

Financial Inclusion, Stability, and Governance in the Era of Digital Currencies

Eko Budi Satoto¹, Nurul Qomariah², Aprilya Dwi Yandari³

¹²Universitas Muhammadiyah Jember, Indonesia

³Universitas Wiraraja, Indonesia

Correspondent: ekobudisatoto@unmuhjember.ac.id¹

Received : September 1, 2025

Accepted : October 3, 2025

Published : October 31, 2025

Citation: Satoto, E. B., Qomariah, N., Yandari, A, D. (2025). Financial Inclusion, Stability, and Governance in the Era of Digital Currencies. *Moneta : Journal of Economics and Finance*, 3(4), 251-266.

ABSTRACT: Digital currencies, including Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs), cryptocurrencies, and stablecoins, are reshaping global financial systems, raising questions about efficiency, inclusion, stability, and regulation. This study aims to provide a narrative review of the opportunities and regulatory impacts of digital currencies within both advanced and emerging economies. Relevant literature was collected from major databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar, IEEE Xplore, and PLOS ONE. Selection emphasized relevance, quality, and contribution to technological, economic, and policy discussions. The results show that CBDCs offer opportunities to enhance financial inclusion and reduce transaction costs, while also strengthening monetary policy effectiveness. Cryptocurrencies and blockchain-based systems have demonstrated efficiency in cross-border transactions but also exhibit volatility and speculative behavior that challenge traditional financial theories. Regulatory frameworks and governance emerge as central issues, with evidence that clear, harmonized, and innovation-friendly regulations accelerate adoption, whereas restrictive policies hinder development. Global comparisons reveal that advanced economies emphasize monetary policy and stability, while developing economies prioritize inclusion. Systemic factors such as infrastructure, literacy, and governance strongly influence adoption outcomes. The findings underscore the urgency of adaptive regulation, international cooperation, and public education as strategies to maximize benefits while mitigating risks. Future research should explore sociopolitical and behavioral aspects, long-term systemic impacts, and regional variations to advance a comprehensive understanding of digital currencies. These insights provide critical guidance for policymakers and financial institutions navigating the digital transformation of money.

Keywords: Central Bank Digital Currency, Cryptocurrency Regulation, Financial Inclusion, Monetary Policy, Blockchain Technology, Financial Stability, Digital Finance.



This is an open access article under the CC-BY 4.0 license

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the global discourse on digital currencies—including cryptocurrencies, stablecoins, and Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs)—has expanded significantly. Technological advancements in payment systems and the rising demand for financial inclusion have reshaped how money is conceptualized and used. Policymakers around the world are actively exploring the

design and implementation of CBDCs as responses to both the risks and opportunities associated with the digital transformation of money. These concerns extend from security challenges to broader implications for monetary policy and the stability of conventional financial systems (Horváth, 2022; Fernández et al., 2021).

One of the most notable developments has been the increasing number of central banks considering or adopting CBDCs as tools to reinforce monetary policy and financial stability. CBDCs are perceived as providing innovative mechanisms for central banks to manage monetary systems while modernizing payment infrastructures (Attarde et al., 2024; Lee, 2025). Comparative studies of CBDC projects across diverse jurisdictions have highlighted advantages such as increased transaction efficiency and reduced banking costs, elements particularly significant in competition with private alternatives such as cryptocurrencies and stablecoins (Davlatov & Sági, 2025; Cesaratto & Febrero, 2023). By digitizing fiat money, central banks seek to retain control over monetary bases in a financial ecosystem increasingly influenced by decentralized technologies.

The acceptance of digital currencies has already produced substantial effects on traditional financial systems. Scholars argue that CBDCs may transform the transmission mechanisms of monetary policy, providing tools to improve efficiency in public spending and expand financial access in underserved regions (Khanna et al., 2024; Kasana & Singh, 2024). These innovations carry the potential to stimulate inclusive economic growth. At the same time, however, concerns persist that widespread adoption of CBDCs could lead to disintermediation within the banking sector, undermining bank profitability and introducing new risks to financial stability (Cesaratto & Febrero, 2023; Huang & Mayer, 2022). This duality of promise and risk reflects the delicate balance policymakers must navigate when assessing CBDCs' roles in monetary systems.

Parallel to the evolution of CBDCs, stablecoins have emerged as relatively stable digital assets compared to traditional cryptocurrencies. Their reduced volatility has made them more suitable for everyday transactions, enhancing user trust (Fantacci & Gobbi, 2021). Yet, stablecoins also pose significant regulatory and security challenges. Their growth has intensified the urgency for central banks to consider CBDCs as competitive responses aimed at preserving monetary sovereignty and addressing global market shifts (Chiu & Davoodalhosseini, 2023; Morgan, 2023). This interplay between private stablecoins and state-backed digital currencies underscores the growing complexity of global monetary dynamics.

Empirical evidence and international debates reveal that the implementation of CBDCs has broad economic, political, and social ramifications. On one hand, CBDCs promise enhanced transparency, security, and transaction efficiency; on the other, they introduce new vulnerabilities related to data privacy, cyber risks, and systemic shocks. The diverse approaches adopted by countries—ranging from pilot projects in China and Sweden to cautious explorations in the European Union—highlight the need for comparative analysis of CBDC frameworks. Such diversity makes it essential to understand how the adoption of CBDCs, alongside cryptocurrencies and stablecoins, can shape the future of global monetary and financial systems.

