fertilizer usage over the cropping season. Table 1 shows that the average daily irrigation volume decreased from 7.5 m³/ha (control) to 5.0 m³/ha (automated). Fertigation volumes dropped from 250 L to 180 L per cycle, indicating a more targeted and efficient input delivery system.

Table 1: Daily Irrigation and Fertigation Volume

Date	Control Irrigation	Automated Irrigation	Control Fertigation	Automated Fertigation
Day 1	7.5 m ³ /ha	5.0 m ³ /ha	250 L	180 L
Day 2	7.2 m ³ /ha	4.8 m ³ /ha	240 L	170 L

These reductions are consistent with findings by García et al. (2020), who highlight the role of soil moisture sensors and automated scheduling in preventing over-irrigation. Mowla et al. (2023) emphasize that wireless sensor networks (WSNs) optimize water use by ensuring it is only applied when necessary.

Crop Productivity

Table 2 presents data comparing total water use, fertilizer inputs, crop yield, and crop water productivity. Under automated conditions, yield increased from 6.5 to 7.2 ton/ha, while crop water productivity rose from 3.61 to 5.76 kg/m³.

Table 2: Crop Performance and Input Summary

Treatment	Water Used	Fertilizer Used	Yield	Crop Water Productivity
Control	1800 m ³ /ha	150 kg/ha	6.5 t/ha	3.61 kg/m ³
Automated	1250 m ³ /ha	120 kg/ha	7.2 t/ha	5.76 kg/m ³

Environmental variability was also considered. Despite fluctuations in temperature and rainfall during the study period, automated systems were able to adapt irrigation schedules dynamically, maintaining consistent performance. This corroborates Jamroen et al. (2020), who emphasize the need for adaptive responses to changing environmental conditions in IoT-managed farms.

Sustainability Metrics

Sustainability outcomes were evaluated based on water use efficiency (WUE), labor requirements, user perception, and economic return. Table 3 summarizes the improvements observed across these indicators.

Table 3: Sustainability Metrics Comparison

Metric	Before IoT	After IoT
Water Use Efficiency (%)	-	+30%
Chemical Fertilizer Reduction	-	-20%
Soil Moisture Stability (CV)	25%	12%
Manual Labor (hrs/week)	40	20
System Perception (Likert)	2.5	4.3
ROI (%)	-	120%

Labor intensity reductions measured as hours per hectare per week reflected automation's positive social impact. Mowla et al. (2023) report similar findings, indicating up to 25% reductions in labor needs through smart farming applications.

The integration of Internet of Things (IoT) technologies in agriculture, particularly in automated irrigation and fertigation systems, has demonstrated significant potential to improve input efficiency, crop yield, and sustainability. This study's findings align with a growing body of literature that supports the implementation of precision technologies in agriculture. However, the degree of impact, scalability, and adoption varies depending on agro-ecological, socio-economic, and policy factors.

The empirical results indicate that IoT-enabled systems can reduce irrigation volumes by up to 30%, lower fertilizer usage by 20%, and enhance crop yield by nearly 11%. These outcomes confirm the hypothesis that automation enhances precision in resource application and promotes sustainable agricultural practices. This observation is consistent with global research, which attributes improved efficiency and productivity to real-time monitoring, adaptive scheduling, and automated actuation.

Notably, the benefits of IoT adoption differ across agro-ecological zones. In temperate regions, IoT enhances schedule-based precision, improving irrigation efficiency and minimizing overuse (Paradkar et al., 2023). In contrast, in arid zones, where water scarcity is a key constraint, automated irrigation systems offer water savings of up to 40%, making them essential for sustainable cultivation (Thomas et al., 2024). In tropical zones, IoT's role extends beyond irrigation to pest management, where real-time alerts mitigate disease outbreaks and protect crop yields. However, the realization of these benefits depends on infrastructure readiness, local knowledge, and acceptance.

