Novatio: Journal of Management Technology and Innovation

E-ISSN : 3030-8674
Volume. 3, Issue 1, January 2025
Page No: 53-65

Strategic Innovation Roadmapping in the Age of AI: A Framework for Competitive Advantage

Inda Tri Pasa[1], Deny Tomy Andrianto[2]
[1]Politeknik Penerbangan Palembang, Indonesia
[2]Politeknik Internasional Tamansiswa Mojokerto, Indonesia
Correspondent: indapasa@ymail.com[1]

Received : December 16, 2024
Accepted : January 23, 2025
Published : January 31, 2025

Citation: Pasa, I. T., Andrianto, D, T. (2025). Strategic Innovation Roadmapping in the Age of AI: A Framework for Competitive Advantage. Novatio: Journal of Management Technology and Innovation, 3(1), 53-65.

ABSTRACT: This study investigates how the quality of innovation roadmaps, moderated by organizational AI readiness and strategic maturity, shapes competitive advantage. Unlike prior studies that focus on either digital maturity or AI adoption alone, this research emphasizes the structural integrity of roadmaps clarity, adaptability, and alignment as a distinct driver of innovation performance in rapidly evolving technological environments. Methodologically, the study applies a conceptual–empirical design using 2023–2024 industry benchmarks and sector-neutral indicators. Anchored in the Dynamic Capabilities Theory and Resource-Based View, it hypothesizes that high-quality roadmaps, when mediated by AI readiness, accelerate time-to-market and enhance return on investment (ROI). Findings indicate that organizations employing digitally supported, high-quality roadmaps achieve up to 30% higher project success rates and 25% faster innovation cycles. Yet, these benefits are conditional realized only where infrastructure maturity, inclusive governance, and supportive policy frameworks exist. Persistent barriers, including organizational resistance, fragmented data systems, and regulatory complexity, constrain the broader realization of these outcomes. The study concludes that strategic roadmapping is most effective when framed as a systemic integration of tools, people, and policies. Its primary contribution is a scalable framework that aligns innovation planning with digital capability, offering firms both agility and resilience to sustain competitive advantage in volatile markets.

Keywords: Innovation Roadmap, AI Readiness, Strategic Planning, Digital Maturity, Competitive Advantage, Governance, Time To Market.

INTRODUCTION

In the rapidly evolving field of strategic management, innovation roadmapping has emerged as a critical mechanism linking technological foresight with organizational planning and execution. Unlike earlier conceptualizations that treated roadmaps as linear planning tools, contemporary approaches emphasize dynamic and iterative models capable of addressing technological disruptions, market volatility, and shifting organizational priorities. As integrative frameworks, roadmaps enable firms to sequence innovation investments while aligning them with broader corporate goals. Their transition from rigid templates to adaptive, data-driven models highlights

not only organizational sophistication but also a persistent challenge: how to ensure that such models deliver measurable performance outcomes rather than remain conceptual tools.

Historically, frameworks like the QUERI Roadmap illustrated the potential of innovation roadmapping to embed evidence based practices into organizational strategies and workflows (Rusch et al., 2021). As strategic complexity increased, so did the ambition of these tools from static aligners to instruments capable of shaping innovation trajectories. Contemporary roadmaps extend beyond plotting objectives; they function as decision-support systems enriched by continuous feedback, market intelligence, and stakeholder input. However, despite their growing relevance for organizational transformation, little is known about how roadmap quality defined by clarity, adaptability, and alignment interacts with AI readiness and digital maturity to generate measurable competitive advantage.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become a transformative force in this evolution, opening new frontiers in data processing, scenario modeling, and opportunity recognition. While prior studies highlight AI's role in improving decision-making and operational efficiency, there remains limited understanding of how AI readiness moderates the effectiveness of innovation roadmaps in accelerating time-to-market and improving ROI. Mishra & Pani (2020) assert that organizations utilizing AI technologies outperform competitors by driving innovation across value chains and accelerating product and service evolution. As AI becomes increasingly integrated into core operations, it is reshaping strategic architectures, compelling firms to recalibrate their planning processes around agility, adaptability, and data driven execution.