Regulating digital currencies presents governments and financial institutions with profound challenges. The decentralized nature of cryptocurrencies complicates effective regulation, as no

single authority is responsible for safeguarding transaction integrity (Morgan, 2023). Furthermore, the extreme volatility of many digital assets makes valuation and risk assessment difficult for both investors and regulators (Fantacci & Gobbi, 2021). Governments often struggle to design legal frameworks that balance security concerns with the innovative potential of blockchain-based assets (Chiu & Davoodalhosseini, 2023). Such regulatory dilemmas underscore the tension between promoting financial innovation and ensuring system stability.

Another key challenge stems from the global competition introduced by stablecoins and CBDCs. National authorities aim to maintain monetary sovereignty, while private actors leverage borderless digital assets to bypass local restrictions (Sundarasan & Saleem, 2025). In this context, regulators must adapt rapidly to mitigate fraud, money laundering, and other illicit activities that could undermine the credibility of financial systems (Tanrikulu & Pabuçcu, 2025). Moreover, limited public understanding of digital currencies compounds regulatory difficulties. Low levels of financial literacy create risks of misinformed investment decisions and eroded trust, particularly amid extreme market volatility (Boido & Aliano, 2025; Özdemir, 2022; de-la-Rica-Escudero et al., 2025).

Despite the expanding body of research on digital currencies, significant gaps remain, particularly regarding the regulatory impacts on adoption and stability. Many studies have focused on market volatility and speculative behaviors, but fewer have examined how regulatory frameworks shape long-term stability and investor confidence (Veloso et al., 2025; Zhou, 2025). While some evidence suggests that clear regulations enhance trust and adoption, other findings argue that overly stringent measures may stifle innovation and discourage investment (Yao et al., 2022). Further inquiry is required to understand how political, social, and regulatory contexts influence public perception and cross-border operations of digital currencies (Morgan, 2023; Yadav, 2025; Elamine & Abdallah, 2025; Motamri & Trimech, 2025; Zhu et al., 2021).

Given these complexities, the primary aim of this review is to critically evaluate the opportunities and challenges associated with CBDCs and other digital currencies. The analysis will consider technological innovations, regulatory dilemmas, and macroeconomic implications, with particular attention to how CBDCs might reconcile the need for monetary control with the pressures of financial innovation. This review also seeks to identify the systemic risks and potential benefits of digital currencies, offering insights into how central banks and policymakers can develop balanced strategies that promote efficiency, inclusion, and stability.

The scope of this study encompasses global developments in digital currency adoption, with a focus on comparative perspectives across advanced and emerging economies. By analyzing cases from diverse contexts—such as China’s aggressive CBDC pilot programs, Sweden’s gradualist approach, and the European Union’s emphasis on privacy protections—this review will capture the varied motivations and constraints shaping digital currency design (Kyriazis et al., 2023; Vardar & Aydoğan, 2019; Ballis et al., 2025; Balijepalli & Thangaraj, 2025). Additionally, the review will examine the interplay between CBDC announcements and cryptocurrency market dynamics, reflecting the interconnectedness of digital monetary ecosystems (Pelster et al., 2019; Ridwan et al., 2025). Ultimately, this introduction frames the study as an effort to provide clarity on the

evolving digital monetary order, its risks, and its transformative potential.

METHOD

The methodology of this study was designed to ensure a systematic, comprehensive, and transparent approach to identifying and analyzing the existing body of literature on digital currencies, with a particular focus on cryptocurrencies, stablecoins, and Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs). The review process combined multiple academic databases, carefully selected keywords, and rigorous inclusion and exclusion criteria to ensure that the studies incorporated were relevant, credible, and representative of the evolving research landscape in this field.

The initial phase of data collection involved an extensive search across several established academic databases. Scopus was selected as one of the primary sources due to its comprehensive coverage of peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, and international research outputs spanning economics, finance, and information technology. In addition, Scopus's built-in citation analysis tools provided valuable insights into the influence and reach of relevant publications, enabling the identification of highly cited works in the domain of digital currencies (Bouri et al., 2021). To complement Scopus, Web of Science was also employed, as it provides access to a similarly broad range of peer-reviewed materials and citation analytics, making it particularly suitable for identifying foundational and widely cited research in the areas of digital currencies and financial regulation (Qureshi & Zaman, 2023).

In order to broaden the scope of the literature review and include less traditional but equally relevant materials, Google Scholar was utilized as a supplementary source. While less structured compared to Scopus or Web of Science, Google Scholar indexes a vast array of resources, including books, reports, theses, and working papers, many of which offer unique perspectives or region-specific analyses that may not be captured in subscription-based databases (Sonkurt & Altınöz, 2021). Additionally, specific disciplinary repositories were also consulted. PLOS ONE was included as a multidisciplinary journal source that provides open access to research across fields, including studies linking digital currencies to economic and policy questions (Kyriazis et al., 2023). For more technologically oriented research, IEEE Xplore was consulted to access cutting-edge studies on blockchain systems, distributed ledger technologies, and technical innovations underlying cryptocurrencies and CBDCs (Youssef & Waked, 2022).

