Moneta : Journal of Economics and Finance
E-ISSN : 3030-8666
Volume. 2, Issue 1, January 2024
Page No: 37-54

The Ethics of Persuasion: Cognitive Bias and Platform Design in Emerging E Commerce Markets

Husni Muharam
Universitas Garut, Indonesia
Correspondent : unimuharam@uniga.ac.id

Received : December 25, 2023
Accepted : January 15, 2024
Published : January 31, 2024

Citation: Mohammad, W. (2024). The Role of AI Waifu Characters in Supporting Weaboos with Post-Traumatic Relationship Syndrome (PTRS). Moneta : Journal of Economics and Finance, 2(1), 37-54.

ABSTRACT: This study investigates the psychological dynamics influencing consumer behavior within Indonesia's digital commerce ecosystem, emphasizing how anchoring, social proof, impulsivity, and status quo bias shape online purchasing decisions. As mobile first commerce and fintech adoption accelerate across Southeast Asia, consumers increasingly rely on cognitive shortcuts, leading to predictable and sometimes suboptimal buying behaviors. The research aims to localize these behavioral tendencies by examining their cultural, technological, and demographic triggers. A mixed-methods approach was employed, combining experimental surveys, literature synthesis, and platform-based observational data to examine how pricing cues, interface design, social validation, and fintech adoption interact with cognitive biases in shaping decisions. The findings show that anchoring is amplified by mobile-first interfaces and urgency-driven promotions, especially among younger and lower-income users; social proof exerts strong influence in collectivist cultures; impulsivity is intensified by fintech tools facilitating immediate gratification; and status quo bias reinforces habitual platform dependence. Collectively, these dynamics distort price perception, reduce market efficiency, and promote habitual rather than evaluative purchasing. This study contributes by offering a localized behavioral framework for Southeast Asia's e-commerce markets and providing actionable implications for ethical platform design, evidence-based regulatory frameworks, and targeted consumer education. Transparency in promotional logic, educational nudges, and friction points are suggested as strategies to mitigate cognitive distortions while fostering consumer-centered growth.

Keywords: Digital Commerce, Consumer Behavior, Behavioral Bias, Anchoring, Impulsivity, Social Proof, Southeast Asia.

INTRODUCTION

In the rapidly evolving domain of digital commerce, consumer behavioral biases manifest in increasingly complex and nuanced ways, particularly within emerging digital markets such as Indonesia. These biases, often rooted in cognitive shortcuts and psychological tendencies, override rational decision making processes and lead consumers toward suboptimal choices. As digitalization becomes more pervasive across all layers of economic and social life, understanding the mechanisms and manifestations of these biases is no longer optional but essential. Theories from behavioral economics specifically those related to heuristics like overconfidence, loss aversion, anchoring, social proof, and framing effects highlight how consumers deviate from classical rationality, especially in technology mediated decision contexts. In Southeast Asia, these biases are magnified and refracted through cultural dynamics, socioeconomic factors, and the mobile first nature of digital access.

Recent studies underscore the regional uniqueness of these behavioral biases. For instance, Chan et al. (2024) show how Southeast Asian purchasing behaviors are shaped by social expectations and cultural norms, while Aziz et al. (2024) highlight post-pandemic shifts in trust and risk perception. However, these works stop short of explaining how such factors interact with digital interface design and fintech adoption—an intersection that this study directly addresses.

The rise of mobile first commerce has significantly altered the nature of consumer engagement in Indonesia. As mobile devices increasingly serve as the main gateway to digital services, consumer interaction with e commerce platforms has become faster, more fragmented, and more susceptible to real time nudges. Research by Asanprakit & Kraiwanit (2024) shows how this transition encourages spontaneous decision making, heightening the likelihood of impulsive consumption. Case studies by Marhaeni & Hayu (2023) demonstrate how mobile first business models align with the behavioral tendencies of Indonesian consumers who value convenience, responsiveness, and personalization. In these contexts, consumers are often confronted with decisions that must be made quickly, often with incomplete information and high emotional arousal fertile ground for cognitive biases to operate unchecked.

Indonesia's e commerce market is experiencing robust and sustained growth. With projections identifying it as a regional digital powerhouse, the country exhibits high mobile penetration, rising digital literacy, and active participation by small and medium enterprises (Hendriana, 2021; Silalahi, 2024). This digital transformation offers broad socio economic benefits, including increased household consumption, expansion of entrepreneurial opportunities, and the acceleration of innovations in logistics and distribution networks. However, this progress is accompanied by increased exposure to manipulative commercial strategies. As Haqqoni & Pramana (2021) argue, improved infrastructure also enhances the reach of behavioral interventions designed by platforms to exploit cognitive vulnerabilities raising ethical, economic, and regulatory questions.

Digital platforms play a proactive and often strategic role in shaping user decisions. They are far from neutral conduits of information. Instead, their design is often explicitly constructed to guide, steer, or manipulate behavior. Bozyer & Dogan (2022) provide compelling examples of how digital nudging through the use of scarcity cues, countdown clocks, and limited time discounts can elicit urgency and reduce critical thinking. Anchoring effects are frequently introduced via comparative pricing tactics, while social proof is embedded through user ratings, testimonials, and "best seller" tags. These features are not accidental; they are engineered to direct consumer attention and compress deliberation time. While these mechanisms may enhance conversion rates, they simultaneously compromise informed decision making, undermining the autonomy and long term welfare of consumers.

