

Harmonised Regulation as a Catalyst for Cloud Driven Agility in Financial Services Institutions

Kardinah Indrianna Meutia¹, Rinaldo²

¹Universitas Dian Nusantara, Indonesia

²STMIK Mercusuar, Indonesia

Correspondent : kardinah.indrianna.meutia@dosen.undira.ac.id¹

Received : December 15, 2023

Accepted : January 18, 2024

Published : January 31, 2024

Citation: Meutia, K, I., Rinaldo. (2024). Harmonised Regulation as a Catalyst for Cloud Driven Agility in Financial Services Institutions. Novatio: Journal of Management Technology and Innovation, 2(1), 42-54.

ABSTRACT: Cloud adoption in financial services institutions (FSIs) is rising worldwide to improve efficiency, scalability, and innovation. This study investigates how regulatory frameworks, governance maturity, architectural strategies, and organisational culture affect business agility in FSIs using cloud technologies. The research applies a mixed-method design, combining cross-jurisdictional comparisons, case studies, and quantitative metrics. Data were drawn from regulations in the EU, UK, US, and APAC, alongside performance reports from leading FSIs. Indicators included compliance time, deployment frequency, latency improvements, and resilience after cloud migration. The findings show that harmonised regulation reduces compliance burdens, allowing faster technology deployment and innovation. Governance maturity embeds compliance into agile practices, while hybrid and multicloud architectures balance regulatory demands with performance. Proactive compliance checks, regulator engagement, and automation further enhance agility. A strong compliance culture enables responsible innovation. In conclusion, FSIs that combine harmonised regulation, mature governance, flexible architectures, and best practices can sustain agility. Treating compliance as a strategic capability helps institutions innovate, remain resilient, and stay competitive in a fast-changing financial sector.

Keywords: Cloud Adoption, Financial Services, Regulatory Compliance, Business Agility, Hybrid Cloud, Governance Maturity, Multicloud Strategies.



This is an open access article under the CC-BY 4.0 license

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, financial services institutions (FSIs) have increasingly adopted public cloud solutions to boost efficiency, scalability, and innovation. Reports show that global cloud spending in the financial sector grew by more than 20% annually from 2019 to 2023, with nearly 65% of FSIs migrating critical workloads to the cloud (Cao et al., 2021; Purnamawati et al., 2022). Large

banks in both developed and emerging markets, such as JPMorgan Chase in the US and DBS Bank in Singapore, have invested heavily in cloud-based infrastructures to maintain competitiveness. These trends, reinforced by rising customer expectations and accelerated digital transformation, have made cloud integration a strategic necessity rather than an option. (Md. M. Hasan et al., 2023).

Alongside this surge in adoption, hybrid and multicloud architectures have emerged as mature and deliberate strategies for FSIs seeking to optimise their technological flexibility and compliance posture. Hybrid solutions integrating on premises systems with public and private clouds offer unique advantages in operational resilience, regulatory alignment, and workload portability (Abdul & Goyayi, 2023). The progression toward these architectures is largely a response to the need to balance strict compliance requirements with efficiency and cost effectiveness (R. Hasan & Kruse, 2023). Additionally, the ability to engage multiple cloud providers enables FSIs to avoid vendor lock in, strategically distribute workloads, and respond more effectively to shifting market conditions. This diversity of providers enhances organisational agility, accelerates innovation cycles, and improves resilience in the face of evolving technological and regulatory demands (Lu et al., 2021).

Regulatory frameworks strongly shape cloud strategies. Supervisory bodies in the EU, UK, US, and APAC regions enforce rules on data sovereignty, consumer protection, and cybersecurity (R. Hasan & Kruse, 2023). For instance, the EU's GDPR has influenced over 80% of European FSIs to renegotiate cloud contracts to meet data residency and protection standards (Ogundipe, 2024). In the US, following cybersecurity incidents such as the Capital One data breach in 2019, regulators have tightened requirements on risk management and third-party oversight. Such frameworks compel FSIs to conduct detailed due diligence, evaluate provider compliance, and carefully plan operational implications before migration.