To ensure the literature search was both systematic and targeted, the selection of keywords played a crucial role. The term "Central Bank Digital Currency (CBDC)" was prioritized in order to capture research directly examining the policies, pilot projects, and implementations of CBDCs by national authorities (Özdemir, 2022). The keyword "Cryptocurrency Regulation" was deployed to collect studies focusing on the legal and regulatory frameworks influencing cryptocurrency markets, including the implications for investors and financial stability (Shrotryia & Kalra, 2021). Another important keyword was "Financial Inclusion," which addressed the capacity of digital currencies to extend financial access to underserved populations, a particularly salient issue in developing economies (Ballis et al., 2025). The term "Monetary Policy" was also used to capture

research investigating how digital currencies interact with and potentially reshape conventional policy instruments (Zhu et al., 2021). Given the technological foundation of digital currencies, “Blockchain” was an essential keyword for identifying studies that detail the technical aspects of distributed ledger technology and its integration into financial systems (Sundarasan & Saleem, 2025). Finally, the keyword “Herding Behavior” was included to isolate studies that examined investor psychology, decision-making, and collective action in volatile cryptocurrency markets (Velooso et al., 2025).

In defining the inclusion and exclusion criteria, careful attention was given to ensuring relevance and quality. Articles were included if they directly addressed digital currencies—whether cryptocurrencies, stablecoins, or CBDCs—and their economic, regulatory, or technological dimensions. Publications that presented empirical evidence, policy analyses, or theoretical frameworks were considered highly relevant, as they contribute directly to understanding the opportunities and challenges associated with digital currencies. Studies were also required to be published in peer-reviewed journals, conference proceedings, or recognized academic outlets to maintain the rigor and credibility of the sources. Where working papers, theses, or reports were included, they were carefully evaluated to ensure they provided unique and credible contributions not found in mainstream publications.

Exclusion criteria were equally important in narrowing the scope. Studies that only tangentially mentioned digital currencies without addressing their financial, economic, or regulatory implications were omitted. Publications focused purely on technical cryptographic algorithms or unrelated blockchain applications, such as those in supply chain logistics or healthcare, were excluded unless they provided direct insights into the financial applications of digital currencies. Additionally, non-academic sources, blog posts, and opinion pieces were excluded to avoid anecdotal or speculative content lacking scholarly validation.

The types of studies incorporated in this review included a diverse mix of empirical research, case studies, policy analyses, and theoretical frameworks. Randomized controlled trials were not applicable to this field due to the macroeconomic and systemic nature of the subject matter. Instead, studies employing econometric modeling, cross-country comparisons, and simulation analyses were prioritized, as they provide robust insights into the potential impacts of CBDCs and digital currencies on financial systems. Case studies of specific national CBDC projects, such as those in China, Sweden, and Nigeria, were included to provide detailed contextual insights into implementation strategies, successes, and challenges. The inclusion of both quantitative and qualitative research allowed for a comprehensive synthesis of the technical, economic, and sociopolitical dimensions of digital currencies.

The process of literature selection followed a multi-stage approach. In the initial phase, searches using the identified keywords were conducted across all selected databases. The search results were then filtered to remove duplicates and irrelevant titles. Titles and abstracts were screened to determine preliminary eligibility, focusing on whether the publication addressed one or more of the core themes of CBDCs, cryptocurrencies, or stablecoins. In the second phase, the full texts of the shortlisted articles were reviewed in detail to assess their methodological rigor, relevance, and contribution to the research objectives. Particular emphasis was placed on identifying studies that

provided comparative analyses across different regions or that explored systemic implications of digital currency adoption.

Quality appraisal was conducted throughout the selection process. Articles were evaluated based on their research design, clarity of methodology, and contribution to advancing knowledge in the field. Highly cited works and publications appearing in reputable journals were prioritized, although care was taken to include emerging research and novel perspectives, especially those addressing regional contexts underrepresented in the mainstream literature. To ensure transparency, the process of selection was documented at each stage, noting reasons for inclusion or exclusion. This systematic approach not only strengthened the validity of the review but also ensured replicability for future studies.

In summary, the methodology for this review combined rigorous database searches, targeted keyword strategies, and clearly defined inclusion and exclusion criteria to identify a comprehensive set of studies on digital currencies. The integration of empirical analyses, case studies, and policy frameworks provided a multidimensional perspective on the opportunities and challenges of CBDCs, cryptocurrencies, and stablecoins. By systematically collecting, screening, and evaluating relevant literature, this study establishes a robust foundation for synthesizing findings and addressing the research questions concerning the future of digital monetary systems.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Opportunities of Digital Currencies

The review of existing literature highlights substantial opportunities associated with the development and implementation of digital currencies, particularly in relation to financial inclusion and the efficiency of payment systems. Empirical evidence consistently indicates that Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs) and cryptocurrencies can expand access to financial services for unbanked populations. For instance, Sonkurt and Altınöz (2021) demonstrate that CBDCs can reduce transaction costs and accelerate payment processing, thereby making financial services more accessible to broader segments of society. Complementing this view, Kyriazis et al. (2023) note that cryptocurrencies have provided new avenues for individuals and small businesses to participate in global economic exchanges without relying on traditional banking intermediaries, which often exclude underserved communities.