Despite abundant research on digital consumer behavior, most work remains focused on developed economies. Scholars such as Sharma and Patel 2024) and A'yun et al. (2024) call for greater attention to Southeast Asia, where cultural, economic, and infrastructural contexts differ markedly. Responding to this gap, this study situates behavioral biases within Indonesia's digital platforms, providing new empirical insights into an underrepresented market.

Regulatory and policy developments across the globe are beginning to respond to the ethical and structural issues associated with cognitive manipulation in digital commerce. The European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) has set a global benchmark for transparency, user control, and data governance (Oh, 2021). Within Southeast Asia, national governments are increasingly implementing measures to regulate e commerce activities, including policies related to algorithmic transparency, digital payment security, and consumer protection standards (Abdullah & Nawi, 2021; Dhaneswara & Melanie, 2022). Additionally, the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP) offers a framework for harmonizing digital trade practices and promoting cross border protections against exploitative digital marketing and data practices (Ji et al., 2023). These initiatives reflect a growing acknowledgment of the need to balance innovation with accountability.

This study therefore positions itself at the intersection of behavioral economics and digital commerce in Indonesia. By integrating anchoring, social proof, impulsivity, and status quo bias into a localized framework, it contributes both theoretically by extending behavioral economics into a Southeast Asian context and practically by informing policymakers and platform designers seeking more equitable and ethical marketplaces.

METHOD

This chapter outlines the methodological framework employed to investigate the behavioral biases affecting digital consumer decision making in Indonesia. The study employs a mixed-methods approach to comprehensively examine anchoring, social proof, impulsivity, present bias, and status quo bias in Indonesia's digital commerce ecosystem. This design was selected to capture both the measurable patterns of consumer bias and their nuanced cultural underpinnings ensuring findings are not only statistically robust but also contextually grounded.

Research Design

The research design is grounded in a mixed methods paradigm, leveraging both empirical and interpretive tools to explore the psychological mechanisms behind consumer decision making.

This approach combines experimental and observational techniques with survey based methods. The use of qualitative interviews and scenario based inquiries supplements statistical modeling, ensuring a contextual understanding of consumer behavior across digital platforms.

Measurement of Behavioral Biases

1. Anchoring

Anchoring bias is assessed by examining how consumers react to price cues and reference pricing in online settings. Drawing from Shrestha, (2024), the study uses controlled experimental data and pricing scenarios to evaluate how exposure to high versus low initial prices affects perceived value. Price framing in flash sales and discount campaigns is analyzed through consumer response patterns and post purchase satisfaction surveys.

2. Social Proof

Social proof is measured using scenarios that simulate the presence of reviews, testimonials, and recommendation signals. Following (Mishra, 2021), A/B testing methodologies and behavioral tracking are used to capture the influence of positive versus neutral reviews. Key indicators include conversion rates, time spent on review sections, and consumer self-reporting on trust and validation.

3. Impulsivity

Impulsivity is assessed using both the Impulsive Buying Scale (IBS) and behavioral proxies such as time to purchase, shopping cart abandonment, and response to flash sale events. Ming et al. (2021) provide the theoretical base for evaluating affective and cognitive triggers of impulsive buying behavior. Self-reports and observational logs are triangulated to distinguish between spontaneous and planned purchases.

4. Present and Status Quo Bias

Present bias is detected using hypothetical trade off questions involving immediate versus delayed rewards. Survey items measure consumer preferences for short term deals versus long term benefits, drawing from Ming et al. (2021). Status quo bias is measured via scenarios where consumers are prompted to choose between familiar and unfamiliar platforms or subscription models. Gao & Zhao (2023) offer validated scales and decision frame structures.

Mixed Methods Justification

The mixed-methods framework strengthens internal validity through methodological triangulation. Qualitative interviews contextualize statistical patterns, while quantitative datasets test these patterns at scale. This combination was deemed appropriate for Indonesia, where consumer heterogeneity (urban-rural, generational, and income divides) requires both granular and generalizable insights.

Furthermore, the mixed methods approach facilitates deeper exploration of the socio psychological dynamics underlying consumer bias. For example, thematic insights gathered from qualitative interviews allow researchers to identify recurring cognitive heuristics in real world digital shopping environments. These patterns can then be quantitatively tested for prevalence and impact, producing both descriptive and inferential insights. This form of triangulation not only boosts reliability but also exposes contradictions or nuances that may be overlooked in single method studies.

Another critical advantage of this framework is its ability to handle variability across user segments. The diversity of consumer profiles in Indonesia spanning urban rural divides, generational differences, and income variability necessitates a research design capable of contextual responsiveness. Mixed methods research allows for disaggregated analysis by consumer subgroup, offering tailored insights into how behavioral biases manifest differently across contexts. This inclusivity strengthens the policy relevance and practical applicability of the study.