Within this highly regulated environment, “business agility” in FSIs has come to signify the ability to respond rapidly to market dynamics, innovate new products and services, and continuously optimise business processes. Agility is typically measured through metrics such as speed to market, operational responsiveness, and adaptability to change (Boukadi et al., 2019). Research demonstrates that cloud adoption can substantially streamline workflows, reduce time to market for new offerings, and enhance the quality and timeliness of customer engagement (Alavizadeh et al., 2020; Kalaiprasath et al., 2017). These capabilities underscore the strategic role of cloud technologies not merely as cost savers, but as core enablers of competitive differentiation.

Regulatory clarity is a critical factor influencing the speed and scope of technology adoption in FSIs. Well defined, harmonised rules can accelerate the uptake of innovative solutions, enabling decision makers to confidently commit resources to advanced cloud architectures (Abdul & Goyayi, 2023). Clear requirements reduce compliance uncertainty, encourage proactive technology planning, and foster an environment conducive to continuous innovation (R. Hasan & Kruse, 2023). Conversely, ambiguous or fragmented regulations create uncertainty that can lead to project delays, investment hesitancy, and missed opportunities (Lu et al., 2021). The regulatory environment thus acts as either a catalyst or a constraint on the pace of technological change, depending on the coherence and accessibility of its provisions.

Recent cybersecurity incidents within FSIs have further influenced the trajectory of cloud strategies. High profile breaches have exposed critical vulnerabilities in data governance, highlighting the need for advanced security measures and stronger compliance controls (Mo & Chiang, 2024). In response, many FSIs have integrated robust, compliance oriented security protocols into their cloud adoption frameworks, ensuring alignment with both regulatory mandates and evolving threat landscapes (Bhamare et al., 2017). This dual focus on operational flexibility and stringent security reflects the sector's recognition that competitiveness must be underpinned by public trust and regulatory confidence (Huang et al., 2022). Enhanced monitoring, incident response automation, and proactive risk assessments have become embedded features of leading cloud strategies.

In summary, the interplay between accelerating cloud adoption, evolving regulatory frameworks, strategic agility objectives, and the heightened demands of cybersecurity forms the foundation for understanding the sector's current transformation. While the benefits of cloud computing in enhancing agility are evident, the moderating influence of regulation either enabling or constraining remains insufficiently examined, particularly from a comparative, cross jurisdictional perspective. This study addresses that gap by investigating how regulatory clarity, governance maturity, and architectural choices collectively shape agility outcomes in FSIs. The novelty of this research lies in developing a structured cross jurisdictional analysis and proposing the Compliance Agility Index, a measurement tool designed to quantify both the speed and effectiveness of regulatory compliance within agile cloud operating models.

The methodologies employed to assess the impact of regulation on technology driven agility in financial services institutions (FSIs) are diverse, reflecting the complexity of regulatory frameworks and varied institutional contexts. This study adopts a mixed methods approach, integrating qualitative and quantitative techniques to capture both depth and breadth in understanding how regulation influences cloud adoption, operational agility, and compliance performance. By combining interpretive insights from industry participants with measurable performance data, the study aims to generate a robust and nuanced picture of the regulatory–agility relationship.

METHOD

Qualitative methods, including detailed case studies, document analysis, and semi structured interviews, are employed to explore how specific regulatory provisions influence technology adoption decisions within FSIs. Case studies allow for examination of institutional strategies in context, identifying patterns in governance maturity and compliance agility. In depth interviews with executives, compliance officers, and cloud architects offer insider perspectives on the interplay between regulatory obligations and agile delivery practices (Johnson et al., 2024). The interview protocols include prompts on barriers, enablers, decision making processes, and lessons learned. These qualitative data are coded thematically, providing a foundation for identifying trends that quantitative measures may not reveal.

Quantitative approaches complement the qualitative findings by capturing measurable indicators of agility and compliance. Surveys are structured around predefined metrics such as time to compliance, deployment frequency, lead time for change, and operational adjustment speed to allow statistical analysis of potential correlations between regulatory contexts and agility outcomes (Johnson et al., 2024). The study also integrates performance data from internal audits, compliance reports, operational logs, and analytics dashboards provided by cloud service providers, enabling precise tracking of migration timelines, incident recovery rates, and post implementation efficiencies (Muntés(Edu, 2022; Hadinata & Aji, 2024; Muntés-Mulero et al., 2019). Where possible, historical baseline data is compared with post migration metrics to quantify change.