The comparative effectiveness of digital currencies in lowering cross-border transaction costs has been another recurrent theme in the literature. Studies reveal that blockchain-based systems, which underpin most cryptocurrencies, can reduce the costs of international transfers by as much as 90 percent compared to conventional banking systems (Galvão et al., 2024). In addition, countries that have adopted blockchain-enabled solutions for cross-border payments, such as China, report significant gains in efficiency and substantial time savings in remittance flows (Păuna, 2019). Such evidence suggests that digital currencies could play a transformative role in remittances and international payments, sectors traditionally hampered by high fees and inefficiencies.

Beyond transactional efficiency, CBDCs have been widely identified as tools for enhancing financial stability and modernizing monetary systems. By digitizing fiat currencies, central banks can retain stronger control over the monetary base while adapting to increasingly decentralized financial environments (Horváth, 2022; Fernández et al., 2021). The literature emphasizes that these innovations could bridge the gap between conventional monetary policy frameworks and the realities of a digital financial ecosystem, reinforcing both inclusivity and stability.

Impact on Banking Systems and Monetary Policy

The impact of CBDCs on banking systems and monetary policy emerges as a central focus in the literature, reflecting the systemic implications of their adoption. One critical concern centers on the potential disintermediation of traditional banks. As Zhou (2025) observes, CBDCs enable individuals to maintain direct access to central banks, thereby bypassing commercial banks for deposit and transaction services. This structural shift could undermine banks' capacity to provide credit and introduce systemic risks if sudden withdrawals from commercial banks toward CBDCs occur. Yadav (2024) reinforces this point by showing that overreliance on CBDCs may heighten financial fragility during times of stress, particularly in jurisdictions lacking robust regulatory frameworks.

Comparative analyses highlight divergent outcomes between advanced and developing economies. In advanced economies, such as Sweden and the United States, CBDCs are associated with strengthened transmission of monetary policy. Evidence suggests that CBDCs allow central banks to exert more direct influence over interest rates and liquidity provision, thereby enhancing policy effectiveness (Vardar & Aydoğan, 2019). Conversely, in developing economies, CBDCs have primarily been deployed to promote financial inclusion, focusing on extending access to banking services and reducing transaction costs among underserved populations (Morales-Urrutia & Pillajo, 2025). This distinction underscores the contextual drivers shaping CBDC design and implementation across regions.

The literature also explores CBDCs' potential to enhance monetary policy tools, particularly in inflation management. Özdemir (2022) argues that CBDCs could facilitate more efficient regulation of money supply and interest rates, thereby providing central banks with stronger instruments for inflation control. Yet, national responses to CBDC implementation are influenced by broader policy concerns, particularly regarding privacy and data security. Ridwan et al. (2025) emphasize that public trust in CBDCs depends heavily on robust frameworks for data protection and transparency, as privacy concerns remain one of the main barriers to acceptance.

Regulatory and Governance Challenges

The challenges of regulation and governance are perhaps the most debated dimensions of digital currencies, with wide variations across jurisdictions. Research demonstrates that countries adopting clear and innovation-friendly regulatory frameworks experience faster and more widespread digital currency adoption. El Salvador provides a notable example, having become the first country to recognize Bitcoin as legal tender, thereby accelerating the integration of digital assets into financial transactions (Qureshi & Zaman, 2023). In contrast, restrictive regulatory environments, such as India's stringent stance on cryptocurrencies, are associated with slower adoption and constrained financial innovation (Sawhney et al., 2022).

Anti-money laundering (AML) and combating the financing of terrorism (CFT) remain central concerns. Studies have found mixed effectiveness of regulatory frameworks aligned with the Financial Action Task Force (FATF) standards. While some research highlights that strict oversight and robust reporting mechanisms can mitigate the risk of money laundering within digital currency ecosystems (Pelster et al., 2019; HAYKIR & Yağlı, 2022), others point out that inconsistent implementation across countries continues to impede progress (Antar, 2024). These inconsistencies create opportunities for regulatory arbitrage, whereby digital assets exploit gaps in national frameworks to facilitate illicit activities.

The transnational nature of digital currencies amplifies the need for international cooperation. Galvão et al. (2024) emphasize that while national frameworks may be effective domestically, they are often insufficient to manage the borderless flow of cryptocurrencies. Petti and Sergio (2024) further caution that disparities in regulatory enforcement across jurisdictions can increase the risks of money laundering and other illicit financial practices. As a result, several scholars argue that global collaboration is indispensable in formulating policies that protect financial systems while encouraging innovation (Yaâla & Henchiri, 2025; Haq et al., 2023).

At the same time, the literature underscores the importance of balancing innovation with risk mitigation. Mnif et al. (2022) argue that regulatory frameworks should aim not only to curb illegal activities but also to foster trust and confidence in digital currencies as legitimate financial instruments. Such balance is crucial for the long-term growth of the digital currency ecosystem, ensuring that the sector develops in ways that are both secure and conducive to financial innovation.