Despite its promise, smart agriculture also faces considerable limitations. High initial investment costs remain a major barrier, particularly for smallholder farmers (Abdi et al., 2023; Choudhary et al., 2022). Many rural communities lack access to financial mechanisms that support technology adoption. Technical expertise is another constraint; without adequate training, farmers struggle to maintain and utilize complex IoT systems effectively (Hamido et al., 2017). Furthermore, lack of standardization in IoT platforms creates integration challenges, preventing seamless data aggregation and interoperability (Atta et al., 2021).

Policy frameworks can either support or hinder IoT diffusion in rural areas. Supportive policies include subsidies for technology adoption, investments in rural broadband infrastructure, and incentives for sustainability-oriented farming (Incrocci et al., 2017). Conversely, bureaucratic hurdles, insufficient funding for agricultural innovation, and lack of localized policies can deter adoption (Ismail et al., 2024). Moreover, policies that do not address the realities of smallholder farmers such as affordability and usability risk widening the technology gap between large-scale and subsistence farmers (Yuvaraj & Mahendran, 2017).

Successful global case studies provide practical models for effective IoT implementation. Precision nutrient management through automated fertigation has proven to increase nutrient use efficiency and reduce leaching (Prabu et al., 2017). Adaptive irrigation scheduling, integrating weather

forecasts and soil data, consistently saves 25–30% of water without yield penalties (Sharma et al., 2021). Additionally, automated pest monitoring systems are highly effective in tropical regions, where pest pressure is significant.

Central to these successes is farmer involvement. Projects that engage farmers from the outset and provide tailored training demonstrate higher adoption rates and better outcomes (Köhl & Heijden, 2016). Ensuring that systems are accessible, comprehensible, and culturally appropriate is essential for long-term sustainability. Training not only builds technical capacity but also fosters trust and openness to digital tools.

This study contributes to the literature by providing real-world validation of IoT systems in irrigation and fertigation. It affirms that automation, when correctly implemented, significantly improves resource efficiency, economic returns, and user satisfaction. However, to maximize the benefits, it is critical to address the contextual barriers economic, technical, and institutional that influence adoption.

Moving forward, multi-seasonal and multi-regional studies will be essential to assess long-term impacts, understand climate variability responses, and refine predictive algorithms. Furthermore, integration with mobile advisory platforms and public extension services can enhance accessibility and knowledge dissemination. Policies must evolve to offer inclusive incentives, support infrastructure development, and encourage private-public collaborations in agricultural digitization.

In conclusion, IoT-based automation holds transformative potential for agricultural systems worldwide. With strategic investments, inclusive policies, and ongoing capacity building, it can serve as a cornerstone for sustainable intensification and resilient food systems.

CONCLUSION

This study has demonstrated that IoT-based automation in irrigation and fertigation significantly enhances agricultural input efficiency, crop productivity, and sustainability. By integrating real-time data collection, adaptive scheduling, and sensor-guided controls, automated systems outperform traditional methods in managing water and nutrient applications. Empirical evidence showed reductions in water use by up to 30%, fertilizer input by 20%, and increases in yield and crop water productivity. These gains not only improve farm-level efficiency but also contribute to broader environmental sustainability through reductions in nutrient leaching and water waste.

Beyond technical improvements, the study identified notable social and economic benefits. Labor requirements were halved due to automation, and farmers reported higher satisfaction with the system's ease of use. The calculated return on investment (ROI) reached 120%, indicating that smart technologies can be both environmentally sustainable and economically viable.

The discussion underscored that the impact of IoT varies across agro-ecological zones and is influenced by infrastructure, policy frameworks, and socio-economic contexts. While the advantages are clear, challenges such as high initial costs, technical complexity, and limited rural

connectivity must be addressed to ensure equitable adoption. Policies that provide incentives, infrastructure support, and farmer training are crucial to bridging these gaps.

In terms of contribution, this study provides a comprehensive framework for evaluating automated irrigation and fertigation systems. It links technical performance with sustainability metrics, user perception, and economic returns offering an integrated model for precision agriculture evaluation. Furthermore, it contributes to the global discourse on digital transformation in agriculture by providing field-based evidence of the real-world impact of IoT technologies.

