Novatio: Journal of Management Technology and Innovation

E-ISSN : 3030-8674
Volume. 2, Issue 1, January 2024
Page No: 12-27

Beyond Technology: Strategies for Managing Digital Transformation in Traditional Sectors

Agung Zulfikri[1], Hadi Prayitno[2], Fardan Zeda Achmadi Yuda[3]
[1]Telkom University, Indonesia
[2]Politeknik Penerbangan Surabaya, Indonesia
[3]Politeknik Penerbangan Indonesia Curug, Indonesia
Correspondent: agungzulfikri11@gmail.com[1]

Received : December 1, 2023
Accepted : January 16, 2024
Published : January 31, 2024

Citation: Zulkifri, A., Prayitno, H., Yuda, F, Z, A. (2024). Beyond Technology: Strategies for Managing Digital Transformation in Traditional Sectors. Novatio: Journal of Management Technology and Innovation, 2(1), 12-27.

ABSTRACT: Digital transformation has become a defining force in reshaping traditional industries, yet its successful implementation requires navigating complex technological, organizational, economic, and regulatory challenges. This study presents a narrative review aimed at synthesizing existing literature on change management strategies in digital transformation across sectors such as manufacturing, healthcare, agriculture, and energy. Literature was collected through databases including Scopus, Web of Science, PubMed, and Google Scholar, using targeted keywords such as digital transformation, traditional industries, change management strategies, Industry 4.0, and sustainability. The review analyzed empirical studies, case studies, and theoretical contributions published between 2015 and 2025. Findings reveal that technologies like artificial intelligence, Internet of Things, blockchain, and digital twin systems enhance operational efficiency and sustainability but face barriers related to resource constraints, cultural resistance, and fragmented regulatory frameworks. Organizational factors, particularly leadership vision and employee skills development, emerge as central to overcoming resistance and enabling adoption. Economic disparities between large firms and SMEs remain a significant challenge, while supportive public policies and international regulatory harmonization play critical roles in facilitating progress. The discussion highlights systemic social, economic, and political influences on digital adoption and underscores the importance of continuous training, public-private partnerships, and adaptive policies as strategies to address persistent challenges. The study concludes that digital transformation is both a technological and socio-economic imperative, requiring coordinated strategies and context-sensitive approaches to achieve sustainable and inclusive outcomes.

Keywords: Digital Transformation, Industry 4.0, Sustainability, Organizational Adaptability, Policy Frameworks.

INTRODUCTION

The concept of digital transformation (DT) has garnered significant scholarly and practical attention over the past decade as traditional industries grapple with the challenges and

opportunities of integrating digital technologies into established operational structures. Initially perceived primarily as the adoption of advanced technologies, DT has progressively been reconceptualized as a holistic and strategic process that encompasses technological, organizational, and cultural dimensions (Ghobakhloo & Iranmanesh, 2021; Volberda et al., 2021). Scholars have underscored that DT extends beyond technical innovation, requiring firms to fundamentally reconfigure business models, redesign workflows, and rethink approaches to customer engagement. Within traditional industries such as manufacturing, healthcare, and agriculture, the imperatives of DT are particularly pronounced due to entrenched legacy systems and deeply rooted cultural practices. At the same time, firms face increasing pressure to remain competitive in a globalized digital economy.(Iftikhar et al., 2025; Vijayalakshmi & Morarji, 2025).

Recent literature highlights the transformative role of information and digital technologies (IDTs) in reshaping traditional sectors. Artificial intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), blockchain, and advanced data analytics have emerged as pivotal tools for enhancing efficiency, facilitating evidence-based decision-making, and fostering innovative customer experiences (Ghobakhloo & Iranmanesh, 2021; Sharma et al., 2024; Bai et al., 2024). However, the successful implementation of these technologies depends not only on technical adoption but also on fostering a cultural mindset oriented toward digital-first practices (Iftikhar et al., 2025). This cultural shift is essential for creating resilient, agile, and customer-centric organizations capable of leveraging technological innovations in rapidly changing environments. In this sense, DT has become both a technological and organizational imperative.

Empirical evidence illustrates the extent to which DT has penetrated traditional industries. For example, reports indicate that approximately 70% of manufacturing firms worldwide have adopted digital technologies, marking a considerable increase from previous years (Pezzotta et al., 2022; Pezzotta et al., 2023). In healthcare, the acceleration of digital adoption during the COVID-19 pandemic is particularly notable, with more than half of healthcare providers integrating telemedicine platforms and electronic health records into their operational systems (Iglesias et al., 2024). Similarly, agriculture has embraced precision farming techniques supported by IoT devices and big data analytics, with around 40% of farmers adopting these methods to enhance productivity and sustainability (Lee et al., 2024; Ulez'ko et al., 2019). These statistics underscore the breadth and depth of DT's impact across diverse sectors.