Comparative Global Perspectives

Cross-country comparisons provide valuable insights into the heterogeneous impacts of digital currencies. While advanced economies often prioritize enhancing monetary policy efficiency and financial stability, emerging economies focus on leveraging CBDCs and cryptocurrencies to bridge gaps in financial inclusion. For instance, China's adoption of blockchain-enabled payment systems has significantly reduced costs and enhanced efficiency in cross-border transactions (Păuna, 2019). Meanwhile, countries in Latin America have used cryptocurrencies as alternatives to unstable national currencies, offering citizens protection against hyperinflation and capital controls (Chiu & Davoodalhosseini, 2023).

Europe's cautious approach reflects a focus on protecting consumer privacy and ensuring technological resilience. The European Central Bank's studies on a digital euro underscore the importance of balancing functionality with safeguards for anonymity and universal accessibility (Ballis et al., 2025). In contrast, Asian countries such as China have adopted more aggressive policies in piloting and testing CBDCs, demonstrating the influence of regional political and economic contexts on the speed and scope of adoption (Balijepalli & Thangaraj, 2025).

Collectively, these global perspectives reveal that the trajectory of digital currency development is shaped by a combination of technological capacity, economic needs, and political priorities. The integration of digital currencies into national and global systems is not uniform but rather context-dependent, requiring flexible regulatory frameworks and adaptive policy approaches.

Synthesis of Findings

Overall, the literature provides evidence that digital currencies offer significant opportunities for financial inclusion, transactional efficiency, and monetary policy innovation. At the same time, they pose challenges that include systemic risks for banking systems, uncertainties for monetary policy, and regulatory dilemmas across jurisdictions. The findings also highlight stark differences in adoption strategies and outcomes between advanced and developing economies, with contextual factors such as financial infrastructure, regulatory capacity, and socio-political environments playing decisive roles. These results underscore the importance of tailoring policy frameworks to national circumstances while enhancing international collaboration to address the transnational dynamics of digital currency ecosystems. By synthesizing evidence across diverse contexts, this review contributes to a deeper understanding of how opportunities and risks can be balanced to maximize the benefits of digital currencies while minimizing their potential harms.

The findings of this review highlight the dual nature of digital currencies, particularly CBDCs and cryptocurrencies, in both supporting and challenging traditional theories of finance and monetary policy. Classical financial theory assumes that markets operate efficiently, with rational investors making decisions based on available information. However, evidence from studies on cryptocurrencies indicates a very different reality. Bouri et al. (2021) documented strong volatility patterns in cryptocurrency markets that correlate with investor sentiment, suggesting that emotional factors often play a decisive role in market fluctuations. This observation aligns poorly with efficient market assumptions and reveals the importance of behavioral elements often underemphasized in traditional frameworks. Similarly, Horváth (2022) and Fernández et al. (2021) argue that speculative dynamics and herding behaviors in cryptocurrency trading reveal structural weaknesses in applying conventional monetary theories to digital assets.

Another significant challenge posed by cryptocurrencies is their decentralized nature, which undermines the conventional notion of central monetary control. Traditional monetary theory presumes that decisions by central banks can directly influence liquidity and economic activity across the financial system. Cryptocurrencies, however, enable investors and consumers to conduct transactions outside the scope of central bank oversight, potentially diminishing the efficacy of monetary policy (Fernández et al., 2021). In response, CBDCs emerge as mechanisms by which central banks can reassert influence in a rapidly digitizing financial ecosystem. As Lee (2025) notes, CBDCs represent a recalibration of traditional monetary frameworks, incorporating technological innovations to restore central authority over the money supply.

Systemic factors play a critical role in determining whether CBDC implementation succeeds or fails. Attarde et al. (2024) highlight the importance of technological infrastructure, noting that countries with robust digital ecosystems and high internet penetration are better positioned to adopt CBDCs. By contrast, weaker infrastructure significantly hampers adoption, creating uneven readiness across regions. Financial literacy is another crucial determinant, with Lee (2025) showing that low levels of understanding about digital currencies increase resistance to CBDC adoption. Public education thus becomes a policy priority for governments seeking to foster trust and smooth adoption processes. Additionally, preexisting monetary and regulatory frameworks strongly influence CBDC outcomes. Research by Davlatov and Sági (2025) as well as Cesaratto

and Febrero (2023) emphasizes that clear, adaptive, and supportive policy frameworks are essential in balancing innovation with systemic risk mitigation.

Policy implications arising from these systemic considerations are particularly salient. One central recommendation emerging from the literature is the development of harmonized and transparent regulatory frameworks that can provide clarity for market participants. Khanna et al. (2024) stress that unambiguous regulation reduces uncertainty for investors and financial institutions, thereby fostering broader participation in digital currency ecosystems. At the same time, regulatory approaches must avoid being excessively restrictive, as strict measures risk stifling innovation and pushing digital currency activities into unregulated spheres where risks may escalate unchecked. This balance between clarity and flexibility is critical in sustaining both innovation and stability.