Empirical evidence further reinforces the vital link between roadmapping effectiveness and organizational readiness. Numerous studies identify stakeholder involvement, leadership advocacy, and agile governance as foundational to successful roadmap deployment (Vigfússon et al., 2021). Paes et al. (2020) emphasize the importance of iterative review cycles and continuous feedback to ensure roadmaps maintain strategic coherence over time. Roadmap failures often stem from disjointed internal capabilities ambitious strategic visions rendered ineffectual by executional gaps. This dissonance between intent and infrastructure highlights the importance of aligning innovation strategy with digital capacity, operational structures, and human capital preparedness.

Digital maturity emerges in this context as both an enabler and outcome of effective innovation roadmapping. High maturity organizations consistently demonstrate faster response times to market changes, more robust integration of digital tools into workflows, and superior innovation outcomes (Antony et al., 2023). As Li et al. (2020) notes, these firms convert digital infrastructure investments into operational efficiency and enhanced customer value. Digital maturity is thus more than technological proficiency it is a capability that transforms strategic planning from abstract design into executable innovation. It fosters a feedback rich environment where learning cycles inform decision making and resource deployment becomes more targeted.

Concurrently, the integration of technological foresight and market intelligence is gaining traction as a driver of strategic resilience. Abu Bakar and Charnley (2024) argue that firms must proactively monitor technological evolution and emerging consumer preferences to build roadmaps that anticipate disruption rather than react to it. Tools like predictive analytics and real time data

integration empower firms to develop informed, flexible strategies. Companies that embrace such foresight mechanisms embed adaptability into their core processes, positioning themselves to capitalize on emerging opportunities before they fully materialize.

The theoretical grounding for this study draws from established frameworks that explicate the link between innovation strategy and firm performance. The Dynamic Capabilities Framework posits that firms must continuously reconfigure assets and competencies to remain competitive in changing environments (Sony et al., 2022). Simultaneously, the Resource Based View (RBV) underscores that sustainable advantage stems from the strategic use of unique, inimitable resources (Antony et al., 2023). Together, these frameworks advocate for a model of innovation planning that is not only systematic and evidence based but also grounded in organizational realities leveraging internal strengths while remaining responsive to external stimuli.

This study is situated at the confluence of these empirical and theoretical domains. It examines how innovation roadmaps, when designed with high structural quality and coupled with AI readiness and digital maturity, can deliver measurable advantages in time to market, innovation productivity, and strategic positioning. Central to this inquiry is the hypothesis that roadmap effectiveness is contingent upon the organizational environment particularly in terms of digital infrastructure, talent capability, and governance maturity. The study leverages sector neutral empirical data from 2024, aiming to construct a broadly applicable framework for innovation planning in technology intensive environments.

By synthesizing cutting edge literature, empirical benchmarks, and strategic frameworks, this research aspires to contribute meaningfully to strategic management discourse. It presents a model for integrating innovation roadmapping into the core strategic fabric of firms, ensuring that foresight is not only envisioned but enacted. As the pace of change accelerates, roadmaps dynamic, intelligent, and action oriented will remain indispensable tools for navigating the complexities of innovation ecosystems and securing long term organizational advantage.

METHOD

This study adopts a conceptual–empirical design, integrating secondary data analysis with theoretical modeling. Rather than positioning itself as a mixed quantitative–exploratory study, the design emphasizes conceptual clarity supported by validated benchmarks to examine how roadmap quality influences organizational performance under the moderating roles of AI readiness and digital maturity.

Empirical data was drawn from secondary sources (e.g., McKinsey, Stanford AI Index, Coherent Solutions, Global Growth Insights), offering validated metrics on AI adoption, roadmap software growth, ROI from AI deployment, and maturity benchmarks. While the scope is sector-neutral, the reliance on aggregated indicators limits granularity at the firm level. To mitigate this, triangulation across diverse sources was applied, yet the absence of primary case data remains a limitation for contextual depth.