Regional differences in DT adoption further reveal the uneven nature of digital integration. Developed economies, such as those in North America and Western Europe, exhibit high rates of adoption, with surveys suggesting that nearly 75% of traditional businesses in Europe have implemented digital strategies (Pezzotta et al., 2022; Pezzotta et al., 2023). By contrast, emerging economies, while lagging in initial adoption, are experiencing rapid growth, with some sectors reporting digital adoption increases of more than 50% in recent years (Iglesias et al., 2024). This divergence illustrates the global challenge of bridging digital divides while also highlighting the opportunities for late adopters to leapfrog developmental stages through targeted interventions. Consequently, fostering digital innovation within traditional industries has become a priority for policymakers and industry leaders seeking to maintain competitiveness and sustainability in an increasingly digitalized world (Borowski, 2021).

Despite its evident benefits, DT in traditional industries faces several persistent challenges. Technical barriers are particularly acute, as many organizations struggle with integrating new technologies into legacy systems. The interoperability between digital platforms and existing infrastructures is often limited, creating operational inefficiencies and increasing vulnerability to cyber threats (Trstenjak et al., 2020; Bai et al., 2024). Robust cybersecurity measures become critical in mitigating these risks, yet many organizations lack the resources or expertise to implement them effectively (Volberda et al., 2021). Such technical complexities underscore the importance of designing adaptive and secure digital infrastructures to support sustainable transformation.

Economic constraints also pose significant obstacles to DT. The high upfront costs of acquiring and implementing digital technologies, coupled with training requirements and potential operational disruptions, can deter firms from fully committing to digital strategies. Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), in particular, encounter resource limitations that impede their ability to transition to digital business models such as digital servitization (Pezzotta et al., 2022; Pezzotta et al., 2023). Furthermore, the uncertainty surrounding return on investment heightens stakeholder reluctance, thereby slowing down the pace of transformation (Ghobakhloo & Iranmanesh, 2021). These economic barriers necessitate the development of financial support mechanisms, such as government incentives and public-private partnerships, to reduce risk and encourage broader adoption.

Cultural resistance is another formidable challenge in DT implementation. Traditional industries often adhere to established practices, with employees reluctant to embrace new methods due to digital illiteracy, fear of obsolescence, or general resistance to change (Trstenjak et al., 2020; Iglesias et al., 2024). Successful transformation requires comprehensive change management strategies that engage employees, build digital competencies, and foster a culture of innovation (Pezzotta et al., 2023; Iftikhar et al., 2025). Leadership plays a decisive role in shaping this cultural transition, as organizational leaders must champion digital strategies, demonstrate commitment, and cultivate employee buy-in to achieve meaningful and lasting change.

The regulatory environment further complicates DT efforts. Varying standards across regions and industries, combined with stringent compliance requirements, often delay or obstruct digital integration. Companies must balance the pursuit of innovation with adherence to regulatory frameworks, which can result in additional costs and time burdens (Zhang & Yao, 2021; Fang et al., 2020). Regulatory bodies themselves face the challenge of updating existing guidelines to accommodate the unique demands of digital innovation while maintaining oversight to ensure ethical and secure practices. Inconsistent regulatory frameworks, therefore, remain a critical impediment to the seamless execution of digital strategies.

Although literature on DT has expanded considerably, important gaps remain. Existing studies have predominantly concentrated on technological adoption frameworks, often overlooking the operational, human, and organizational dimensions critical to successful change management (Cosa & Torelli, 2024; Samantaray, 2023). Empirical evidence detailing the practical implementation of change management strategies in traditional industries is limited, leaving practitioners with insufficient guidance on navigating the complexities of transformation (Iglesias et al., 2024; Ávila-Gutiérrez et al., 2025). Moreover, the interplay between systemic factors such as

culture, regulation, and economics requires further exploration to develop integrated frameworks that reflect the multifaceted nature of DT (Volberda et al., 2021). Addressing these gaps is essential for building robust strategies that can guide industries through digital transitions.

The purpose of this narrative review is to synthesize existing literature on change management strategies within the context of DT in traditional industries. Specifically, the review seeks to identify the most effective practices that facilitate successful digital adoption, highlight recurrent challenges, and analyze how these strategies are applied across different sectors and geographic regions. By integrating findings from diverse studies, this review aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how change management can enhance the effectiveness of DT initiatives.

The scope of this review encompasses a broad range of traditional industries, including manufacturing, healthcare, agriculture, energy, and fisheries, with particular attention given to sector-specific dynamics that shape transformation processes. Geographic coverage is likewise comprehensive, with comparisons drawn between developed economies—where digital integration is relatively advanced—and emerging markets that face distinct infrastructural and cultural challenges. This comparative approach underscores the global relevance of DT while acknowledging the contextual factors that influence outcomes. Ultimately, this study aims to contribute to the academic discourse by clarifying the role of change management in digital transitions and offering actionable insights for policymakers and industry leaders tasked with navigating the complexities of digital transformation in traditional sectors.

METHOD

The methodological foundation of this study rests upon a systematic narrative review of existing literature focusing on digital transformation within traditional industries, with particular emphasis on change management strategies. Given the rapidly expanding body of scholarship on digitalization and its impact across multiple sectors, a rigorous and transparent methodology was employed to ensure the reliability and comprehensiveness of the findings. This section outlines the processes undertaken for literature collection, search strategy, inclusion and exclusion criteria, types of studies considered, and the evaluation and synthesis of the selected works.