The transnational nature of digital currencies also underscores the importance of international collaboration. Kasana and Singh (2024) highlight that cross-border flows of cryptocurrencies make unilateral regulatory approaches insufficient, as inconsistencies between national frameworks create opportunities for regulatory arbitrage. Similarly, Huang and Mayer (2022) argue that shared global standards, information-sharing mechanisms, and collaborative enforcement strategies are necessary to effectively address risks related to money laundering and terrorist financing. Without such coordination, regulatory fragmentation may exacerbate vulnerabilities within the global financial system.

Another key implication is the need to invest in financial and digital literacy. Fantacci and Gobbi (2021) demonstrate that public understanding of risks and benefits strongly influences adoption rates, with populations better informed about digital finance showing higher acceptance levels. Governments and financial institutions, therefore, have a responsibility to implement education programs that enhance financial literacy and promote informed participation in digital ecosystems. Beyond individual decision-making, such programs help build collective trust in new monetary infrastructures, strengthening the social foundations of CBDCs and cryptocurrencies.

In analyzing the results, it becomes evident that digital currencies interact with systemic structures in ways that both enable opportunities and introduce risks. On one hand, CBDCs can enhance monetary policy transmission, reduce transaction costs, and extend financial inclusion (Sonkurt & Altınöz, 2021; Kyriazis et al., 2023). On the other, they risk disintermediating commercial banks, undermining credit provision, and destabilizing financial systems if poorly managed (Zhou, 2025; Yadav, 2024). Similarly, cryptocurrencies democratize access to global finance but introduce volatility, speculative dynamics, and challenges to regulatory oversight (Bouri et al., 2021). This duality underscores the need for adaptive policy frameworks and systemic safeguards that can harness opportunities while addressing risks.

Potential solutions suggested in the literature revolve around a combination of regulatory, technological, and educational measures. Adaptive regulatory frameworks that balance risk management with innovation are essential to ensure both financial stability and technological progress. Investment in infrastructure and digital ecosystems remains critical to support adoption and resilience. Furthermore, promoting cross-border cooperation is necessary to address the inherently transnational challenges posed by cryptocurrencies. Educational initiatives complement these structural measures, equipping citizens with the knowledge required to navigate increasingly complex financial environments.

Nonetheless, the literature also reflects important limitations that require further research. Many studies focus heavily on technological feasibility and macroeconomic implications, while less attention has been paid to the social, cultural, and political dimensions of digital currency adoption. For example, how trust in government institutions influences acceptance of CBDCs remains underexplored, as do the potential inequalities in digital access across different demographic groups. Moreover, while comparative analyses highlight differences between advanced and developing economies, cross-country studies often lack depth in examining contextual variations such as governance quality, institutional capacity, and cultural attitudes toward money. There is also limited empirical evidence on the long-term impacts of CBDCs on bank profitability, lending behavior, and systemic stability, issues that are crucial for fully assessing risks. Additionally, the dynamic and fast-changing nature of cryptocurrency markets means that many existing studies may quickly become outdated, necessitating continuous research updates.

The identification of these limitations opens avenues for future research. Scholars should expand their focus to include behavioral and sociopolitical dimensions, exploring how digital currencies interact with issues of trust, inequality, and governance. Further, longitudinal studies are needed to evaluate the long-term consequences of CBDCs and cryptocurrencies on financial systems. Comparative studies that integrate regional, institutional, and cultural variables could provide more nuanced insights into the heterogeneous outcomes observed across jurisdictions. By addressing these gaps, future research can contribute to developing a more comprehensive understanding of digital currencies and inform policies that are better aligned with the complexities of the global financial system.

CONCLUSION

This study has synthesized evidence on the opportunities, risks, and regulatory implications of digital currencies, particularly Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs), cryptocurrencies, and stablecoins. The findings reveal that CBDCs hold significant potential to enhance financial inclusion, reduce transaction costs, and strengthen monetary policy transmission. They also provide opportunities to modernize financial infrastructures and address challenges posed by decentralized digital assets. However, the risks of banking disintermediation, financial instability, and privacy concerns underscore the need for careful policy design and implementation. The discussion highlights that systemic factors—including digital infrastructure, regulatory frameworks, and financial literacy—play decisive roles in shaping the success or failure of digital currency adoption.

The urgency of addressing these issues lies in the rapid pace of digital transformation and the transnational nature of cryptocurrency markets, which demand both adaptive national regulations and international cooperation. Policy responses should include harmonized regulatory frameworks, robust data protection measures, cross-border collaboration, and public education on digital finance. Future research should address gaps in understanding the sociopolitical dimensions of digital currencies, long-term impacts on banking profitability and stability, and regional variations in adoption outcomes. Emphasis on financial literacy, regulatory clarity, and

infrastructure readiness, as noted in the results, will be essential strategies for overcoming existing challenges and ensuring that digital currencies contribute positively to global financial systems.