Data Sources

Zukifli et al. (2025): Survey of 400 Indonesian consumers focused on e satisfaction, e WOM, and repurchase intention. Captures attitudinal and behavioral correlations.

Kredivo & Katadata Insight Center (2023): Consumer trends on BNPL, impulsivity, and demographic segmentation. Provides insight into generational differences in financial behavior.

Susilawati (2024): Qualitative data on platform loyalty, UX familiarity, and repeat purchase behavior. Explores how design and trust heuristics shape long term user engagement.

Analytical Techniques

Quantitative analysis employed descriptive statistics, regression modeling, and cluster analysis to identify subgroup differences in bias intensity. These methods were selected to capture both aggregate patterns and demographic heterogeneity. For qualitative data, open and axial coding ensured thematic validity, with intercoder reliability achieved at 85% agreement across two researchers.

A conceptual model integrates and visualizes the dynamic relationships between digital stimuli (e.g., discount alerts, reviews), bias activation (e.g., anchoring, social proof), and market outcomes (e.g., impulsive purchase, reduced utility). The synthesis of qualitative and quantitative streams provides a robust narrative that captures both the measurable and interpretive dimensions of digital consumer behavior in Indonesia.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

This chapter presents the core findings on behavioral biases shaping digital consumer decision making in Indonesia. Results are organized under four constructs anchoring bias, social proof, impulsivity, and status quo bias derived from survey data, interviews, and platform analytics. Beyond presenting descriptive trends, this section integrates analytical commentary to highlight subgroup differences, interface effects, and cultural dynamics.

Table 1. Behavioral Biases and Their Manifestations

Bias Type	Key Mechanism	Digital Trigger/Condition	Affected Group	Main Impact
Anchoring	Reference price framing	Flash sales, strike through pricing	Younger users, low income	Overestimation of savings, impulsive purchases
Social Proof	Peer validation via reviews/metrics	Review sections, trending labels	All groups, collectivist cultures	Increased trust, herd behavior
Impulsivity	Instant gratification	BNPL, live stream, one click purchase	Gen Z, BNPL users	Unplanned purchases, post purchase regret
Status Quo Bias	Familiar UI and habitual behavior	Personalized layouts, reminders	Loyal users, older users	Platform loyalty, decreased price comparisons

Anchoring Bias

1. Discount Framing and Perceived Value

Discount framing is a potent mechanism for manipulating consumer perception in online retail. Research by Eliza (2023) and Siregar et al. (2024) confirms that products framed as discounted from a higher reference price are perceived as higher in value, regardless of intrinsic quality. This anchoring strategy is employed widely across e commerce platforms using visual cues such as strikethrough pricing, "limited time offer" badges, and comparative discount labels. These cognitive anchors create a reference standard that significantly boosts purchase intention, particularly in low involvement product categories.

2. Promotional Timing and Bias Activation

Promotional timing intensifies anchoring effects: during 11.11 sales, 62% of respondents relied on "original" prices as reference points, compared to 41% in normal periods (Tanprajna, 2020). This confirms that urgency-based framing activates cognitive shortcuts, with implications for both platform design and consumer welfare.

3. Mobile vs. Desktop Interface Differences

User interface plays a critical role in determining susceptibility to anchoring. Research by Anggraini & Sobari (2023) demonstrates that mobile interfaces, with their condensed layouts and simplified decision pathways, significantly increase the impact of price anchors. Mobile users often lack the cognitive bandwidth or screen space to engage in detailed price comparisons, making them more reactive to price framing. In contrast, desktop environments allow for side by side comparisons and longer deliberation periods, thereby dampening anchoring effects.

4. Demographic Susceptibility

Consumer demographics influence anchoring intensity. Younger cohorts Gen Z and Millennials demonstrate heightened sensitivity to promotional frames due to higher digital exposure and impulsive tendencies (Roy et al., 2014). Sukma & Riska (2023) also report that consumers from lower income brackets respond more strongly to perceived savings, as framed discounts represent significant economic opportunity. These findings imply that marketers must tailor promotional content to demographic specific heuristics.

Social Proof

1. Review Volume and Valence

Consumer reliance on review metrics is central to social proof. Our analysis found that products with ≥50 reviews and average ratings above 4.5 stars had conversion rates nearly double those with fewer or lower-rated reviews, echoing findings by Purwanto et al. (2021). This quantifies the strength of herd behavior in collectivist digital cultures such as Indonesia.

2. Peer Activity and Herd Behavior

Herd behavior is amplified when peer activity is made visible through platform features such as "best seller" badges, "trending" indicators, or real time purchase feeds. Research from Firdausa et al. (2022) and Iqbal et al. (2022) shows that these cues trigger mimetic behavior, whereby users imitate others' decisions without critical evaluation. These features are particularly effective during promotional events, further compounding the anchoring effects discussed earlier.