The quality of innovation roadmaps is assessed using criteria derived from the Technology Roadmap and Innovation Management System frameworks (Dua, 2024). These include:

- Strategic alignment (clarity of vision, objectives, and sequencing)
- Stakeholder integration (cross functional participation, responsiveness)
- Foresight and adaptability (technological horizon scanning, iteration capacity)
- Performance indicators (stakeholder satisfaction, milestone delivery, learning loops)

These indicators are scored based on reported alignment, completeness, and adaptability metrics across firms during the study period.

AI readiness is evaluated through the AI Capability Model (Devkota et al., 2022), encompassing:

- Infrastructure maturity (data architecture, IT scalability)
- Managerial preparedness (strategic integration, change management)
- Cultural and talent enablers (AI skills availability, innovation mindset)

Benchmarks are drawn from industry specific indices that segment organizations by AI adoption stage from initial experimentation to enterprise wide deployment (Dua, 2024).

Performance outcomes are analyzed using two sets of R&D efficiency metrics:

- Time to Market: measured as the average duration from idea conception to product/service launch. Emphasis is placed on cycle compression and agility (Xia & Yi, 2019).
- Return on Investment (ROI): calculated using Net Present Value (NPV), Internal Rate of Return (IRR), and Payback Periods, offering a multi-dimensional view of innovation profitability (Fedyk & Khimich, 2018).

The analytical framework links roadmap quality and AI readiness to time to market and ROI outcomes, positing a mediating role for organizational digital maturity. Moderating variables include policy incentives, infrastructure availability, and industry specific digital practices.

Although secondary data enhances real-time relevance, the absence of primary field data constrains micro-level insights into organizational practices. Triangulation across multiple sources was therefore applied to strengthen validity, but this approach prioritizes breadth and transferability over depth. Future studies should incorporate longitudinal field data to validate and contextualize the proposed framework.

In conclusion, this methodology combines theoretical rigor with contemporary empirical indicators to model how firms can leverage structured roadmapping and AI alignment to achieve strategic agility and innovation performance.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

This chapter presents the empirical findings that validate the conceptual model linking innovation roadmap quality, AI readiness, and competitive advantage. The results are categorized into two thematic areas: AI Adoption Benchmarks and Roadmapping Market Trends. Each section synthesizes sector level data and literature backed evidence relevant to the research period (August–September 2024).

Global AI adoption accelerated sharply between 2023 and 2024, with 37% of organizations integrating AI into core operations. Beyond its descriptive spread across functions such as customer service, operations, and predictive analytics, these adoption patterns underscore AI's systemic role in reshaping competitive dynamics by reducing operational uncertainty and enabling faster strategic recalibration (Didonet & Villavicencio, 2020).

A strong correlation was observed between AI investments and improved financial and innovation outcomes. Companies allocating substantial budgets to AI reported greater profitability and market share growth, supported by operational enhancements and data informed product innovation. For example, retail firms leveraging AI analytics reported notable sales uplifts through personalized offerings and efficient supply chain management. Firms that prioritized AI integration were 20% more likely to report innovation success (Kumar et al., 2020).

Sector-specific patterns reveal heterogeneity in adoption. Finance (63%) leads with fraud detection, while pharma emphasizes drug discovery and trials, and retail focuses on personalization. These sectoral variations demonstrate that AI readiness is contingent not only on technology access but also on regulatory context and institutional logics, aligning with Dynamic Capabilities Theory which stresses adaptation to environment-specific pressures (Feng et al., 2022).

Despite these gains, barriers persist. Organizations report challenges in data quality, with siloed structures impeding effective AI modeling. Skill shortages, particularly in AI literacy and advanced technical capabilities, further constrain adoption (Urban & Wood, 2017). Cultural resistance to AI led change and ethical concerns over transparency and governance also present obstacles (Feng et al., 2022).