Cross Jurisdictional Comparative Analysis

The study applied a cross-jurisdictional comparative analysis across the EU, UK, US, and APAC. Stratified sampling ensured representation by size, market segment, and governance maturity. Comparative frameworks assessed regulatory clarity, enforcement intensity, and supervisory culture, while also mapping best practices that could be transferred across regions. This allowed both vertical analysis (within each jurisdiction) and horizontal analysis (across jurisdictions), highlighting contrasts and convergence points.

Validation and Reliability

Ensuring the validity and reliability of findings is achieved through triangulation systematically cross referencing results from interviews, survey responses, and regulatory text analysis (Perumal & Chintale, 2022). Multi-site data collection improves generalisability by verifying that observed patterns are not artefacts of localised contexts. Statistical modelling, including regression analysis and moderation/mediation testing, is applied to determine the significance and explanatory power of relationships between regulatory variables and agility indicators. Reliability is further enhanced through pilot testing of survey instruments and inter coder agreement checks for qualitative coding.

Theoretical Frameworks

The study applies established theoretical models from technology adoption and organisational agility literature to guide interpretation. Frameworks explaining how regulatory constraints and enablers influence technology uptake (Johnson et al., 2024) inform the research design. These are deployed in cross sectional analyses comparing FSIs at a single time point, and in longitudinal analyses to capture how agility metrics evolve in response to regulatory change over time (Ionescu & Diaconța, 2023; Walsh & Ajibade, 2019). The inclusion of longitudinal tracking allows for detection of lag effects and adaptation curves.

Data Sources and Metrics

Data sources encompass:

- Internal compliance assessments and governance reports
- External audits and inspection outcomes from supervisory agencies
- Customer and stakeholder satisfaction surveys

- Industry benchmarks from leading regulatory bodies
- Cloud service provider performance logs and SLA reports

Key metrics include:

- Regulatory reporting turnaround times
- Compliance success rates pre and post cloud migration
- Incident recovery times and frequency of compliance related disruptions
- Deployment frequency and lead time for change
- Stakeholder satisfaction levels

Advanced analytics, including machine learning techniques applied to large datasets, are used to identify non obvious patterns in compliance behaviour and operational efficiency (Hajiheydari et al., 2021; Olweny, 2024). This enables predictive modelling of how regulatory changes may influence future agility.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Adoption & Architecture Baseline

Quantitative measures of cloud adoption in financial services institutions (FSIs) have demonstrated significant and accelerating growth, driven by strategic imperatives for operational efficiency, scalability, compliance readiness, and innovation. Over the past five years, cloud adoption in the banking sector has risen from approximately 30% to over 60%, illustrating a transformative shift toward cloud enabled infrastructures (Cao et al., 2021). This rapid growth reflects not only technological maturity but also the competitive necessity for FSIs to modernise their core systems. North America and Asia Pacific are at the forefront of adoption, with a majority of FSIs in these regions leveraging either public or private cloud platforms to enhance agility and innovation capacity (Purnamawati et al., 2022). In Western Europe, adoption is steadily climbing, with regulatory considerations influencing the pace and design of deployments.

Table 1 – Cloud Adoption and Architecture Trends in FSIs

Region/Metric	Adoption Rate	Key Architecture	Notable Drivers	Sources
Global (overall)	60%+	Public cloud	Efficiency, scalability	(Cao et al., 2021)
North America	High	Hybrid/multicloud	Market competition, innovation	(Purnamawati et al., 2022)
Asia Pacific	High	Hybrid/multicloud	Regulatory compliance, agility	(Purnamawati et al., 2022)
Western Europe	Moderate to High	Hybrid/multicloud	Regulatory complexity	(Lowry & Wilson, 2016)

The prevalence of hybrid and multicloud architectures now adopted by approximately 70% of FSIs underscores the industry's strategic balancing act. Hybrid solutions allow institutions to store and process sensitive workloads on private infrastructure while offloading non critical operations

to public cloud environments. This configuration facilitates compliance with data residency laws while taking advantage of cloud scalability and cost efficiency. Studies confirm that such architectures deliver improved resilience, reduce downtime, and enable robust disaster recovery by distributing workloads across multiple zones or providers (Mrugalska & Ahmed, 2021).