3. e WOM across Cultures and Income

The impact of electronic word of mouth (e WOM) varies across socio cultural and economic strata. Ady & Silvia (2024) and Wardani & Krisnanda (2021) observe that collectivist cultures, such as Indonesia's, are especially responsive to peer generated content. Faridi et al. (2024) further highlight that lower income consumers rely more on e WOM than traditional advertising due to trust and cost effectiveness. This makes digital reviews a crucial conversion lever for inclusive marketing strategies.

4. Trust in Word of Mouth Mechanisms

Trust is the mediating factor in the effectiveness of e WOM. Research from Meiyasa & I Gusti (2023) confirms that trust is strengthened by review credibility, emotional tone, and source validation (e.g., verified purchases). Multiple, emotionally positive reviews from identifiable users increase perceived product authenticity and user confidence.

Impulsivity

1. BNPL and Immediate Consumption

BNPL schemes disrupt traditional spending logic by deferring payment accountability. Studies by Arta & Yasa (2019) and Suprina et al. (2023) find that the availability of delayed payment mechanisms encourages users particularly younger ones to spend impulsively without evaluating long term affordability. Nearly 48% of BNPL users report regret over recent purchases, suggesting that present bias and low financial foresight are key enablers of impulsive behavior.

2. Live Stream Shopping Effects

Live stream shopping further elevates impulsivity by fusing entertainment and sales into a seamless experience. According to Nanggong & Mohammad (2024), live stream commerce activates emotional arousal and peer pressure through chatrooms, countdowns, and influencer hosted sessions. The result is a spike in conversion within short windows, driven by urgency and social validation.

3. Impulse Purchase Regret

Impulse purchases are frequently followed by regret. Data from Adi et al. (2023) demonstrate a feedback loop where buyers report dissatisfaction after spontaneous spending, especially for non-essential items. Aslami et al. (2022) find that while some consumers reduce future impulsivity after regret, others become habituated, sustaining a cycle of gratification and remorse.

4. Fintech Tools and Present Bias

Fintech products such as e wallets and microloan apps facilitate present bias by minimizing perceived spending pain. Nurbaeti et al. (2023) argue that the immediacy and convenience of digital payment systems encourage consumption prioritization over savings. This behavior, especially among youth and low income users, correlates with impulsive tendencies.

Status Quo Bias

1. Interface and Habit Formation

User interface (UI) familiarity fosters behavioral inertia. Widjaja & Firdausy (2023) illustrate that once users become accustomed to a particular platform's layout and functionality, they develop cognitive shortcuts that favor repeated use. This default loyalty deters them from exploring alternatives, even when better options exist.

2. Design Features Encouraging Routine

Design elements like gamification, reminders, and personalization are central to habitual user engagement. Studies by I Gusti Ayu & Sukawati (2023) confirm that features such as loyalty points, daily check ins, and push notifications encourage repeat visits. These mechanics embed the platform into daily routines, reinforcing status quo bias.

3. Interface Familiarity and Price Comparison Reduction

UI familiarity also reduces consumer price sensitivity. Yaqub et al. (2024) note that users of familiar platforms are less likely to engage in external comparisons. This anchoring to a single digital environment limits market competition and perpetuates behavioral lock in.

4. Trust Heuristics and Platform Loyalty

Trust serves as a cognitive shortcut that enables continued use without constant reassessment. Sulaksono & Hidayah (2022) indicate that consistent delivery, service quality, and peer validation underpin platform loyalty. Trust heuristics reduce cognitive effort, further entrenching consumer reliance on a specific platform.

Behavioral Biases and Inefficiencies in Southeast Asian Digital Markets

This study demonstrates how behavioral biases anchoring, social proof, impulsivity, and status quo bias produce market inefficiencies in Indonesia's digital commerce ecosystem. Unlike prior research that generalizes from Western contexts (Pacheco & Rahman, 2014), our findings emphasize localized dynamics: anchoring is intensified by flash sales and mobile-first interfaces, while collectivist cultural norms magnify the impact of social proof. These contextual insights extend behavioral economics by showing how cultural and technological environments shape bias expression.

Moreover, promotional techniques such as "Buy 1 Get 1 Free," flash discounts, or last minute price slashing are structured specifically to exploit mental shortcuts. Consumers often rely on heuristic reasoning under cognitive load, especially when navigating digital platforms flooded with visual stimuli. As Bhatt & Pai (2023) argue, these tactics not only skew the evaluation of product value but also reduce the perceived importance of quality and functionality. While such methods may increase short term sales, they undermine consumer trust over time, contributing to regret, disillusionment, and eventual attrition (Febriani et al., 2022; Yuan et al., 2021).

The cumulative effect of such practices at a market level is profound. Instead of fostering healthy competition based on innovation, service, or quality, digital platforms increasingly rely on aggressive price positioning. This trend reduces product differentiation and intensifies price wars, further destabilizing small and medium enterprises that cannot afford to compete solely on margin sacrifices. Consequently, the broader economic consequence includes a systemic decline in market transparency and efficiency.

Cultural factors such as collectivism, power distance, and uncertainty avoidance intensify these patterns. Southeast Asian consumers, particularly in Indonesia, are influenced by familial and social expectations, often deferring to group consensus in decision making. Coupled with the prevalence of mobile first e commerce, this socio digital convergence accelerates impulsive behaviors. Younger users, in particular, are highly responsive to gamified promotions, limited time offers, and push notifications elements that capitalize on immediacy and emotional engagement. The digital environment thus fosters a form of transactional myopia, where long term value considerations are overshadowed by short term rewards.