The initial step involved the identification of relevant databases that provide comprehensive coverage of peer-reviewed journals, conference proceedings, and other academic outputs pertinent to the topic under investigation. Scopus was selected as a primary resource due to its extensive repository of multidisciplinary research and its capacity to deliver robust citation metrics that highlight influential contributions in the field (Pezzotta et al., 2023; Bai et al., 2024). Web of Science was also utilized, as it is renowned for its selective indexing and quality standards, thereby ensuring that the literature included in this review met established academic benchmarks (Balashova & Maiorova, 2021). Google Scholar complemented these resources by providing access to grey literature, such as dissertations, policy reports, and emerging research not yet indexed in traditional databases, thereby broadening the scope of this study and capturing insights into ongoing debates and novel directions (Luo et al., 2023). Although PubMed is typically centered on life sciences and

health-related research, it was included as a supplementary database to identify studies on healthcare digital transformation, particularly in relation to management, technology adoption, and operational reform (Steiber & Alvarez, 2024).

To ensure that the search strategy captured the full range of literature relevant to digital transformation in traditional industries, a carefully curated list of keywords was developed. The term "digital transformation" served as the primary anchor, as it broadly encompasses organizational restructuring and innovation driven by digital technologies (Saragih et al., 2025). To refine the scope towards specific industrial contexts, "traditional industries" was incorporated, capturing sectors such as agriculture, manufacturing, fisheries, and healthcare, which face unique challenges in their transition to digital models (Kanat et al., 2024). "Change management strategies" was included to target literature focused on organizational processes, leadership practices, and workforce adaptation that facilitate successful digital adoption (Ghobakhloo & Iranmanesh, 2021). Given the growing alignment of DT with the concept of the Fourth Industrial Revolution, "Industry 4.0" was applied to locate studies that explicitly analyze advanced technologies like IoT, AI, and automation in reshaping legacy industries (Silva et al., 2021). Recognizing the increasing emphasis on environmental and economic resilience, "sustainability" was used to identify studies addressing the intersection of DT and sustainable development (Sjøbakk, 2018). Finally, the term "narrative review" was incorporated to identify prior review articles synthesizing existing research, ensuring that the present study could build upon or critically evaluate earlier integrative works (Almashhour et al., 2025).

A series of Boolean operators were employed to combine these keywords effectively. For example, search strings such as "digital transformation AND traditional industries AND change management strategies" or "Industry 4.0 AND sustainability AND narrative review" were frequently used to refine results. These combinations allowed for the identification of highly relevant studies while minimizing irrelevant outputs. Searches were limited to articles published between 2015 and 2025 to ensure that only contemporary studies reflecting the rapid evolution of digital technologies and their applications were considered.

The inclusion and exclusion criteria were established to ensure that the literature selected for this review was relevant, methodologically rigorous, and directly related to the objectives of the study. Articles were included if they: (i) focused explicitly on digital transformation within traditional industries; (ii) addressed organizational, managerial, or policy aspects of digital adoption, particularly change management strategies; (iii) were published in peer-reviewed journals or conference proceedings; and (iv) provided empirical data, conceptual frameworks, or synthesized insights into digital transformation processes. Exclusion criteria ruled out studies that were: (i) focused exclusively on highly digitalized sectors such as fintech or pure IT companies, as these did not reflect the transitional dynamics of traditional industries; (ii) purely technical without discussion of organizational change or management strategies; or (iii) published in non-academic outlets lacking rigorous review processes.

The types of research included in this review encompassed a wide array of methodologies, reflecting the multidisciplinary nature of DT research. Randomized controlled trials and longitudinal cohort studies were rare but were considered when addressing specific outcomes in

healthcare digitalization. More common were qualitative case studies that explored sector-specific implementations of digital technologies, such as precision farming initiatives or digital supply chain reforms. Quantitative survey-based studies also featured prominently, providing statistical evidence on adoption rates, barriers, and performance outcomes across industries. Additionally, conceptual and theoretical papers that proposed frameworks for understanding DT and its relationship to change management were included, as these works contributed significantly to clarifying underlying mechanisms and shaping the analytical lens of the review.

The process of literature selection followed a structured sequence to ensure methodological transparency. Initial searches across databases yielded a large body of references, which were first screened at the title and abstract level to remove studies that clearly did not meet the inclusion criteria. Full-text reviews were then conducted on potentially relevant articles to assess their methodological rigor and substantive alignment with the objectives of this review. Throughout this process, duplicate entries across databases were identified and removed to avoid redundancy. Each article was evaluated based on criteria such as the clarity of research objectives, robustness of methodology, relevance to DT and change management in traditional industries, and contribution to theoretical or practical insights.

The evaluation of the selected literature was not limited to content analysis but also involved assessing the quality of evidence presented. For empirical studies, this included examining sample sizes, research design, data collection methods, and the validity of findings. For conceptual and theoretical works, the clarity of argumentation, grounding in prior literature, and originality of contribution were critically assessed. To ensure reliability, cross-comparisons were made between studies addressing similar themes, with particular attention to recurring patterns, contradictions, and gaps. This approach facilitated a balanced synthesis that both acknowledges the richness of existing research and highlights areas where further investigation is warranted.