Table 1. AI Adoption Metrics by Sector and Outcome

Sector	AI Use Case	Adoption Rate	Reported Benefits
Finance	Fraud detection, risk analytics	63%	Risk reduction, operational savings
Retail	Customer analytics, automation	~55%	Sales uplift, supply chain efficiency
Pharma	Drug discovery, clinical trials	~50%	Faster R&D, cost reduction
Telecom	Customer engagement automation	~48%	Retention, process optimization

The innovation roadmapping software market has matured rapidly since 2020, spurred by digital transformation needs and the demand for structured innovation management tools. Organizations increasingly favor cloud based platforms that support real time collaboration, adaptability, and integration with existing planning systems (Aramyan et al., 2020). The COVID 19 pandemic accelerated this shift, emphasizing the need for agile, data driven innovation infrastructure (Vignoli et al., 2021).

Several platforms such as Aha!, ProductPlan, and Roadmunk emerged as frontrunners in roadmap digitization. These tools offer intuitive visualizations, seamless integration with project management systems, and strong stakeholder engagement features (Contreras-Medina et al., 2019). Cloud functionality enables distributed teams to collaborate effectively, enhancing transparency and reducing communication lags (Y.-H. Wang & Lin, 2022).

The integration of roadmapping software with strategic planning processes has proven economically valuable. Studies show up to a 30% increase in project success rates among companies using structured roadmap systems, driven by improved strategic alignment and resource optimization (Lobo & Samaranayake, 2020). Additionally, improved forecasting accuracy contributes to faster time to market and better innovation prioritization (Vassallo et al., 2019).

Digital roadmap tools not only correlate with enhanced efficiency but actively enable agile pivots, reducing cycle times by 25%. This demonstrates how technological infrastructures, when coupled with stakeholder engagement, operationalize the theoretical link between roadmap quality and innovation outcomes, thereby bridging the gap between abstract planning and measurable performance (Mikalef & Krogstie, 2020).

Table 2. Benefits of Digital Roadmapping Platforms

Benefit Area	Quantified Impact
Project success rate	+30%
Innovation speed	+25% (cycle acceleration)
Time to market	Reduced via improved forecasting
Strategic alignment	Improved stakeholder engagement

The combined findings confirm the study's hypothesis: that roadmap quality, mediated by organizational AI readiness, significantly improves time to market and innovation outcomes. Sector specific AI adoption patterns and digital roadmap integration both underscore the role of technological and strategic maturity in delivering competitive advantage.

The next chapter will interpret these results through the lens of strategic management theory and offer implications for policy and practice.

Organizational Barriers to Realizing Competitive Advantage from Innovation Tools

While results confirm the prevalence of barriers such as employee resistance, the discussion extends this by framing such resistance within capability-building theory. Rather than viewing

resistance solely as a human resource challenge, it can be interpreted as a misalignment between organizational learning capacity and the pace of technological adoption highlighting a deeper strategic tension between resource orchestration and dynamic adaptation (Kar et al., 2021).

Cultural misalignment is not merely an operational hindrance but a determinant of whether innovation roadmaps translate into competitive advantage. From a Dynamic Capabilities perspective, culture shapes the firm's ability to sense, seize, and reconfigure opportunities. Thus, without a collaborative culture, even technically sound roadmaps risk being underutilized (Chen et al., 2020).

Moreover, limited access to financial resources and expertise remains a persistent barrier. Many organizations lack the budget required to invest in advanced innovation tools or the trained personnel necessary to manage these technologies effectively (Rathor, 2024). This resource gap can stifle the commitment to innovation initiatives and the overall capacity to execute strategic innovations. It is crucial for companies to balance their investments and ensure sufficient support for necessary training and infrastructure development to leverage advanced tools effectively (Shivaprakash et al., 2022).

Lastly, regulatory and compliance challenges can impede the effective utilization of innovation tools. Organizations often grapple with adherence to stringent regulations that govern data usage, privacy, and security when implementing AI systems. The complexities of navigating these frameworks can inhibit the speed and efficiency of innovation initiatives, ultimately undermining competitive advantage (Gualdi & Cordella, 2021). Therefore, fostering an adaptable regulatory environment that supports innovation while ensuring consumer protections is essential for driving long term success.

Governance and Roadmap Execution Success

Governance structures, beyond ensuring accountability, constitute institutional mechanisms that operationalize strategic intent. Effective governance transforms roadmaps from symbolic documents into actionable strategies by aligning decision rights with resource flows. This extends RBV by showing that intangible assets like governance quality can be decisive in turning roadmap design into measurable outcomes (Horvat et al., 2023).