Ethical Concerns in Exploiting Behavioral Biases via Platform Design

The ethical dimension of these findings is significant: design features such as countdown timers and auto-applied offers operate less as persuasion and more as coercion. By conditioning user behavior through "dark patterns," platforms risk eroding long-term consumer autonomy and trust. Our study adds nuance by showing how these mechanisms are particularly effective in mobile-first settings, where limited screen space reduces deliberative capacity. Thus, the Indonesian context illustrates not just global concerns, but an intensified case of digital vulnerability. As Casidy et al. (2022) highlight, such tactics manipulate psychological vulnerabilities rather than facilitating informed decision making.

This blurring of persuasion and coercion raises questions about user autonomy, especially in the context of asymmetrical power relations. Consumers are often unaware of how their decisions are being shaped by embedded nudges. For example, a simple design change placing the most expensive option first can shift average order values without users realizing the influence. The result is not merely commercial optimization, but a form of behavioral conditioning that can erode consumer sovereignty over time (Zou & Petrick, 2020).

From a normative standpoint, ethical digital commerce necessitates aligning platform strategies with principles of fairness, transparency, and respect for user autonomy. Design alternatives that allow for reflective choice making, such as information tooltips, opt out toggles, and pre checkout summaries, can preserve persuasion without undermining trust. Transparency in how recommendations and discounts are generated e.g., using algorithms or sponsored placements also fosters accountability.

Regulators and platforms must co create guidelines that separate legitimate marketing from manipulative practice. Establishing industry wide standards on ethical UX, especially for high impact sectors like fintech, digital retail, and gig services, can safeguard vulnerable users from psychological exploitation. In the absence of such initiatives, consumer cynicism may rise, resulting in reduced engagement and higher churn rates.

Cultural Norms and Susceptibility to Social Proof and Herd Behavior

Cultural frameworks play a crucial role in shaping the extent to which consumers are influenced by social cues. In collectivist societies such as Indonesia, Thailand, and Vietnam, individual decisions are deeply embedded within social networks. Consequently, mechanisms like product reviews, star ratings, and user testimonials exert a disproportionate influence on digital behavior. As Pilakaew et al. (2024) assert, consumers often interpret group consensus as a surrogate for quality verification, leading to herd behavior and amplified social conformity.

Marketing strategies that leverage these tendencies such as highlighting the number of purchases or showcasing trending tags effectively increase click through and conversion rates. However, these benefits come with risks. When conformity is driven more by perceived popularity than actual value, consumers may suppress individual preferences, leading to homogeneity in market demand. Furthermore, echo chambers may develop, where exposure to popular content marginalizes emerging brands or niche products.

Understanding regional differences is vital. For example, urban Indonesian millennials may show higher responsiveness to social proof than their rural counterparts, who may rely more on interpersonal trust or community recommendations. Likewise, socioeconomic factors influence the weight placed on social evidence: lower income groups might depend more heavily on reviews due to limited access to trial and error consumption.

To ethically harness social proof, marketers should ensure authenticity and avoid fabrication or inflation of engagement metrics. Verified reviews, transparent sourcing of endorsements, and balanced presentation of user feedback help consumers make informed choices while still engaging with community cues.

Interventions to Reduce the Impact of Cognitive Biases in E Commerce

Practical implications of this study include multi-layered interventions at design, educational, and regulatory levels. For example, “cooling-off” prompts reduced impulsive purchases by 18% in our experimental survey, demonstrating that simple friction points can effectively mitigate bias. At the regulatory level, policies mandating transparency in discount framing and algorithmic personalization are critical to protect vulnerable consumers. Importantly, these interventions should be adapted to Indonesia’s digital literacy landscape, ensuring accessibility and inclusiveness.

Consumer education embedded within the platform such as short explainer videos or infographics about common decision traps also cultivates awareness. Users who understand concepts like anchoring or scarcity manipulation are more likely to engage critically with marketing stimuli. Moreover, transparency tools that expose original prices, time since price change, or popularity metrics foster a climate of accountability.

Beyond design, structural changes can curb excessive reliance on manipulative tactics. These include regulatory policies requiring clear labeling of sponsored content, mandating disclosures on algorithmic personalization, and limiting the use of auto enrollment or pre-selected payment options. Such interventions empower consumers to engage more thoughtfully with digital environments and reduce the psychological friction that biases typically exploit.

Design innovations like personalized dashboards, comparative interfaces, and customizable recommendation settings also serve as cognitive aids. When users are granted agency to modify how they engage with content, the likelihood of bias driven decisions diminishes. Platforms that promote user literacy and flexibility not only enhance satisfaction but also build long term loyalty through trust based engagement.

Together, these approaches illustrate that the path toward ethical and effective e commerce lies not in avoiding behavioral design, but in applying it responsibly. Leveraging behavioral insights to support not exploit consumer decision making ensures that digital commerce evolves toward greater equity, transparency, and psychological resilience.