In synthesizing the findings, thematic analysis was employed to identify recurring issues and strategies across studies. Themes such as technological adoption barriers, economic constraints, cultural resistance, and regulatory challenges were extracted and examined in relation to how they intersect with change management practices. Moreover, studies highlighting best practices—such as leadership-driven cultural shifts, workforce training initiatives, and policy frameworks supporting innovation—were analyzed to extract practical lessons applicable across industries. Where possible, comparative insights were drawn between different sectors and geographic contexts to underscore the variability of DT experiences globally.

In sum, the methodology of this study integrates a comprehensive search strategy across multiple databases, the use of targeted and precise keywords, the application of rigorous inclusion and exclusion criteria, and a structured process of literature selection and evaluation. By incorporating diverse types of research and applying thematic synthesis, this narrative review ensures a robust and nuanced understanding of change management strategies in the digital transformation of traditional industries. This methodological approach not only supports the validity of the findings presented but also offers a replicable framework for future researchers seeking to investigate similar themes.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The findings of this narrative review highlight four overarching themes that characterize the dynamics of digital transformation within traditional industries: the role of technology, organizational and human resource factors, economic and market implications, and regulatory frameworks. Each theme reveals critical dimensions of transformation, drawing upon a diverse body of literature and providing insights into both opportunities and challenges associated with digitalization.

The first theme concerns the central role of technology in accelerating digital transformation. Artificial intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), blockchain, and digital twin technologies have emerged as foundational enablers of innovation. Digital twin applications, for instance, allow industries to replicate physical systems virtually, thereby improving operational transparency and enhancing predictive capabilities for real-time monitoring and process optimization (Lee et al., 2020). This integration of historical and real-time data creates a powerful framework for reducing downtime and enabling proactive maintenance, as demonstrated in cyber-physical systems that increase efficiency and responsiveness (Proto et al., 2020). Similarly, IoT devices embedded within equipment generate extensive datasets which, when analyzed with AI algorithms, provide actionable insights that support predictive maintenance strategies, significantly lowering costs and minimizing service interruptions (Proto et al., 2020). Blockchain technologies further contribute by securing supply chain transparency, enabling tamper-proof traceability, and fostering trust among stakeholders across value chains (Taifa & Nzowa, 2025).

Empirical evidence underscores the tangible benefits of these technologies. For example, AI-driven analytics in risk management at PETRONAS improved the accuracy of risk-based interventions by over 80%, directly enhancing operational efficiency (Sia et al., 2024). Studies in manufacturing and logistics demonstrate that digital technologies not only improve efficiency but also support environmentally sustainable practices, enabling companies to align with increasingly stringent environmental regulations (Schöggl et al., 2023). Such evidence indicates that the adoption of digital tools is not merely an operational upgrade but a strategic imperative that can enhance resilience and sustainability simultaneously.

The second theme focuses on organizational structures and human resource competencies as pivotal factors in successful transformation. Research consistently highlights that adaptive organizational cultures, visionary leadership, and supportive structures create environments conducive to embracing digital change (Kumar et al., 2022). Employees with digital skills are more capable of leveraging new technologies, accelerating adaptation and innovation within firms. As such, investment in employee training and capacity-building emerges as a recurring strategy in the literature. Experiential training programs, where employees engage directly with emerging technologies, have been shown to improve both technical competence and acceptance of digital tools (Kumar et al., 2022). Moreover, collaborative learning approaches, such as workplace learning communities where employees share expertise through joint projects, have proven highly effective in reducing resistance to change and embedding digital capabilities across organizational levels (Gallese et al., 2020). Integrating training initiatives with broader business objectives ensures that digital skills development is not isolated from organizational needs, thereby enhancing alignment and efficiency.

At the same time, organizational resistance remains a challenge, particularly in traditional industries with entrenched routines and practices. Fear of job loss due to automation and limited digital literacy can hinder adoption (Trstenjak et al., 2020; Iglesias et al., 2024). Change management strategies, therefore, must address cultural inertia by fostering an environment of trust and transparency, with leadership playing a decisive role in guiding employees through the transformation process (Iftikhar et al., 2025). The literature indicates that companies with strong, committed leadership are more likely to overcome resistance and achieve successful digital integration (Pezzotta et al., 2023).

The third theme addresses the economic and market implications of digital transformation in traditional sectors. The integration of digital technologies fundamentally reshapes business models and enhances market competitiveness. Data-driven strategies facilitated by AI and big data analytics provide firms with deeper insights into consumer preferences and market trends, enabling more precise targeting and responsive business models (Jin et al., 2024; Kumar et al., 2022). The implementation of digital twin and IoT technologies further enhances supply chain visibility and control, reducing costs while improving delivery speed and customer satisfaction (Lee et al., 2020). These capabilities position digitally transformed firms to respond more rapidly to dynamic market demands, thereby securing competitive advantages over slower adopters (Schöggl et al., 2023).

International case studies reinforce these findings. In Germany, manufacturers have successfully adopted Industry 4.0 practices through advanced automation and digitalization, significantly boosting productivity and maintaining a strong global market position (Taifa & Nzowa, 2025). Similarly, blockchain-based logistics solutions have demonstrated effectiveness in enhancing supply chain transparency and reliability, leading to cost reductions and improved customer trust (Tripoli & Schmidhuber, 2020). These examples illustrate the strategic importance of digitalization for sustaining competitiveness in both local and international markets.