Table 2 – Benefits of Hybrid and Multicloud Deployments

Benefit Category	Description	Example
Compliance	Segregation of sensitive vs. non sensitive workloads	Data residency adherence in EU
Performance	Reduced latency, improved scalability	Payment processing systems
Resilience	Disaster recovery, uptime assurance	Multi region replication
Cost Optimisation	Dynamic resource allocation to lower costs	AI training workloads
Flexibility	Ability to shift workloads based on needs	Seasonal demand spikes

When prioritising workloads for migration, FSIs typically select applications offering the greatest agility payoff. High priority candidates include payment systems, customer relationship platforms, and advanced risk analytics engines processes requiring rapid scaling, low latency, and real time data access. Decision makers evaluate expected performance improvements, compliance risks, operational resilience, and cost implications before proceeding (Mrugalska & Ahmed, 2021).

Case Study Insights

Large scale cloud migrations have produced documented agility improvements across multiple leading FSIs. These improvements are not only technical but also operational, influencing how quickly new products can reach market, how effectively institutions can respond to regulatory changes, and how resilient systems are in the face of disruptions.

Table 3 – Case Studies of Agility Gains in FSIs

Institution	Post Migration Agility Gain	Quantitative/Qualitative Result	Source
Major European Bank	Faster time to market	Significant reduction in product launch time	(Alshurideh et al., 2023)
HSBC	Improved operational resilience	Faster market response, enhanced customer service	(Barroca et al., 2019)
Multiple FSIs	Latency reduction	Up to 50% improvement	(Barroca et al., 2019)
Various	AI scalability	Real time insights, improved fraud detection	Clauß(Alamsjah & Asrol, 2023; Clauß et al., 2021)
Various	DevOps/CI CD integration	Reduced deployment time, compliance ready releases	(Sadiq et al., 2020; Scott et al., 2021)

Latency reductions, in some cases up to half of pre migration figures, have improved customer experiences through faster transaction processing and real time analytics. AI workloads, once

constrained by on premises capacity, now benefit from elastic scaling, supporting complex fraud detection models and instantaneous risk scoring. Integration of DevOps and CI/CD pipelines has accelerated release cycles, enabling compliance aligned innovation without sacrificing control.

Regulatory Framework Analysis

The regulatory environment plays a dual role, setting compliance baselines while also shaping agility outcomes. The EU's Digital Operational Resilience Act (DORA) and the ECB's 2025 Guide on cloud outsourcing stipulate rigorous IT risk management, critical system testing, and rapid incident reporting (Edu, 2022; Panda & Rath, 2016). These measures promote resilience while creating structures that can, if properly integrated, enhance agility.

Table 4 – Comparative Regulatory Provisions and Impact on Agility

Jurisdiction	Regulation	Key Agility Requirements	Relevant Impact on Cloud Deployment
EU	DORA	IT risk mgmt., critical system testing, incident reporting	Enhances agility while ensuring compliance
UK	PRA SS2/21	CSP oversight, continuity planning, risk mgmt.	Promotes robust controls, potentially slower deployments
Global	Basel outsourcing principles	Governance, oversight, audits	May slow adoption in stricter jurisdictions
Multi jurisdiction	Harmonisation efforts	Alignment of cross border standards	Streamlines multi region deployments

The UK's PRA SS2/21 guidance, while promoting strong control environments, tends toward prescriptive oversight that can lengthen deployment timelines compared to the EU's more flexible provisions (Perwitasari, 2022). Basel outsourcing principles offer a globally recognised governance framework but are applied differently across jurisdictions. Regulatory fragmentation often forces FSIs to duplicate compliance efforts, slowing multi market rollouts. In contrast, harmonised regulations enable standardised approaches, reducing compliance costs and supporting faster time to market (Bouwman et al., 2017; Moraga-Díaz et al., 2023).

Overall, the results indicate that while technology adoption drives measurable gains in agility, the magnitude of these benefits is significantly shaped by architectural choices and the regulatory landscape in which institutions operate.