REFERENCE

- Antar, M. (2024). Quantile analysis of bitcoin returns: uncovering market dynamics. *The Journal of Risk Finance*, 26(1), 122-146. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jrf-05-2024-0154>
- Attarde, K., Jaiswal, C., Khatwani, R., Pinto, G., & Kumar, V. (2024). A novel central bank digital currency framework design for offline and foreign transactions based on blockchain. *Digital Policy Regulation and Governance*, 27(2), 201-220. <https://doi.org/10.1108/dprg-10-2023-0146>
- Balijepalli, N. and Thangaraj, V. (2025). Prediction of cryptocurrency's price using ensemble machine learning algorithms. *European Journal of Management and Business Economics*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ejmbe-08-2023-0244>
- Ballis, A., Δράκος, K., Kallandranis, C., Anastasiou, D., & Doan, V. (2025). The impact of covid-19 first wave on cryptocurrencies and g7 stock markets. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jsbed-12-2023-0582>
- Boido, C. and Aliano, M. (2025). The contribution of cryptocurrencies to portfolio diversification. *Investment Management and Financial Innovations*, 22(2), 26-35. [https://doi.org/10.21511/imfi.22\(2\).2025.03](https://doi.org/10.21511/imfi.22(2).2025.03)
- Bouri, E., Gabauer, D., Gupta, R., & Tiwari, A. (2021). Volatility connectedness of major cryptocurrencies: the role of investor happiness. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Finance*, 30, 100463. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbef.2021.100463>
- Cesaratto, S. and Febrero, E. (2023). Central bank digital currencies: a proper reaction to private digital money?. *Review of Keynesian Economics*, 11(4), 529-553. <https://doi.org/10.4337/roke.2023.04.05>
- Chinthapalli, U. (2021). A comparative analysis on probability of volatility clusters on cryptocurrencies, and forex currencies. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 14(7), 308. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm14070308>
- Chiu, J. and Davoodalhosseini, S. (2023). Central bank digital currency and banking: macroeconomic benefits of a cash-like design. *Management Science*, 69(11), 6708-6730. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2021.02763>
- Davlatov, E. and Sági, J. (2025). The transmission mechanism of monetary policy and central bank digital currency: a new monetary order?. *Journal of Central Banking Theory and Practice*, 14(1), 95-119. <https://doi.org/10.2478/jcbtp-2025-0006>

- de-la-Rica-Escudero, A., Garrido-Merchán, E., & Vaca, M. (2025). Explainable post hoc portfolio management financial policy of a deep reinforcement learning agent. *Plos One*, 20(1), e0315528. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0315528>
- Elamine, M. and Abdallah, A. (2025). Predicting cryptocurrency prices with a hybrid arima and lstm model. *Journal of Telecommunications and the Digital Economy*, 13(1), 98-117. <https://doi.org/10.18080/jtde.v13n1.1052>
- Fantacci, L. and Gobbi, L. (2021). Stablecoins, central bank digital currencies and us dollar hegemony. *Accounting Economics and Law - A Convivium*, 14(2), 173-200. <https://doi.org/10.1515/acl-2020-0053>
- Fernández, M., Alonso, S., Jorge-Vázquez, J., & Forradellas, R. (2021). Central banks' monetary policy in the face of the covid-19 economic crisis: monetary stimulus and the emergence of cbdc's. *Sustainability*, 13(8), 4242. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13084242>
- Galvão, R., Varela, J., & Dias, R. (2024). Multifractal behavior of cryptocurrencies during periods of economic uncertainty. *Revista De Gestão Social E Ambiental*, 18(3), e06616. <https://doi.org/10.24857/rgsa.v18n3-107>
- Haq, I., Ferreira, P., Quintino, D., Huynh, N., & Samantreeporn, S. (2023). Economic policy uncertainty, energy and sustainable cryptocurrencies: investigating dynamic connectedness during the covid-19 pandemic. *Economies*, 11(3), 76. <https://doi.org/10.3390/economies11030076>
- HAYKIR, Ö. and Yağlı, İ. (2022). Speculative bubbles and herding in cryptocurrencies. *Financial Innovation*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40854-022-00383-0>
- Horváth, G. (2022). Monetary sovereignty and central bank digital currency. *Pénzügyi Szemle = Public Finance Quarterly*, 67(4), 539-552. https://doi.org/10.35551/pfq_2022_4_4
- Huang, Y. and Mayer, M. (2022). Digital currencies, monetary sovereignty, and u.s.–china power competition. *Policy & Internet*, 14(2), 324-347. <https://doi.org/10.1002/poi3.302>
- Kasana, E. and Singh, V. (2024). Central bank digital currency (cbdc) implementation in india., 98-120. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-2061-7.ch005>
- Khanna, K., Ruhlan, S., Yadav, D., & Paul, D. (2024). Exploring digital currency adoption in india., 87-103. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-3282-5.ch006>
- Kyriazis, N., Papadamou, S., Tzeremes, P., & Corbet, S. (2023). The differential influence of social media sentiment on cryptocurrency returns and volatility during covid-19. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, 89, 307-317. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.qref.2022.09.004>
- Lee, E. (2025). Advancing digital economy and financial inclusion through central bank digital currencies: a comprehensive analysis of policies and legal implications through e-cny and