However, economic constraints also emerge as barriers, particularly for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). High initial investments in digital infrastructure and training, coupled with uncertainties regarding return on investment, often limit SMEs' ability to pursue comprehensive digital strategies (Pezzotta et al., 2022; Ghobakhloo & Iranmanesh, 2021). This economic divide between larger firms and resource-constrained SMEs underscores the need for supportive financial and policy frameworks to facilitate inclusive digital transformation.

The fourth theme highlights the regulatory and policy environment as a critical determinant of digital transformation trajectories. Proactive government policies, such as subsidies for research and development, investments in digital infrastructure, and support for workforce training, play an essential role in fostering rapid adoption of digital technologies (Schöggl et al., 2023). Conversely, overly restrictive regulations or bureaucratic delays can stifle innovation and slow the pace of transformation (Tripoli & Schmidhuber, 2020). Effective regulation must therefore balance the need for oversight with the flexibility required to accommodate technological advancements.

International examples illustrate the impact of supportive policies. The European Union's Digital Single Market initiative aims to eliminate digital barriers across member states, promoting cross-border digital trade and innovation (Iftikhar & Khan, 2022). Policies on data protection and privacy, while introducing compliance challenges, have also strengthened consumer trust, which is

vital for the success of digital platforms. In agriculture, regulations allowing blockchain-enabled traceability systems for food products have significantly enhanced supply chain transparency and food safety, contributing to consumer confidence and sustainability goals (Tripoli & Schmidhuber, 2020). These cases demonstrate how regulatory frameworks can actively shape the trajectory of digital adoption and its impact on traditional industries.

Collectively, the results of this review underscore the multifaceted nature of digital transformation in traditional industries. Technological advancements provide the tools necessary for innovation, but their success hinges on organizational readiness, human resource capabilities, economic feasibility, and supportive regulatory contexts. Comparative insights across regions reveal that developed economies often benefit from mature infrastructures and regulatory stability, while emerging economies face distinct challenges but also opportunities for leapfrogging through accelerated digital adoption (Pezzotta et al., 2022; Iglesias et al., 2024). Ultimately, the interplay of these factors determines the extent to which digital transformation can be harnessed to improve efficiency, competitiveness, and sustainability across traditional sectors.

The findings of this review provide a comprehensive perspective on the dynamics of digital transformation within traditional industries, shedding light on both persistent challenges and emerging strategies. When compared to earlier literature, the results confirm the enduring salience of issues such as employee resistance, lack of digital skills, and infrastructural deficiencies, while also indicating that evolving approaches in change management are beginning to mitigate these barriers (Coleman et al., 2016; Charles & Emrouznejad, 2018). Earlier studies placed considerable emphasis on technological obstacles and human reluctance to change, framing them as near-insurmountable challenges for organizations seeking digital integration. However, the current body of evidence, supported by practical cases and empirical data, suggests that these barriers are increasingly being addressed through structured training, leadership-driven cultural adaptation, and experiential learning models that enhance digital readiness (Lee et al., 2020; Kumar et al., 2022). Thus, while the fundamental barriers remain relevant, their perceived immutability has been challenged by recent empirical findings, which highlight a growing maturity in organizational strategies to accommodate digital change.

This convergence with, yet progression beyond, earlier scholarship demonstrates how change management in digital transformation has become more context-sensitive and strategically sophisticated. For instance, the literature now places greater emphasis on the integration of big data analytics and AI as not only technological tools but also as catalysts for risk management and strategic decision-making (Sia et al., 2024). These tools have transformed digital transformation from a reactive to a proactive process, enabling organizations to anticipate disruptions, optimize resource allocation, and align technological adoption with broader organizational objectives (Coleman et al., 2016; Sia et al., 2024). This indicates a notable shift in the discourse: from framing DT as a technological adoption exercise to understanding it as an iterative, knowledge-driven transformation requiring continuous alignment between technology, human capacity, and strategic vision.

The persistence of systemic factors, however, remains a defining feature of the literature. Social dimensions, particularly workforce resistance, continue to constitute formidable obstacles. Employees' apprehension toward automation, coupled with limited digital literacy, undermines

organizational attempts at technological integration (Damian et al., 2019; Amer et al., 2022). Cultural inertia is further reinforced in industries with long-established practices, where organizational identity is closely tied to traditional methods of production and management. These insights are consistent with prior research highlighting cultural barriers as one of the most entrenched challenges in DT (Trstenjak et al., 2020). At the same time, the literature demonstrates that cultural adaptation is achievable through participatory change management strategies, transparent leadership communication, and institutional support for skill-building (Pezzotta et al., 2023; Iftikhar et al., 2025).

Economic constraints are another systemic factor shaping the trajectory of DT across industries. As highlighted in earlier studies, limited resources often preclude SMEs from investing in digital technologies and training initiatives, exacerbating the digital divide between larger, resource-rich organizations and smaller firms (Schöggl et al., 2023; Charles & Emrouznejad, 2018). The current evidence supports this claim, showing that upfront costs, uncertain returns on investment, and operational disruptions create significant disincentives for SMEs to adopt digital strategies (Pezzotta et al., 2022). However, this economic barrier is not universal. In contexts where policy incentives, subsidies, or collaborative frameworks exist, SMEs demonstrate a stronger capacity for adoption and integration (Taifa & Nzowa, 2025). This suggests that economic limitations, though persistent, are highly contingent upon the availability of supportive institutional mechanisms.