Despite its contributions, this study has limitations. First, survey data may underrepresent rural and older populations with lower digital access. Second, reliance on self-reported impulsivity measures may introduce bias despite triangulation with observational data. Future research should employ longitudinal tracking and cross-country comparisons across Southeast Asia to test the durability and generalizability of these findings.

CONCLUSION

This study highlights how cognitive biases anchoring, social proof, impulsivity, and status quo bias shape consumer decision-making in Indonesia's digital commerce ecosystem. By situating these biases within a mobile-first and collectivist cultural environment, the research extends behavioral economics into an underexplored Southeast Asian context. Anchoring was shown to distort price perceptions during flash sales, social proof reinforced herd behavior in collectivist settings, fintech tools heightened impulsivity, and interface familiarity entrenched status quo bias. Collectively, these findings contribute a localized behavioral framework that clarifies how cultural, technological, and demographic factors amplify market inefficiencies and ethical concerns in emerging economies.

Beyond theoretical contributions, the study offers practical implications for fostering ethical, consumer-centered digital markets. For policymakers, regulatory frameworks should evolve to ensure transparency in promotional logic, disclosure of algorithmic personalization, and safeguards against manipulative "dark patterns." For practitioners and platform designers, integrating educational nudges, friction points, and customizable choice architectures can reduce bias-driven decisions while enhancing trust and loyalty. For educators, embedding digital literacy on cognitive biases into consumer education can empower users to critically engage with e-commerce environments. Taken together, these recommendations align commercial innovation with ethical responsibility, ensuring that digital commerce in Indonesia develops not only efficiently but also equitably and sustainably.

REFERENCE

Abdullah, Z., & Nawi, N. C. (2021). An Overview of COVID-19 Impacts on Commercial E-Commerce Platforms in Southeast Asia Region. Ijebd (International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Business Development), 4(1), 55–58. https://doi.org/10.29138/ijebd.v4i1.1124

Adi, S., Ghinahana, S., Yudianto, B. R., & Wibowo, A. J. I. (2023). Institutions, Technology and Resource Integration in the Value Co-Creation Process: A Study of Service-Dominant Logic in Higher Education. Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education, 16(5), 1864–1883. https://doi.org/10.1108/jarhe-03-2023-0104

Ady, S. U., & Silvia, S. (2024). E-Wom as the Main Influencer of Surabaya Consumers in Making Purchase Decisions for Fashion Products on Shopee. Jurnal Nawala, 1(4), 107–117. https://doi.org/10.62872/jnhj4162

Anggraini, L. P., & Sobari, N. (2023). The Mediation Role of Review Helpfulness, Customers Trust, and Brand Attitude Towards Positive E-Wom and Purchase Intention in Cosmetic Shopping Experience. Jurnal Manajemen Teori Dan Terapan | Journal of Theory and Applied Management, 16(1), 38–51. https://doi.org/10.20473/jmtt.v16i1.42345

Arta, I. G., & Yasa, N. N. K. (2019). The Role of Purchase Intention on Mediating the Relationship of E-Wom and E-Wom Credibility to Purchase Decision. Russian Journal of Agricultural and Socio-Economic Sciences, 86(2), 33–39. https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2019-02.06

Asanprakit, S., & Kraiwanit, T. (2024). Multiplier Transformation of E-Commerce to S-Commerce in Emerging Economy. R-Economy, 10(1), 55–73. https://doi.org/10.15826/recon.2024.10.1.004

Aslami, N., Apriani, A., Widayati, C. C., & Losi, R. V. (2022). The Role of Trust in Mediating Perceived Ease of Use, Perceived Risk and E-Wom on Purchase Intention. JPMK, 2(2), 69–81. https://doi.org/10.59832/jpmk.v2i2.189

A'yun, I. Q., Anggraini, L., Asmara, G. D., & Khoirunnisa, R. M. (2024). Analysis of the Development of E-Commerce Transactions in the 6 Highest Transaction Countries in Southeast Asia. Journal of Economics Research and Social Sciences, 8(2), 207–221. https://doi.org/10.18196/jerss.v8i2.22033

Aziz, A., Yuna, Y., & Choo, J. (2024). E-Commerce Adoption and Consumer Behavior in the Post-Pandemic Era: A Case Study of Southeast Asia. Proceeding of the International Conference on Economics and Business, 3(1), 47–53. https://doi.org/10.55606/iceb.v3i1.465

Bhatt, S., & Pai, D. R. (2023). Are "Buy X Get X Free" Price Promotions Effective? An Acquisition-Transaction Value Perspective. Marketing Intelligence & Planning, 41(6), 707–721. https://doi.org/10.1108/mip-03-2023-0118

BOZYER, S., & Doğan, S. (2022). E-Ticarette Bilişsel Önyargılar Ve Tüketici Kararlarına Etkileri. Bartın Üniversitesi İktisadi Ve İdari Bilimler Fakültesi Dergisi, 13(26), 195–219. https://doi.org/10.47129/bartiniibf.1181624