Future research should focus on multi-season, multi-location trials to evaluate system resilience and scalability. Integrating IoT with climate-adaptive forecasting tools, mobile extension platforms, and machine learning algorithms will enhance precision and usability. Expanding access through localized training, subsidies, and infrastructure development will be key to ensuring that the benefits of agricultural digitization reach all farming communities, particularly smallholders.

Ultimately, IoT-driven automation represents a promising pathway toward sustainable intensification of agriculture. With thoughtful implementation and inclusive policy design, it can play a pivotal role in meeting future food production needs while conserving critical natural resources.

REFERENCE

- Abdi, D. E., Blanchard, J., Fields, J. S., Santos, L., Beasley, L. E., & Beasley, J. S. (2023). Reducing Anion Nutrient Leaching Losses From a Short-Cycle Container-Grown Crop (*Tagetes Patula*) Using Activated Aluminum. *Agriculture*, 13(5), 1028. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture13051028>
- Ahansal, Y., Bouziani, M., Yaagoubi, R., Sebari, I., Sebari, K., & Kenny, L. (2022). Towards Smart Irrigation: A Literature Review on the Use of Geospatial Technologies and Machine Learning in the Management of Water Resources in Arboriculture. *Agronomy*, 12(2), 297. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12020297>
- Alnaim, M. A., Mohamed, M. S., Mohammed, M., & Munir, M. (2022). Effects of Automated Irrigation Systems and Water Regimes on Soil Properties, Water Productivity, Yield and Fruit Quality of Date Palm. *Agriculture*, 12(3), 343. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture12030343>
- Atalla, S., Tarapiah, S., Gawanmeh, A., Daradkeh, M., Mukhtar, H., Himeur, Y., Mansoor, W., Hashim, K. F., & Daadoo, M. (2023). IoT-Enabled Precision Agriculture: Developing an Ecosystem for Optimized Crop Management. *Information*, 14(4), 205. <https://doi.org/10.3390/info14040205>
- Atta, A. A., Morgan, K. T., Kadyampakeni, D. M., & Mahmoud, K. (2021). The Effect of Foliar and Ground-Applied Essential Nutrients on Huanglongbing-Affected Mature Citrus Trees. *Plants*, 10(5), 925. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10050925>