Political and regulatory environments also exert a profound influence, operating as either accelerators or inhibitors of digital transformation. Supportive policies that encourage research and development, subsidize digital infrastructure, and incentivize workforce training have proven instrumental in facilitating transformation (Sia et al., 2024; Schöggl et al., 2023). Conversely, bureaucratic inefficiencies, fragmented regulatory standards, and compliance burdens often delay digital adoption and create uncertainties for firms seeking to modernize (Zhang & Yao, 2021; Fang et al., 2020). Comparisons across global regions highlight these disparities: while the European Union's Digital Single Market has streamlined digital integration across member states (Iftikhar & Khan, 2022), emerging economies continue to struggle with inconsistent regulatory environments and underdeveloped infrastructures, limiting their capacity to scale digital innovations (Iglesias et al., 2024). This underscores the importance of context-specific regulatory frameworks that balance oversight with flexibility, enabling industries to innovate while maintaining accountability.

The interplay of these systemic factors reveals that digital transformation is not solely determined by technological readiness but by the broader socio-economic and political context. For example, government initiatives to strengthen STEM education directly address workforce skill gaps, creating long-term resilience in digital capabilities (Schöggl et al., 2023). Similarly, financial incentives for research and development lower economic barriers while promoting innovation ecosystems that spill over into traditional industries. These findings resonate with broader theories of institutional economics, which argue that structural environments heavily condition firm-level outcomes (Borowski, 2021). As such, the capacity of industries to successfully navigate digital transformation is as much a function of systemic support and coordination as it is of individual organizational readiness.

Potential solutions for overcoming these entrenched barriers center on integrative strategies that combine organizational, educational, and policy-level interventions. Continuous training and

professional development programs, tailored to specific industry needs, emerge as a recurrently cited solution in the literature (Coleman et al., 2016; Charles & Emrouznejad, 2018). Beyond internal organizational efforts, partnerships between firms and educational institutions represent another avenue for bridging skill gaps, creating pipelines of digitally competent workers while embedding industry-relevant training in academic curricula (Amer et al., 2022; Taifa & Nzowa, 2025). Public-private partnerships further extend this model by promoting collaborative innovation and facilitating the transfer of knowledge and best practices across organizational boundaries (Jin et al., 2024; Damian et al., 2019). These strategies not only address immediate skill shortages but also foster longer-term adaptability, a quality increasingly identified as central to resilience in digital economies (Volberda et al., 2021).

Policy support remains a critical enabler of these solutions. Governmental incentives for firms that invest in workforce training, digital infrastructure, and R&D help offset economic risks while creating systemic momentum for transformation (Schöggl et al., 2023). Policies that promote collaborative ecosystems, where public, private, and academic institutions align efforts, have demonstrated effectiveness in accelerating digital transitions while ensuring inclusivity (Sia et al., 2024). Moreover, international examples suggest that clear, transparent, and harmonized regulatory frameworks, such as those developed by the EU, not only enhance trust but also stimulate cross-border innovation and competitiveness (Iftikhar & Khan, 2022). These findings reinforce the view that supportive public policies are not merely complementary to organizational efforts but are integral to the success of digital transformation in traditional industries.

Despite these advances, the literature remains constrained by several limitations. Much of the existing research is geographically concentrated in developed economies, leaving gaps in understanding the unique challenges and opportunities in emerging markets where infrastructural and cultural conditions differ markedly (Kanat et al., 2024). Similarly, while numerous studies document the technological aspects of digital transformation, fewer provide comprehensive analyses of the human and organizational dimensions, which are often decisive in determining success or failure (Cosa & Torelli, 2024; Samantaray, 2023). Case study methodologies, though valuable in illustrating sector-specific dynamics, limit the generalizability of findings, highlighting the need for more cross-sectoral and comparative research designs. Furthermore, empirical data on the long-term impacts of digital transformation initiatives remain scarce, as many studies focus on short-term adoption outcomes rather than sustained organizational change (Ávila-Gutiérrez et al., 2025). These limitations underscore the necessity for future research that is both broader in scope and deeper in analytical focus, incorporating diverse geographic regions, longitudinal perspectives, and interdisciplinary approaches.

CONCLUSION

This narrative review has examined the multifaceted dynamics of digital transformation within traditional industries, focusing on technological drivers, organizational and human resource dimensions, economic and market implications, and regulatory frameworks. The findings underscore that advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence, the Internet of Things, blockchain, and digital twin systems significantly enhance operational efficiency, sustainability, and competitiveness. However, their successful implementation depends critically on organizational

adaptability, employee digital literacy, and leadership commitment. Economic challenges, particularly for small and medium-sized enterprises, highlight the need for financial incentives and supportive frameworks to mitigate resource constraints and uncertain returns on investment. Regulatory environments likewise play a decisive role, with proactive policies accelerating adoption while fragmented standards hinder progress. The discussion revealed that systemic social, economic, and political factors shape the trajectory of digital adoption, with solutions emerging from continuous training, public-private collaboration, and harmonized policies that promote innovation and inclusivity. Future research should expand geographically, particularly into emerging markets, adopt longitudinal perspectives, and integrate interdisciplinary approaches to capture the long-term and systemic effects of transformation. Ultimately, digital transformation is not only a technological imperative but also a socio-economic and cultural shift that requires coordinated strategies across stakeholders to ensure sustainable and equitable progress.