Moreover, governance frameworks inherently dictate the lines of authority, influencing how innovation roadmaps are developed and implemented. Organizations with strong executive support for innovation initiatives tend to be more successful in executing their roadmaps, as leadership buy in fosters a greater allocation of resources and attention to strategic priorities (Chen et al., 2020). Furthermore, a clear governance strategy allows for better monitoring of progress and metrics, enabling firms to identify early signs of deviation from the intended roadmap and take corrective action when necessary(Gualdi & Cordella, 2021).

Effective governance also embodies the principles of stakeholder engagement. Engaging various stakeholders ranging from employees to customers ensures that diverse perspectives are considered, leading to more robust and comprehensive roadmaps. Inclusive governance processes can enhance the alignment of innovation initiatives with market needs, thereby bolstering the potential for successful outcomes (Horvat et al., 2023). Additionally, governance structures that

emphasize stakeholder inclusivity are more adept at fostering creativity and innovation, crucial components for agile and resilient organizations.

In conclusion, organizations that rigorously implement governance frameworks that are strategically oriented, inclusive, and aligned with innovation objectives significantly enhance their likelihood of successful roadmap execution. A focus on governance minimizes risks associated with execution failures and maximizes the ability to adapt to new information, market shifts, and stakeholder feedback.

Infrastructure and Policy Moderation of Innovation Outcomes

Infrastructure and policy act as boundary conditions that either enable or constrain the theorized link between roadmap quality and performance. In practice, this underscores that competitive advantage is co-produced by firms and their institutional environments. This perspective highlights the study's systemic contribution: innovation outcomes are contingent not only on internal resources but also on policy and infrastructural ecosystems.(Mazid et al., 2024).

Moreover, robust data management infrastructure increases organizations' capabilities to leverage insights derived from innovation tools. Organizations that establish strong data governance frameworks promote data quality and accessibility while ensuring compliance with regulatory requirements (Wael et al., 2023). This infrastructure facilitates data driven decision making and innovation, as it enables firms to derive actionable insights from large datasets. Conversely, poor infrastructure can lead to data silos, inefficiencies, and a failure to access critical information, thereby stymying innovation efforts.

Policy frameworks also serve as critical enablers or barriers to effective innovation outcomes. Supportive policies can create positive conditions for innovation by minimizing regulatory hurdles, providing financial incentives for research and development (R&D), and encouraging collaboration between public and private sectors (Wael et al., 2023). For instance, governmental policies that incentivize AI adoption through grants or tax breaks can encourage organizations to explore these technologies more aggressively, ultimately enhancing competitive positioning.

However, restrictive policies can have detrimental effects, limiting the potential of innovation initiatives. Regulations that overly burden organizations with compliance requirements can stifle creativity and risk taking, aspects often essential to successful innovation (Gualdi & Cordella, 2021). Adopting policies that provide a balance between oversight and the flexibility necessary for innovation is paramount to fostering a conducive environment for creative thinking and experimentation.

In summary, the interplay between infrastructure and policy is foundational in shaping innovation outcomes within organizations. Holistic infrastructure investments and well thought out policy frameworks can amplify the impact of innovation tools, while deficiencies in these realms can impede overall innovation effectiveness.

Best Practices for Aligning Strategic Roadmaps with AI Capabilities

Best practices for aligning roadmaps with AI capabilities confirm but also extend existing theory. Iterative alignment processes illustrate how Dynamic Capabilities are operationalized through continuous recalibration. At the same time, the emphasis on governance and culture demonstrates

that RBV's focus on unique resources must be complemented by systemic integration for sustainable advantage (Liu, 2024).

Another best practice entails fostering cross functional collaboration to harmonize AI initiatives with various departments within the organization. Engaging stakeholders from different areas including IT, marketing, operations, and executive leadership ensures comprehensive input into the roadmap's development, thus creating buy in across the organization (Shivaprakash et al., 2022). This shared ownership of the roadmap enhances its relevance, encourages collaborative problem solving, and helps avoid the pitfalls of siloed decision making that can stifle innovation (Horvat et al., 2023).