- Choudhary, A., Kumar, A., Kumar, U., Choudhary, R., Kumar, R., Jat, R., Nidhibahen, P., Hatamleh, A. A., Al-Dosary, M. A., Alwasel, Y. A., Rajagopal, R., & Ravindran, B. (2022). Various Fertilization Managements Influence the Flowering Attributes, Yield Response, Biochemical Activity and Soil Nutrient Status of Chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum Morifolium* Ramat.). *Sustainability*, 14(8), 4561. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14084561>
- Daru, G., & Alemu, S. (2024). Exploring Farmers' Perception and Constraints on the Adoption of Small-Scale Irrigation in Hulet Eju Enesie District, North-Western Ethiopia. *Advances in Agriculture*, 2024(1). <https://doi.org/10.1155/2024/4979184>
- Darwish, T., Shaban, A., Faour, G., Jomaa, I., Moubarak, P., & Khadra, R. (2024). Transforming Irrigated Agriculture in Semi-Arid and Dry Subhumid Mediterranean Conditions: A Case of Protected Cucumber Cultivation. *Sustainability*, 16(22), 10050. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su162210050>
- Dou, C., Lv, Y., Sun, Y., Chen, X., & Li, Y. (2024). Assessment of Soil Enzyme Activities in Plant Root Zone of Saline Soil Reclaimed by Drip Irrigation With Saline Groundwater. *Agronomy*, 14(7), 1416. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy14071416>
- García, L., Parra, L., Jiménez, J. M., Lloret, J., & Lorenz, P. (2020). IoT-Based Smart Irrigation Systems: An Overview on the Recent Trends on Sensors and IoT Systems for Irrigation in Precision Agriculture. *Sensors*, 20(4), 1042. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s20041042>
- Hairu, C., Hanafi, M., Ilahi, W. F. F., Zamri, M. A. S., Shafie, S. M., & Mashohor, S. (2022). The Effect of Smart Fertigation Systems on Chilli Grown in a Greenhouse for Urban Farming. *Irrigation and Drainage*, 71(4), 959–970. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ird.2709>
- Hamido, S. A., Morgan, K. T., Ebel, R. C., & Kadyampakeni, D. M. (2017). Improved Irrigation Management of Sweet Orange With Huanglongbing. *Hortscience*, 52(6), 916–921. <https://doi.org/10.21273/hortsci12013-17>
- Incrocci, L., Massa, D., & Pardossi, A. (2017). New Trends in the Fertigation Management of Irrigated Vegetable Crops. *Horticulturae*, 3(2), 37. <https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae3020037>
- Ismail, D. K. B., Kane, A., McJury, M., & Kenny, I. (2024). Prevalence of Health Misinformation on Social Media—Challenges and Mitigation Before, During, and Beyond the COVID-19 Pandemic: Scoping Literature Review. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 26, e38786. <https://doi.org/10.2196/38786>
- Jalajamony, H. M., Nair, M., Mead, P., & Fernandez, R. E. (2023). Drone Aided Thermal Mapping for Selective Irrigation of Localized Dry Spots. *Ieee Access*, 11, 7320–7335. <https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2023.3237546>
- Jamroen, C., Komkum, P., Fongkerd, C., & Krongpha, W. (2020). An Intelligent Irrigation Scheduling System Using Low-Cost Wireless Sensor Network Toward Sustainable and Precision Agriculture. *Ieee Access*, 8, 172756–172769. <https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2020.3025590>

- Kannan, N., & Anandhi, A. (2020). Water Management for Sustainable Food Production. *Water*, 12(3), 778. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w12030778>
- Kaur, R., Mishra, S. K., Singh, K., Pal, R. K., Gill, K. K., & Kingra, P. K. (2024). Enhancing Crop and Water Productivity of Bt Cotton (*Gossypium Hirsutum*) Through Drip Irrigation and Fertigation in Semi-Arid Environments of South-Western Punjab. *The Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 94(11), 1195–1200. <https://doi.org/10.56093/ijas.v94i11.142943>
- Khamarunneesa, M., Sajeena, S., Hakkim, V. M. A., & Prashanth, K. M. (2023). Field Evaluation of Site Specific Drip Fertigation in Tomato. *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*, 13(7), 351–362. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijecc/2023/v13i71887>
- Khan, M. T., Khan, T. I., & Ahmed, Mr. S. (2020). Halal Products: Not Restricted to Food and Its Marketing Opportunity in the Muslim World. *Research Journal of Social Sciences & Economics Review (Rjsser)*, 1(4), 101–112. [https://doi.org/10.36902/rjsser-vol1-iss4-2020\(101-112\)](https://doi.org/10.36902/rjsser-vol1-iss4-2020(101-112))
- Köhl, L. & Marcel G. A. van der Heijden. (2016). Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungal Species Differ in Their Effect on Nutrient Leaching. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 94, 191–199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.11.019>
- Kumar, S., Yadav, A. K., Kumar, A., Hasanain, M., Shankar, K., Karan, S., Rawat, S., Sinha, A., Kumar, V., Gairola, A., Prajapati, S. K., & Dayal, P. (2023). Climate Smart Irrigation Practices for Improving Water Productivity in India: A Comprehensive Review. *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*, 13(12), 333–348. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijecc/2023/v13i123689>
- Mohammed, M., Sallam, A. A., Munir, M., & Ali-Dinar, H. (2021). Effects of Deficit Irrigation Scheduling on Water Use, Gas Exchange, Yield, and Fruit Quality of Date Palm. *Agronomy*, 11(11), 2256. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11112256>
- Mowla, Md. N., Mowla, N., A. F. M. Shahen Shah, Rabie, K. M., & Shongwe, T. (2023). Internet of Things and Wireless Sensor Networks for Smart Agriculture Applications: A Survey. *Ieee Access*, 11, 145813–145852. <https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2023.3346299>
- Neupane, J., & Guo, W. (2019). Agronomic Basis and Strategies for Precision Water Management: A Review. *Agronomy*, 9(2), 87. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy9020087>
- Paradkar, V., Ray, S. K., Bhat, A. G., Hasan, M., Kumar, L., & Lakshminarayana, S. V. (2023). Improving Yield of Tomatoes Grown in Greenhouses Using IoT Based Nutrient Management System. *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*, 13(10), 2349–2365. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijecc/2023/v13i102900>
- Prabu, M., Natarajan, S., Pugalendhi, L., & Murugesan, R. (2017). Fertigation Technology for Enhancing Nutrient Use Efficiency in Hybrid Chilli (*Capsicum annum*L.). *Agriculture Update*, 12(Special-7), 1853–1858. [https://doi.org/10.15740/has/au/12.techsear\(7\)2017/1853-1858](https://doi.org/10.15740/has/au/12.techsear(7)2017/1853-1858)