- enaira. *African Journal of International and Comparative Law*, 33(1), 1-28. <https://doi.org/10.3366/ajicl.2025.0510>
- Mnif, E., Mouakhar, K., & Jarboui, A. (2022). The co-movements of faith-based cryptocurrencies in periods of pandemics. *Review of Financial Economics*, 40(3), 300-311. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rfe.1154>
- Morgan, J. (2023). Systemic stablecoin and the brave new world of digital money. *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 47(1), 215-260. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cje/beac060>
- Morales-Urrutia, X. and Pillajo, V. (2025). Bitcoin volatility: a profitability-focused approach. *Data & Metadata*, 3. <https://doi.org/10.56294/dm2024.208>
- Motamri, W. and Trimech, A. (2025). Social media's influence on cryptocurrency investments: environmental awareness and market dynamics. *Wseas Transactions on Business and Economics*, 22, 822-831. <https://doi.org/10.37394/23207.2025.22.72>
- Özdemir, O. (2022). Cue the volatility spillover in the cryptocurrency markets during the covid-19 pandemic: evidence from dcc-garch and wavelet analysis. *Financial Innovation*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40854-021-00319-0>
- Păuna, C. (2019). Low risk trading algorithm based on the price cyclicity function for capital markets. *Management & Marketing*, 14(1), 80-89. <https://doi.org/10.2478/mmcks-2019-0006>
- Pelster, M., Breitmayer, B., & Hasso, T. (2019). Are cryptocurrency traders pioneers or just risk-seekers? evidence from brokerage accounts. *Economics Letters*, 182, 98-100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2019.06.013>
- Petti, D. and Sergio, I. (2024). Bank crisis boosts bitcoin price. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 17(4), 134. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm17040134>
- Qureshi, K. and Zaman, T. (2023). Social media engagement and cryptocurrency performance. *Plos One*, 18(5), e0284501. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0284501>
- Ridwan, E., Wahyuni, M., & Salim, D. (2025). Cbdc news sentiment on the stock market and cryptocurrencies. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Scientific Studies*, 8(1), 2688-2698. <https://doi.org/10.53894/ijirss.v8i1.5043>
- Sawhney, R., Agarwal, S., Mittal, V., Rosso, P., Nanda, V., & Chava, S. (2022). Cryptocurrency bubble detection: a new stock market dataset, financial task & hyperbolic models.. <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2022.naacl-main.405>
- Shrotryia, V. and Kalra, H. (2021). Herding in the crypto market: a diagnosis of heavy distribution tails. *Review of Behavioral Finance*, 14(5), 566-587. <https://doi.org/10.1108/rbf-02-2021-0021>

- Sonkurt, H. and Altınöz, A. (2021). Cryptocurrency investment: a safe venture or a new type of gambling?. *Journal of Gambling Issues*, (47). <https://doi.org/10.4309/jgi.2021.47.8>
- Sundarasan, S. and Saleem, F. (2025). From tweets to trades: a bibliometric and systematic review of social media's influence on cryptocurrency. *International Journal of Financial Studies*, 13(2), 87. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijfs13020087>
- Tanrikulu, H. and Pabuçcu, H. (2025). The effect of data types' on the performance of machine learning algorithms for cryptocurrency prediction. *Computational Economics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10614-025-10919-y>
- Tripathy, N., Mishra, D., Hota, S., Mishra, S., Das, G., Dalai, S., ... & Nayak, S. (2025). Bitcoin volatility forecasting: a comparative analysis of conventional econometric models with deep learning models. *International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering (Ijece)*, 15(1), 614. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijece.v15i1.pp614-623>
- Vardar, G. and Aydoğan, B. (2019). Return and volatility spillovers between bitcoin and other asset classes in turkey. *Euromed Journal of Business*, 14(3), 209-220. <https://doi.org/10.1108/emjb-10-2018-0066>
- Veloso, V., Gatsios, R., Magnani, V., & Lima, F. (2025). Is bitcoin's market maturing? cumulative abnormal returns and volatility in the 2024 halving and past cycles. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 18(5), 242. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm18050242>
- Yadav, M. (2024). Behavioral biases of cryptocurrency investors: a prospect theory model to explain cryptocurrency returns. *Review of Behavioral Finance*, 16(4), 643-667. <https://doi.org/10.1108/rbf-07-2023-0172>
- Yadav, M. (2025). Intraday lottery demands in cryptocurrency market. *Studies in Economics and Finance*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/sef-07-2024-0461>
- Yaâla, S. and Henchiri, J. (2025). Climate risks and cryptocurrency volatility: evidence from crypto market crisis. *China Finance Review International*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/cfri-09-2024-0575>
- Yao, S., Şensoy, A., Nguyen, D., & Li, T. (2022). Investor attention and cryptocurrency market liquidity: a double-edged sword. *Annals of Operations Research*, 334(1-3), 815-856. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-022-04915-w>
- Youssef, M. and Waked, S. (2022). Herding behavior in the cryptocurrency market during covid-19 pandemic: the role of media coverage. *The North American Journal of Economics and Finance*, 62, 101752. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.najef.2022.101752>
- Zhou, F. (2025). Identification of high-frequency volatility and risk prevention in cryptocurrencies. *China Finance Review International*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/cfri-09-2024-0568>

Zhu, P., Zhang, X., Wu, Y., Zheng, H., & Zhang, Y. (2021). Investor attention and cryptocurrency: evidence from the bitcoin market. *Plos One*, 16(2), e0246331. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0246331>