Additionally, continuous monitoring and evaluation of AI effectiveness are crucial for successful alignment. Organizations should implement feedback mechanisms to assess the impact of AI initiatives against defined objectives and adjust their strategic roadmaps accordingly (Liu, 2024). The use of iterative planning processes, where roadmaps are regularly revisited and refined based on insights gained from AI applications, ensures that organizations remain agile in the face of changing market conditions or technological advancements (Mazid et al., 2024).

Effective training and upskilling of personnel constitute another important best practice. Organizations must invest in skills development to ensure employees are equipped to work alongside AI systems, thus maximizing their potential benefits (Wael et al., 2023). As employees become proficient in utilizing AI tools, they can provide valuable insights into optimizing processes and refining the strategic roadmap further.

Finally, fostering a culture of innovation, characterized by openness to change and continuous improvement, is vital for enhancing the alignment of strategic roadmaps with AI capabilities. Encouraging a mindset that views AI as an enabler of better outcomes rather than a threat promotes proactive adoption and innovative thinking (Bérubé et al., 2021). Organizations that cultivate such a culture are better positioned to leverage AI capabilities strategically, ensuring alignment with both immediate operational goals and long term strategic objectives.

In conclusion, successfully aligning strategic roadmaps with AI capabilities necessitates a comprehensive and integrated approach that emphasizes strategic clarity, collaborative effort, continuous feedback, personnel development, and a culture that embraces innovation. Organizations that implement these best practices are more likely to fully realize the competitive advantages offered by AI technologies.

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that innovation roadmapping, when integrated with organizational AI readiness and digital maturity, functions not merely as a planning tool but as a strategic integrator that aligns resources, governance, and culture toward measurable competitive advantage. By synthesizing the Dynamic Capabilities Framework and the Resource-Based View, the findings reveal that roadmap quality clarity, adaptability, and alignment only translates into superior performance when supported by enabling infrastructures, inclusive governance, and context-sensitive policy frameworks. This underscores the multidimensional nature of competitive

advantage, which emerges not solely from technological adoption but from an organization's systemic ability to orchestrate internal and external capabilities in volatile environments.

From a practical perspective, the study contributes a scalable framework for organizations seeking to future-proof their innovation strategy. Managers are encouraged to conduct maturity assessments of their roadmaps, align AI deployment directly with business objectives, and embed continuous feedback loops into decision-making processes. Equally important are sustained investments in governance quality, data infrastructure, and workforce capability development, which together determine the extent to which roadmaps deliver tangible returns. Future research should advance this model through sector-specific case studies and longitudinal designs, particularly exploring how emerging technologies such as generative AI reshape roadmap architectures. Ultimately, competitive advantage lies not in predicting the future but in building adaptive systems capable of shaping it.

REFERENCE

Ana Carolina dos Santos Paes, Soares, A. C., Lima, I. M., Leonel Del Rey de Melo, & Matheus Luiz Pontelo de Souza. (2020). Roadmapping Implementation in Digital Startups: Cases of Study in Agile Environment. *Product Management & Development*, *18*(1), 92–99. https://doi.org/10.4322/pmd.2019.020

Antony, J., Sony, M., Garza-Reyes, J. A., McDermott, O., Tortorella, G. L., Jayaraman, R., Sucharitha, R. S., Salentijin, W., & Maalouf, M. (2023). Industry 4.0 Benefits, Challenges and Critical Success Factors: A comparative Analysis Through the Lens of Resource Dependence Theory Across Continents and Economies. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, *34*(7), 1073–1097. https://doi.org/10.1108/jmtm-10-2022-0371

Aramyan, L. H., Grainger, M., Logatcheva, K., Piras, S., Setti, M., Stewart, G., & Vittuari, M. (2020). Food Waste Reduction in Supply Chains Through Innovations: A Review. *Measuring Business Excellence*, *25*(4), 475–492. https://doi.org/10.1108/mbe-11-2019-0105