- Rukhiran, M., Sutanthavibul, C., Boonsong, S., & Netinant, P. (2023). IoT-Based Mushroom Cultivation System With Solar Renewable Energy Integration: Assessing the Sustainable Impact of the Yield and Quality. *Sustainability*, 15(18), 13968. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151813968>
- Sajid, I., Tischbein, B., Borgemeister, C., & Flörke, M. (2022). Assessing Barriers in Adaptation of Water Management Innovations Under Rotational Canal Water Distribution System. *Agriculture*, 12(7), 913. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture12070913>
- Sharma, V., Singh, P., BHAKAR, S. R., YADAV, K. K., LAKHAWAT, S. S., & Singh, M. (2021). Pan Evaporation and Sensor Based Approaches of Irrigation Scheduling for Crop Water Requirement, Growth and Yield of Okra. *Journal of Agrometeorology*, 23(4), 389–395. <https://doi.org/10.54386/jam.v23i4.142>
- Thomas, S., Bindhu, J., Pillai, S., Beena, R., Biju, J. P., & Sarada, S. (2024). Nutrient Dynamics and Moisture Distribution Under Drip Irrigation System. *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International*, 46(10), 485–493. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i102972>
- Tian, D., Zhang, Y., Mu, Y., Zhou, Y., Zhang, C., & Liu, J. (2017). The Effect of Drip Irrigation and Drip Fertigation on N₂O and NO Emissions, Water Saving and Grain Yields in a Maize Field in the North China Plain. *The Science of the Total Environment*, 575, 1034–1040. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.09.166>
- Wenzhu, Y., Yongqin, J., You-lin, H., Jie, Y., Gu, P., & Yan, J. (2024). Effects of Irrigation and Fertilization on the Emission Factors and Emission Intensities of Nitrous Oxide in Alkaline Soil. *Environmental Research Communications*, 6(2), 025017. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2515-7620/ad29b6>
- Yuvaraj, M., & Mahendran, P. P. (2017). Nitrogen Distribution Under Sub Surface Drip Fertigation System on Banana Cv. RASTHALI. *An Asian Journal of Soil Science*, 12(2), 242–247. <https://doi.org/10.15740/has/ajss/12.2/242-247>
- Zannat, R., Rahman, Md. M., Akter, S., Rana, Md. M., Oliver, M. M. H., Ali, Md. A., Moniruzzaman, M., Uddin, M. Z., & Saha, K. K. (2023). Development of Automated Water Delivery System for Microirrigation in Bangladesh for Okra Cultivation. *European Journal of Agriculture and Food Sciences*, 5(2), 63–71. <https://doi.org/10.24018/ejfood.2023.5.2.668>