REFERENCE

Almashhour, R., Al-Mhdawi, M., Daghfous, A., Qazi, A., & Udechukwu, U. (2025). Traditional to sustainable risk management in the construction industry: a systematic literature review. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business.* https://doi.org/10.1108/ijmpb-01-2025-0021

Amer, M., Radwhi, A., Ali, A., & Ali, A. (2022). An integrated digital collaborative work environment for drilling. https://doi.org/10.2523/iptc-22546-ea

Ávila-Gutiérrez, M., Roldán, A., Morato-Huerta, P., & Lama-Ruiz, J. (2025). Modernizing small and medium-sized enterprises: a lean audit model for digital integration. *Systems, 13*(4), 304. https://doi.org/10.3390/systems13040304

Bai, S., Tan, Y., Han, C., Yang, M., Gupta, B., Arya, V., … & Kumar, N. (2024). Unveiling the evolution of enterprise digital innovation strategies: insights from U.S.-listed companies' annual reports. *IEEE Transactions on Computational Social Systems, 11*(6), 8243-8256. https://doi.org/10.1109/tcss.2024.3360040

Balashova, E. & Maiorova, K. (2021). Transforming industrial value creation in aspect of sustainable technology digitalization. *E3S Web of Conferences, 258*, 12006. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202125812006

Barile, D., Secundo, G., & Vecchio, P. (2022). Food 4.0 for competing during the COVID-19 pandemic: experimenting digitalization in family firms. *European Journal of Innovation Management, 27*(4), 1381-1402. https://doi.org/10.1108/ejim-07-2022-0373

Borowski, P. (2021). Digitization, digital twins, blockchain, and industry 4.0 as elements of management process in enterprises in the energy sector. *Energies, 14*(7), 1885. https://doi.org/10.3390/en14071885

Charles, V. & Emrouznejad, A. (2018). Big data for the greater good: an introduction, 1-18. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-93061-9_1

Coleman, S., Göb, R., Manco, G., Pievatolo, A., Tort-Martorell, X., & Reis, M. (2016). How can SMEs benefit from big data? Challenges and a path forward. *Quality and Reliability Engineering International, 32*(6), 2151-2164. https://doi.org/10.1002/qre.2008

Cosa, M. & Torelli, R. (2024). Digital transformation and flexible performance management: a systematic literature review of the evolution of performance measurement systems. *Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management, 25*(3), 445-466. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40171-024-00409-9

Damian, A., Piciu, L., Turlea, S., & Ţăpuş, N. (2019). Advanced customer activity prediction based on deep hierarchic encoder-decoders, 403-409. https://doi.org/10.1109/cscs.2019.00074

Fang, I., Chen, P., Chiu, H., Lin, C., & Su, F. (2020). Establishing the digital transformation strategies for the med-tech enterprises based on the AIA-NRM approach. *Applied Sciences, 10*(21), 7574. https://doi.org/10.3390/app10217574

Gallese, C., Falletti, E., Nobile, M., Ferrario, L., Schettini, F., & Foglia, E. (2020). Preventing litigation with a predictive model of COVID-19 ICUs occupancy, 2111-2116. https://doi.org/10.1109/bigdata50022.2020.9378295

Ghobakhloo, M. & Iranmanesh, M. (2021). Digital transformation success under industry 4.0: a strategic guideline for manufacturing SMEs. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management, 32*(8), 1533-1556. https://doi.org/10.1108/jmtm-11-2020-0455

Iftikhar, H., Riaz, A., Nazir, N., Noreen, S., & Khan, M. (2025). Transforming business in the digital age using artificial intelligence (AI), 153-174. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-6386-7.ch007

Iftikhar, R. & Khan, M. (2022). Social media big data analytics for demand forecasting, 902-920. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-3662-2.ch042

Iglesias, I., Marinich, J., Anechina, L., Cortés, M., & Domínguez, A. (2024). Understanding the national healthcare ecosystem to position medical affairs as a strategic element: lessons learned from AstraZeneca Spain. *Pharmaceutical Medicine, 39*(1), 39-50. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40290-024-00542-x

Jin, K., Zhong, Z., & Zhao, E. (2024). Sustainable digital marketing under big data: an AI random forest model approach. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management, 71*, 3566-3579. https://doi.org/10.1109/tem.2023.3348991

Kanat, G., Yang, Z., Wang, C., Akbar, I., & Mominov, S. (2024). Evaluation of competitiveness of e-commerce websites in Kazakhstan. *Sustainability, 16*(24), 10972. https://doi.org/10.3390/su162410972

Kumar, V., Vijayakumar, V., Gupta, M., Rodrigues, J., & Janu, N. (2022). AI empowered big data analytics for industrial applications. *JUCS - Journal of Universal Computer Science, 28*(9), 877-881. https://doi.org/10.3897/jucs.94155