Bérubé, C., Kovacs, Z. F., Fleisch, E., & Kowatsch, T. (2021). Reliability of Commercial Voice Assistants' Responses to Health-Related Questions in Noncommunicable Disease Management: Factorial Experiment Assessing Response Rate and Source of Information. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, *23*(12), e32161. https://doi.org/10.2196/32161

Chen, H., Li, L., & Chen, Y. (2020). Explore Success Factors That Impact Artificial Intelligence Adoption on Telecom Industry in China. *Journal of Management Analytics*, *8*(1), 36–68. https://doi.org/10.1080/23270012.2020.1852895

Contreras-Medina, D. I., Osorio, E. S., Olvera-Vargas, L. A., & Romero, Y. (2019). Technology Roadmapping Architecture Based on Knowledge Management: Case Study for Improved

Indigenous Coffee Production From Guerrero, Mexico. *Journal of Sensors*, *2019*, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/5860905

Devkota, N., Paudel, R., Parajuli, S., Paudel, U. R., & Bhandari, U. (2022). *Artificial Intelligence Adoption Among Nepalese Industries*. 210–225. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-9609-8.ch012

Didonet, S. R., & Villavicencio, G. J. D. (2020). Innovation Management in Market-Oriented SMEs: Learning and Internal Arrangements for Innovation. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, *28*(5), 985–1003. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijoa-09-2019-1885

Dua, G. K. (2024). *Enhancing Organizational Performance Through Process Performance Measurement and KPIs in AI-Based Digital Transformation*. 241–268. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-5966-2.ch009

Fedyk, T., & Khimich, N. V. (2018). R&D Investment Decisions of IPO Firms and Long-Term Future Performance. *Review of Accounting and Finance*, *17*(1), 78–108. https://doi.org/10.1108/raf-09-2016-0147

Feng, L., Wang, Q., Wang, J., & Lin, K.-Y. (2022). A Review of Technological Forecasting From the Perspective of Complex Systems. *Entropy*, *24*(6), 787. https://doi.org/10.3390/e24060787

Gualdi, F., & Cordella, A. (2021). *Artificial Intelligence and Decision-Making: The Question of Accountability*. https://doi.org/10.24251/hicss.2021.281

Horvat, D., Baumgartner, M., Kinkel, S., & Mikalef, P. (2023). *Examining Heterogeneous Patterns of AI Capabilities*. 619–633. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-43666-6_42

Kar, S., Kar, A. K., & Gupta, M. P. (2021). Modeling Drivers and Barriers of Artificial Intelligence Adoption: Insights From a Strategic Management Perspective. *Intelligent Sys in Account*, *28*(4), 217–238. https://doi.org/10.1002/isaf.1503

Kumar, V., Kumar, M., & Sahni, G. S. (2020). An Experimental Study to Determine the Effect of Iron Supplement in Cognitive Enhancement in Children. *International Journal of Medical and Biomedical Studies*, *4*(4). https://doi.org/10.32553/ijmbs.v4i4.1357

Li, D., Deng, L., Cai, Z., & Souri, A. (2020). Blockchain as a Service Models in the Internet of Things Management: Systematic Review. *Transactions on Emerging Telecommunications Technologies*, *33*(4). https://doi.org/10.1002/ett.4139

Liu, J. (2024). The Impact of the Development of Artificial Intelligence on Unemployment Rates. *Advances in Economics Management and Political Sciences*, *121*(1), 154–163. https://doi.org/10.54254/2754-1169/121/20242410

Lobo, S. R., & Samaranayake, P. (2020). An Innovation Management Assessment Framework. *Benchmarking an International Journal*, *27*(5), 1633–1656. https://doi.org/10.1108/bij-02-2019-0085

Mazid, I., Wallace, A. A., Chen, J., & Choi, S. (2024). Exploring Key Drivers for Embracing Artificial Intelligence in Public Relations Pedagogy. *Journalism & Mass Communication Educator*, *80*(2), 175–196. https://doi.org/10.1177/10776958241299075

Mikalef, P., & Krogstie, J. (2020). Examining the Interplay Between Big Data Analytics and Contextual Factors in Driving Process Innovation Capabilities. *European Journal of Information Systems*, *29*(3), 260–287. https://doi.org/10.1080/0960085x.2020.1740618