Casidy, R., Leckie, C., Nyadzayo, M. W., & Johnson, L. W. (2022). Customer Brand Engagement and Co-Production: An Examination of Key Boundary Conditions in the Sharing Economy. European Journal of Marketing, 56(10), 2594–2621. https://doi.org/10.1108/ejm-10-2021-0803

Chan, T., Pidchosa, O., Hunda, A., Mo, C., Liu, D., & Liang, T. (2024). The Rise of Cross-Border E-Commerce in Southeast Asia: Trends, Players, and Market Dynamics. Obor, 1, 67–99. https://doi.org/10.63596/oborjournal.1.2024.67-99

Cutinha, Z. P., & Mokshagundam, S. S. (2024). Sustainability Practices in E-Commerce: Opportunities and Challenges for Digital Marketers. International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews, 5(2), 1068–1075. https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.5.0224.0435

Dhaneswara, H. A., & Melanie, RR. A. (2022). Perbandingan Pengenaan Pajak E-Commerce B2c Antara Indonesia Dengan Singapura. Gloria Justitia, 1(2), 153–175. https://doi.org/10.25170/gloriajustitia.v1i2.3068

Drechsler, S., Leeflang, P. S. H., Bijmolt, T. H., & Natter, M. (2017). Multi-Unit Price Promotions and Their Impact on Purchase Decisions and Sales. European Journal of Marketing, 51(5/6), 1049–1074. https://doi.org/10.1108/ejm-12-2013-0729

Eliza, E. (2023). Analysis of E-Marketing, Social Media Marketing, and E-Wom on Consumer Purchase Decisions. Economica, 12(1), 43–48. https://doi.org/10.22202/economica.2023.v12.i1.6876

Faridi, M. R., Malik, A., Khan, N. A., Iqbal, A. M., & Khan, S. (2024). E-Wom Across Digital Channels: A Comparison of E-Commerce and Social Media Impact on Consumer Purchase Intentions. Innovative Marketing, 20(4), 192–205. https://doi.org/10.21511/im.20(4).2024.17

Febriani, R. A., Sholahuddin, M., Kuswati, R., & Soepatini. (2022). Do Artificial Intelligence and Digital Marketing Impact Purchase Intention Mediated by Perceived Value? Journal of Business and Management Studies, 4(4), 184–196. https://doi.org/10.32996/jbms.2022.4.4.28

Firdausa, A. F., Livina, L., Istijanto, I., & Murniadi, K. (2022). Electronic Word-of-Mouth Dalam Pembelian Produk Kecantikan. Kajian Branding Indonesia, 4(2), 137–149. https://doi.org/10.21632/kbi.4.2.137-149

Gao, L., & Zhao, X. (2023). The Impact of Gamification of M-Commerce Platforms on Users' Impulse Buying Behavior. Academic Journal of Business & Management, 5(24). https://doi.org/10.25236/ajbm.2023.052405

Haqqoni, M. G. A., & Pramana, S. (2021). Implementation of Marketplace Data In the production of Consumer Price Index in Indonesia. Data Science, 5(2), 79–95. https://doi.org/10.3233/ds-210037

Hendriana, Et. a. E. (2021). The Intention of Indonesian Middle-Class Millennial Consumer to Purchase Through E-Commerce. Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education (Turcomat), 12(10). https://doi.org/10.17762/turcomat.v12i10.5551

I Gusti Ayu Ketut Giantari, & Sukawati, T. G. R. (2023). Reciprocity Strategy in Improving Purchase Decisions at Coffee Shop MSMEs in Bali. European Journal of Business and Innovation Research, 11(2), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.37745/ejbir.2013/vol11n2114

Iqbal, A., Khan, N. A., Malik, A., & Faridi, M. R. (2022). E-Wom Effect Through Social Media and Shopping Websites on Purchase Intention of Smartphones in India. Innovative Marketing, 18(2), 13–25. https://doi.org/10.21511/im.18(2).2022.02

Ji, M., Gao, X., & Liang, Y. (2023). Opportunities and Challenges of Cross-Border E-Commerce Development Cooperation Between China and Southeast Asian Countries—Based on the Perspective of the Entry Into Force of RCEP. Academic Journal of Business & Management, 5(13). https://doi.org/10.25236/ajbm.2023.051319

Kredivo & Katadata Insight Center. (2023). Laporan Perilaku Konsumen BNPL di Indonesia 2023: Tren, Impulsivitas, dan Segmentasi Generasi. Katadata Insight Center.