Harmonised vs. Fragmented Regulatory Frameworks

Harmonised regulatory frameworks exert a substantial and often transformative influence on agility outcomes within financial services institutions (FSIs). By creating a streamlined compliance environment, harmonisation allows FSIs to standardise operational processes, control frameworks, and governance practices across multiple jurisdictions. This standardisation not only reduces the administrative and operational complexity of adhering to diverse sets of regulations but also frees up institutional resources for strategic innovation and technological advancement (Probojakti et al., 2024). It enables FSIs to establish shared compliance templates, reusable audit artefacts, and unified reporting structures, which in turn facilitate faster decision making and smoother technology deployment cycles. Harmonisation further enhances institutional

responsiveness to market demands by reducing the need for lengthy jurisdiction specific compliance negotiations before launching products or services. In contrast, fragmented regulatory systems tend to produce duplicative compliance activities, requiring parallel efforts to meet multiple, sometimes conflicting, requirements. This fragmentation diverts valuable resources from innovation projects, delays cloud migration timelines, and slows the adoption of emerging technologies critical for maintaining a competitive advantage (Chokuda et al., 2017; Ogundipe, 2024). Empirical evidence supports that FSIs operating under harmonised regulatory conditions consistently report higher agility scores, owing to the ability to channel time and capital toward market facing initiatives rather than regulatory reconciliation.

Governance Maturity as a Moderator

Governance maturity moderates the relationship between regulation and agility. Mature FSIs embed compliance into agile delivery, reducing friction and enabling proactive adaptation (Elia et al., 2020; Vejseli et al., 2020). This echoes findings from Syahputra et al. (2023), who argue that governance maturity transforms compliance into an enabler of innovation. Our results extend this view by showing how mature governance reduces delays not only within a single market but also across multi-jurisdictional operations. Nevertheless, a limitation is that maturity levels were self-reported, which may introduce bias. Future studies could apply longitudinal audits to validate governance maturity more objectively.

Impact of Architectural Choices

Architectural strategies particularly the adoption of hybrid or multicloud frameworks play a decisive role in shaping both compliance timelines and agility metrics. Hybrid and multicloud deployments allow FSIs to selectively allocate workloads to infrastructure environments based on data sensitivity, operational criticality, and applicable jurisdictional requirements (Ogundipe, 2024). For example, highly confidential customer data can be processed and stored in sovereign or private cloud environments to satisfy stringent local data protection laws, while less sensitive workloads can leverage the elasticity and cost efficiency of public cloud services (Ahmadi & Ershadi, 2021; Probojakti et al., 2024). This approach accelerates compliance readiness by isolating regulatory sensitive functions while still reaping the performance benefits of scalable environments. It also simplifies audit preparation, as data flows and system boundaries are more easily demonstrable to regulators. In contrast, a single cloud deployment may create dependency on one provider's compliance posture, which could limit flexibility when responding to evolving legal requirements (Liu et al., 2021). Hybrid and multicloud solutions therefore offer strategic agility technical, operational, and regulatory that single cloud architectures cannot match.

Best Practices for High Agility Under Regulation

FSIs that sustain high agility under stringent regulatory oversight typically adopt a combination of proactive compliance strategies and continuous regulator engagement. These institutions conduct periodic compliance readiness assessments, align sprint deliverables with regulatory requirements, and incorporate compliance checkpoints into every phase of the agile delivery lifecycle (Mittal & Singh, 2024). Proactive dialogue with regulators enables FSIs to anticipate upcoming rule changes and adjust technical designs or operating models ahead of enforcement deadlines. Technological enablers such as automated compliance monitoring, integrated policy as code solutions, and AI

driven risk analytics are increasingly embedded within DevOps pipelines to ensure that every deployment meets both functional and compliance standards (Talahaturusun & Kohardinata, 2023). This automation reduces manual review bottlenecks, supports faster iteration cycles, and improves auditability. Additionally, institutions often deploy simulation and scenario testing environments to model the impact of regulatory changes on system performance and compliance posture, further enhancing agility.

Compliance Culture as an Enabler

A robust compliance culture magnifies the effectiveness of harmonised regulation, governance maturity, and architectural flexibility. FSIs that cultivate such a culture integrate compliance awareness into their organisational DNA, promoting shared ownership of regulatory responsibilities across all teams (Anagnostopoulos, 2018). This cultural mindset positions compliance as a component of product quality, customer trust, and brand reputation. Training programmes, cross functional workshops, and incentive structures reinforce the importance of compliance in agile delivery, ensuring that speed and flexibility are balanced with rigorous governance. In these environments, teams are more likely to innovate responsibly, anticipate potential regulatory hurdles, and incorporate controls early in the design phase.