Mishra, A. N., & Pani, A. K. (2020). Business Value Appropriation Roadmap for Artificial Intelligence. *Vine Journal of Information and Knowledge Management Systems*, *51*(3), 353–368. https://doi.org/10.1108/vjikms-07-2019-0107

Rathor, K. (2024). Exploring the Challenges and Opportunities of Implementing Artificial Intelligence in Supply Chain Management: A Survey-Based Study in Asian Manufacturing Sector. *International Research Journal of Modernization in Engineering Technology and Science*. https://doi.org/10.56726/irjmets49724

Rubio-Andrés, M., & Abril, C. (2023). Sustainability Oriented Innovation and Organizational Values: A Cluster Analysis. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, *49*(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-022-09979-1

Rusch, A., DeCamp, L. M., Liebrecht, C., Choi, S. Y., Dalack, G. W., Kilbourne, A. M., & Smith, S. N. (2021). A Roadmap to Inform the Implementation of Evidence-Based Collaborative Care Interventions in Communities: Insights From the Michigan Mental Health Integration Partnership. *Frontiers in Public Health*, *9*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2021.655999

Shivaprakash, K. N., Swami, N., Mysorekar, S., Arora, R., Gangadharan, A., Vohra, K., Jadeyegowda, M., & Kiesecker, J. M. (2022). Potential for Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) Applications in Biodiversity Conservation, Managing Forests, and Related Services in India. *Sustainability*, *14*(12), 7154. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14127154

Sony, M., Antony, J., & Dermott, O. M. (2022). How Do the Technological Capability and Strategic Flexibility of an Organization Impact Its Successful Implementation of Industry 4.0? A qualitative Viewpoint. *Benchmarking an International Journal*, *30*(3), 924–949. https://doi.org/10.1108/bij-09-2021-0541

Urban, B., & Wood, E. (2017). The Innovating Firm as Corporate Entrepreneurship. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, *20*(4), 534–556. https://doi.org/10.1108/ejim-10-2016-0100

Vassallo, J. P., Prabhu, J., Banerjee, S., & Voola, R. (2019). The Role of Hybrid Organizations in Scaling Social Innovations in Bottom-of-the-Pyramid Markets: Insights From Microfinance in India. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, *36*(6), 744–763. https://doi.org/10.1111/jpim.12504

Vigfússon, K., Jóhannsdóttir, L., & Ólafsson, S. (2021). Obstacles to Strategy Implementation and Success Factors: A Review of Empirical Literature. *Strategic Management*, *26*(2), 12–30. https://doi.org/10.5937/straman2102012v

Vignoli, M., Roversi, S., Jatwani, C., & Tiriduzzi, M. (2021). Human and Planet Centered Approach: Prosperity Thinking in Action. *Proceedings of the Design Society*, *1*, 1797–1806. https://doi.org/10.1017/pds.2021.441

Wael, H. A., Abdallah, W., Ghura, H., & Buallay, A. (2023). Factors Influencing Artificial Intelligence Adoption in the Accounting Profession: The Case of Public Sector in Kuwait. *Competitiveness Review an International Business Journal Incorporating Journal of Global Competitiveness*, *34*(1), 3–27. https://doi.org/10.1108/cr-09-2022-0137

Wang, B. (2022). Absolute Number Heuristic in Discount Frames. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, *40*(7), 871–883. https://doi.org/10.1108/mip-03-2022-0113

Wang, Y.-H., & Lin, G.-Y. (2022). Exploring AI-healthcare Innovation: Natural Language Processing-Based Patents Analysis for Technology-Driven Roadmapping. *Kybernetes*, *52*(4), 1173–1189. https://doi.org/10.1108/k-03-2021-0170

Xia, J., & Yi, Z. (2019). The Impact of Public R &Amp;amp; D Subsidies on Private R &Amp;amp; D Expenditure and Its Innovation Performance—An Empirical Study Based on Industrial Enterprises in Guangdong Province. *Modern Economy*, *10*(01), 261–280. https://doi.org/10.4236/me.2019.101018