Lee, J., Azamfar, M., Singh, J., & Siahpour, S. (2020). Integration of digital twin and deep learning in cyber-physical systems: towards smart manufacturing. *IET Collaborative Intelligent Manufacturing, 2*(1), 34-36. https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-cim.2020.0009

Lee, J., Tin, S., & Gunawan, J. (2024). Sustainable transformation in China's agricultural sector: from traditional narrow patterns to smart dynamic production. *Foresight and STI Governance, 18*(3), 6-15. https://doi.org/10.17323/2500-2597.2024.3.6.15

Luo, J., Huang, M., Bai, Y., & Li, J. (2023). Supply chain management during a public health emergency of international concern: a bibliometric and content analysis. *Processes, 11*(3), 713. https://doi.org/10.3390/pr11030713

Papí-Gálvez, N., Ruiz, A., & Berna, S. (2020). Digital transformation in the advertising industry from a gender perspective: an analysis of the top agencies. *El Profesional De La Información, 29*(3). https://doi.org/10.3145/epi.2020.may.23

Pezzotta, G., Arioli, V., Adrodegari, F., Rapaccini, M., Saccani, N., Rakić, S., … & Gaiardelli, P. (2022). Digital servitization in the manufacturing sector: survey preliminary results, 310-320. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-16411-8_37

Pezzotta, G., Arioli, V., Adrodegari, F., Rapaccini, M., Saccani, N., Rakić, S., … & Gaiardelli, P. (2023). The digital servitization of manufacturing sector: evidence from a worldwide digital servitization survey, 165-180. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-43666-6_12

Proto, S., Corso, E., Apiletti, D., Cagliero, L., Cerquitelli, T., Malnati, G., … & Mazzucchi, D. (2020). REDTAG: a predictive maintenance framework for parcel delivery services. *IEEE Access, 8*, 14953-14964. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2020.2966568

Samantaray, R. (2023). ADAS sensor data handling in the world of autonomous mobility. https://doi.org/10.4271/2023-01-0993

Saragih, S., Ginting, H., Tjakraatmadja, J., & Pratama, A. (2025). Decent work in the digital context: scale development and validation. *Business Strategy & Development, 8*(1). https://doi.org/10.1002/bsd2.70058

Schöggl, J., Rusch, M., Stumpf, L., & Baumgartner, R. (2023). Implementation of digital technologies for a circular economy and sustainability management in the manufacturing

sector. *Sustainable Production and Consumption, 35*, 401-420. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2022.11.012

Sharma, V., Jamwal, A., Agrawal, R., & Pratap, S. (2024). A review on digital transformation in healthcare waste management: applications, research trends and implications. *Waste Management & Research, 43*(6), 828-849. https://doi.org/10.1177/0734242x241285420

Sia, W., Ahmad, Z., Muhamad, S., Ali, A., & Hamdan, H. (2024). Effective risk management through data-driven HSE assurance program for safe execution project delivery. https://doi.org/10.2118/221994-ms

Silva, C., Borges, A., & Magano, J. (2021). Quality control 4.0: a way to improve the quality performance and engage shop floor operators. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management, 39*(6), 1471-1487. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijqrm-05-2021-0138

Sjøbakk, B. (2018). The strategic landscape of industry 4.0, 122-127. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-99707-0_16

Steiber, A. & Alvarez, D. (2024). AI-driven digital business ecosystems: a study of Haier's EMCs. *European Journal of Innovation Management.* https://doi.org/10.1108/ejim-01-2024-0076

Taifa, I. & Nzowa, J. (2025). Implementing supply chain management 4.0: potential driving forces and strategies from an empirical study of pharmaceutical industries. *Engineering Reports, 7*(6). https://doi.org/10.1002/eng2.70190

TRIPOLI, M. & SCHMIDHUBER, J. (2020). Optimising traceability in trade for live animals and animal products with digital technologies. *Revue Scientifique et Technique de l'OIE, 39*(1), 235-244. https://doi.org/10.20506/rst.39.1.3076

Trstenjak, M., Opetuk, T., Cajner, H., & Tošanović, N. (2020). Process planning in industry 4.0—current state, potential and management of transformation. *Sustainability, 12*(15), 5878. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12155878

Ulez'ko, A., РЕЙМЕР, B., & Ulezko, O. (2019). Theoretical and methodological aspects of digitalization in agriculture. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 274*(1), 012062. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/274/1/012062

Vijayalakshmi, A. & Morarji, A. (2025). Digital transformation and its effect on the retail and manufacturing industries in Tamil Nadu. *International Research Journal of Multidisciplinary Scope, 06*(02), 1196-1204. https://doi.org/10.47857/irjms.2025.v06i02.03836

Volberda, H., Khanagha, S., Baden-Fuller, C., Mihalache, O., & Birkinshaw, J. (2021). Strategizing in a digital world: overcoming cognitive barriers, reconfiguring routines and introducing new organizational forms. *Long Range Planning, 54*(5), 102110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2021.102110

Zhang, Y. & Yao, L. (2021). How the leading Chinese real estate brokerage transformed into a digital platform business. *Strategy and Leadership, 50*(1), 19-24. https://doi.org/10.1108/sl-10-2021-0107