CONCLUSION

Cloud adoption in financial services institutions (FSIs) demonstrates that regulatory frameworks, governance maturity, architectural strategies, and organisational culture collectively determine the level of business agility achieved. Harmonised regulations reduce duplication and accelerate deployment, while governance maturity ensures compliance is embedded into agile processes rather than treated as an afterthought. Likewise, hybrid and multicloud architectures provide flexibility to meet compliance requirements without sacrificing performance, and proactive practices such as automated monitoring and regulator engagement strengthen resilience. These findings underscore that agility is not solely a technological outcome but the result of aligning regulatory clarity, organisational capabilities, and cultural readiness.

For policymakers and industry leaders, the implications are clear. Regulators should pursue greater harmonisation to reduce fragmentation that slows innovation, while FSIs must treat compliance as a strategic capability rather than a constraint. Investments in governance systems, hybrid architectures, and regtech tools are essential to sustain agility in a fast-changing environment. Smaller FSIs may require policy support to access advanced compliance technologies, ensuring equity in transformation. By reframing compliance as a driver of innovation and resilience, FSIs can achieve sustainable competitiveness while regulators foster a more balanced ecosystem for financial innovation.

REFERENCE

- Abdul, A., & Goyayi, M. L. J. (2023). Potential Risks of Cloud Computing in Financial Institutions in Tanzania: Perspectives From CRDB Bank PLC. *European Journal of Theoretical and Applied Sciences*, 1(6), 43–53. [https://doi.org/10.59324/ejtas.2023.1\(6\).05](https://doi.org/10.59324/ejtas.2023.1(6).05)
- Ahmadi, S., & Ershadi, M. J. (2021). Investigating the Role of Social Networking Technology on the Organizational Agility: A Structural Equation Modeling Approach. *Journal of Advances in Management Research*, 18(4), 568–584. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jamr-04-2020-0052>
- Alamsjah, F., & Asrol, M. (2023). The Impacts of Middle Managers' Ambidexterity, Continuous Improvement, and Organizational Agility on Business Performance: A Knowledge-Based View. *Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management*, 16(1), 27. <https://doi.org/10.3926/jiem.4610>
- Alavizadeh, H., Alavizadeh, H., & Jang-Jaccard, J. (2020). Cyber Situation Awareness Monitoring and Proactive Response for Enterprises on the Cloud. 1276–1284. <https://doi.org/10.1109/trustcom50675.2020.00171>
- Alshurideh, M. T., Hamadneh, S., Kurdi, B. A., Shammout, E., & Wasfi, A. A. (2023). Capitalizing Lean and Agile Operations: An Emphasis on Improving Service Quality. *International Journal of Theory of Organization and Practice (Ijtop)*, 3(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.54489/ijtop.v3i1.238>
- Anagnostopoulos, I. (2018). Fintech and Regtech: Impact on Regulators and Banks. *Journal of Economics and Business*, 100, 7–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconbus.2018.07.003>
- Barroca, L., Sharp, H., Dingsøyr, T., Gregory, P., Taylor, K., & AlQaisi, R. (2019). Enterprise Agility: A Balancing Act—A Local Government Case Study. 207–223. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-19034-7_13
- Bhamare, D., Samaka, M., Erbad, A., Jain, R., Gupta, L., & Chan, H. A. (2017). Optimal Virtual Network Function Placement in Multi-Cloud Service Function Chaining Architecture. *Computer Communications*, 102, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comcom.2017.02.011>
- Boukadi, K., Ben-Abdallah, H., & Rekik, M. (2019). An End-to-End System to Enhance Business Process Performance Through New Outsourcing to the Cloud Strategies. *International Journal of Business and Systems Research*, 13(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.1504/ijbsr.2019.10016500>
- Bouwman, H., Heikkilä, J., Heikkilä, M., Leopold, C., & Haaker, T. (2017). Achieving Agility Using Business Model Stress Testing. *Electronic Markets*, 28(2), 149–162. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-016-0243-0>

- Cao, C., Jin, Y., & Huang, H. (2021). Research on the Construction of Enterprise Financial Shared Service Center Based on Cloud Computing. *E3s Web of Conferences*, 235, 01041. <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202123501041>
- Chokuda, T. S., Mawanza, W., & Chimboza, F. (2017). The Impact of Emerging Market Trends on the Development and Marketing of Financial Service Products in Zimbabwe Post Dollarization. *Journal of Economics and Behavioral Studies*, 8(6(J)), 216–226. [https://doi.org/10.22610/jebbs.v8i6\(j\).1495](https://doi.org/10.22610/jebbs.v8i6(j).1495)
- Clauß, T., Abebe, M., Tangpong, C., & Hock, M. (2021). Strategic Agility, Business Model Innovation, and Firm Performance: An Empirical Investigation. *Ieee Transactions on Engineering Management*, 68(3), 767–784. <https://doi.org/10.1109/tem.2019.2910381>
- Edu, A. S. (2022). Positioning Big Data Analytics Capabilities Towards Financial Service Agility. *Aslib Journal of Information Management*, 74(4), 569–588. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ajim-08-2021-0240>
- Elia, C., Karamanos, A., Dregan, A., O’Keeffe, M., Wolfe, I., Sandall, J., Morgan, C., CRUICKSHANK, J., Gobin, R., Wilks, R., & Harding, S. (2020). Association of Macro-Level Determinants With Adolescent Overweight and Suicidal Ideation With Planning: A Cross-Sectional Study of 21 Latin American and Caribbean Countries. *Plos Medicine*, 17(12), e1003443. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003443>
- Hadinata, S. K., & Aji, R. F. (2024). Cloud Migration Analysis for Core System Infrastructure in Financial Services: Study Case PT XYZ. *Indonesian Journal of Computer Science*, 13(5). <https://doi.org/10.33022/ijcs.v13i5.4433>
- Hasan, Md. M., Fatima, Y., Cleary, A., McDaid, L., Münir, K., Smith, S. S., Dias, S., Baxter, J., & Mamun, A. A. (2023). Geographical Variations in the Prevalence of Traditional and Cyberbullying and Its Additive Role in Psychological and Somatic Health Complaints Among Adolescents in 38 European Countries. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 164, 111103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2022.111103>
- Hasan, R., & Kruse, O. (2023). The Impact of Regulatory Requirements on German Financial Institutions’ Outsourcing Arrangements. *Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance*, 32(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jfrc-03-2023-0033>
- Huang, K., Zhang, X., Mu, Y., Rezaeibagha, F., Huang, X., & Gong, Y. (2022). Blockchain-based Deduplication With Arbitration and Incentives. *Iet Information Security*, 16(6), 401–416. <https://doi.org/10.1049/ise2.12066>
- Ionescu, S.-A., & Diaconuța, V. (2023). Transforming Financial Decision-Making: The Interplay of AI, Cloud Computing and Advanced Data Management Technologies. *International Journal of Computers Communications & Control*, 18(6). <https://doi.org/10.15837/ijccc.2023.6.5735>

- Johnson, O., Olamijuwon, J., Weldegeorgise, Y. W., Osundare, O. S., & Ekpobimi, H. O. (2024). Designing a Comprehensive Cloud Migration Framework for High-Revenue Financial Services: A Case Study on Efficiency and Cost Management. *Open Access Research Journal of Science and Technology*, 12(2), 058–069. <https://doi.org/10.53022/oarjst.2024.12.2.0141>
- Kalaiprasath, R., Elankavi, R., & Udayakumar, R. (2017). Cloud Security and Compliance—A Semantic Approach in End to End Security. *International Journal on Smart Sensing and Intelligent Systems*, 10(5), 482–494. <https://doi.org/10.21307/ijssis-2017-265>
- Liu, Z., Zhang, X., Yang, L., & Shen, Y. (2021). Access to Digital Financial Services and Green Technology Advances: Regional Evidence From China. *Sustainability*, 13(9), 4927. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13094927>
- Lowry, P. B., & Wilson, D. M. (2016). Creating Agile Organizations Through IT: The Influence of Internal IT Service Perceptions on IT Service Quality and IT Agility. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 25(3), 211–226. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2016.05.002>
- Lu, Q., Chen, J., Song, H., & Zhou, X. (2021). Effects of Cloud Computing Assimilation on Supply Chain Financing Risks of SMEs. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 35(6), 1719–1741. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jeim-11-2020-0461>
- Mittal, P. K., & Singh, R. I. (2024). Changing Landscape of Financial Inclusion Through FinTech: A Systematic Literature Review. *Paradigm a Management Research Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09718907241286957>
- Mo, W. Y., & Chiang, R. C. W. (2024). A Study on Contributing Factors of IT Outsourcing Satisfaction Financial Service Industry in Hong Kong. *European Journal of Business Management and Research*, 9(2), 56–65. <https://doi.org/10.24018/ejbmr.2024.9.2.2301>
- Moraga-Díaz, R., Leiva-Araos, A., & García, J. (2023). A Robust Statistical Methodology for Measuring Enterprise Agility. *Applied Sciences*, 13(14), 8445. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app13148445>
- Mrugalska, B., & Ahmed, J. (2021). Organizational Agility in Industry 4.0: A Systematic Literature Review. *Sustainability*, 13(15), 8272. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13158272>
- Muntés-Mulero, V., Ripollés, O., Gupta, S., Dominiak, J., Willeke, E. R., Matthews, P., & Somoskői, B. (2019). Agile Risk Management for Multi-cloud Software Development. *Iet Software*, 13(3), 172–181. <https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-sen.2018.5295>
- Ogundipe, D. O. (2024). Conceptualizing Cloud Computing in Financial Services: Opportunities and Challenges in Africa-Us Contexts. *Computer Science & It Research Journal*, 5(4), 757–767. <https://doi.org/10.51594/csitrj.v5i4.1020>

- Panda, S., & Rath, S. K. (2016). Investigating the Structural Linkage Between IT Capability and Organizational Agility. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 29(5), 751–773. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jeim-04-2015-0033>
- Perumal, A. P., & Chintale, P. (2022). Improving Operational Efficiency and Productivity Through the Fusion of DevOps and SRE Practices in Multi-Cloud Operations. *International Journal of Cloud Computing and Database Management*, 3(2), 49–53. <https://doi.org/10.33545/27075907.2022.v3.i2a.51>
- Perwitasari, A. W. (2022). The Effect of Perceived Usefulness and Perceived Easiness Towards Behavioral Intention to Use Fintech by Indonesian MSMEs. *The Winners*, 23(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.21512/tw.v23i1.7078>
- Probojakti, W., Utami, H. N., Prasetya, A., & Riza, M. (2024). Driving Sustainable Competitive Advantage in Banking: The Role of Transformational Leadership and Digital Transformation in Organizational Agility and Corporate Resiliency. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 34(1), 670–689. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.4005>
- Purnamawati, I. G. A., Jie, F., Puah, C., & Yuniarta, G. A. (2022). Analysis of Maximization Strategy Intangible Assets Through the Speed of Innovation on Knowledge-Driven Business Performance Improvement. *Economies*, 10(6), 149. <https://doi.org/10.3390/economies10060149>
- Sadiq, M., Alamgir, A., & Ali, S. W. (2020). The Impacts of Total Quality Management, Human Resource Management, and Agility in Business on Firms Financial Performance: Moderating Role of Emerging Business Competition. *Irasd Journal of Management*, 2(1), 9–21. <https://doi.org/10.52131/jom.2020.0201.0012>
- Scott, E., Milani, F., Kilu, E., & Pfahl, D. (2021). Enhancing Agile Software Development in the Banking Sector—A Comprehensive Case Study at LHV. *Journal of Software Evolution and Process*, 33(7). <https://doi.org/10.1002/smr.2363>
- Syahputra, A. (2022). Koordinasi Lintas Lembaga dalam Distribusi Pemilu. *Jurnal Politik Dan Kebijakan*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpk.2022.18.3.55>
- Talahaturusun, J. A., & Kohardinata, C. (2023). Impact of Tax Regulation on the Development of Financial Technology in Indonesia. *Journal of Enterprise and Development*, 6(1), 77–85. <https://doi.org/10.20414/jed.v6i1.8577>
- Vejseli, S., Rossmann, A., & Connolly, T. (2020). Agility Matters! Agile Mechanisms in IT Governance and Their Impact on Firm Performance. <https://doi.org/10.24251/hicss.2020.692>
- Walsh, P. R., & Ajibade, O. (2019). Determining the Efficacy of Consolidating Municipal Electric Utilities in Ontario, Canada. *International Journal of Energy Sector Management*, 13(2), 298–317. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijesm-07-2018-0017>