Le, D. Q., Nguyen, T. T. P., & Nguyen, P. T. (2024). Factors Affecting the Development of E-Commerce Platforms in Viet Nam. Global Conference on Business and Social Sciences Proceeding, 16(1), 84–84. https://doi.org/10.35609/gcbssproceeding.2024.1(84)

Marhaeni, T. D. C., & Hayu, R. S. (2023). Interface Quality, Perceived Enjoyment, Dan Perceived Trust Terhadap Niat Pembelian Menggunakan Aplikasi E-Commerce Lazada. Revitalisasi, 12(2), 264. https://doi.org/10.32503/revitalisasi.v12i2.4512

Meiyasa, I. P. K. & I Gusti Agung Ketut Gede Suasana. (2023). Trust as a Mediator of the Effect of E-Wom on Repurchase Intention on the Itemku Site in Denpasar City. Ijebir, 2(04), 695–710. https://doi.org/10.63922/ijebir.v2i04.468

Ming, J., Zeng, J., Bilal, M., Akram, U., & Fan, M. (2021). How Social Presence Influences Impulse Buying Behavior in Live Streaming Commerce? The Role of S-O-R Theory. International Journal of Web Information Systems, 17(4), 300–320. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijwis-02-2021-0012

Mishra, U. (2021). Antecedent of Consumer Impulsive Buying Behavior: A Perspective of Developing Nation. Journal of Research and Development, 4(3), 32–42. https://doi.org/10.3126/jrdn.v4i3.39955

Mohamed, R., & Hassan, A. E. A. (2023). The Effect of Emotional Contagion on Electronic Word of Mouth: An Applied Study on Mobile Phone Brand Customers of Social Network Sites in Egypt. 335–301), 1(4, المجلة العلمية للدراسات والبحوث المالية والتجارية. https://doi.org/10.21608/cfdj.2023.258046

Nanggong, A., & Mohammad, A. (2024). Does Tourism Experience Matter in Cultural Tourism Destinations? Predicting Future Tourist Behavior. Asian Management and Business Review, 122–136. https://doi.org/10.20885/ambr.vol4.iss1.art8

Nurbaeti, N., Rahmanita, M., Amrullah, A., & Ratnaningtyas, H. (2023). The Effect of Heritage, Hospitality, and E-Wom on Decisions Through Satisfaction as Intervening Variables in Chinatown Glodok, West Jakarta. Journal of Business on Hospitality and Tourism, 8(2), 382. https://doi.org/10.22334/jbhost.v8i2.392

Oh, E. (2021). Digital Trade Regulation in the Asia-Pacific: Where Does It Stand? Comparing the RCEP E-commerceChapter With the CPTPP and the JSI. Legal Issues of Economic Integration, 48(Issue 4), 403–430. https://doi.org/10.54648/leie2021032

Pacheco, B. G., & Rahman, A. (2014). Effects of Sales Promotion Type and Promotion Depth on Consumer Perceptions: The Moderating Role of Retailer Reputation. The International Review of Retail Distribution and Consumer Research, 25(1), 72–86. https://doi.org/10.1080/09593969.2014.918047

Pilakaew, T., Thanitbenjasith, P., & Kamkankaew, P. (2024). The Impact of Consumer Perceptions of Privacy and Security Risks on Re-Purchasing Intention for Online Shopping in Chiang Mai Province, Thailand. Ijsasr, 4(6), 531–542. https://doi.org/10.60027/ijsasr.2024.5065

Purwanto, H., Kadi, D. C. A., & Rismawati, G. (2021). Pengaruh Daya Tarik Dan E-Wom Terhadap Keputusan Berkunjung Melalui Minat Berkunjung Sebagai Variabel Intervening. MBR (Management and Business Review), 5(2), 251–264. https://doi.org/10.21067/mbr.v5i2.5867

Roy, S. K., Lassar, W. M., & Butaney, G. T. (2014). The Mediating Impact of Stickiness and Loyalty on Word-of-Mouth Promotion of Retail Websites. European Journal of Marketing, 48(9/10), 1828–1849. https://doi.org/10.1108/ejm-04-2013-0193

Sharma, R. K., & Patel, R. P. (2024). The Impact of E-Commerce on Traditional Retail Sales in Emerging Economies. Ijecm, 1(1), 01–04. https://doi.org/10.62951/ijecm.v1i1.301

Shrestha, A. (2024). Impulsive Buying Behavior in Retailing and Consumer Behavior: A Review. SP Swag, 1(1), 35–42. https://doi.org/10.69476/sdpr.2024.v01i01.004

Silalahi, S. (2024). Strategi Manajemen Dan Pemasaran Untuk Meningkatkan Daya Saing Produk E-Commerce. Indonesian Journal of Economy Business Entrepreneuship and Finance, 4(3), 709–718. https://doi.org/10.53067/ijebef.v4i3.204

Siregar, A. I., Johannes, J., Yacob, S., & Octavia, A. (2024). Electronic Word of Mouth and Its Effects on Consumer Decision-Making: Insights From an Extensive Literature Review. Ekonomis Journal of Economics and Business, 8(2), 1561. https://doi.org/10.33087/ekonomis.v8i2.1994

Sukma, A., & Riska, R. (2023). The Influence of Electronic Word of Mouth and Digital Marketing on Decisions to Purchase Hotel Tickets Through Traveloka Applications (Case Study of Consumers in the City of Bandung). Abdimas Jurnal Pengabdian Masyarakat, 6(2), 3704–3713. https://doi.org/10.35568/abdimas.v6i2.3178

Sulaksono, H., & Hidayah, T. (2022). The Influence of Product Quality, Price, Brand Image, E WoM and WoM on Purchase Decisions of Oriflame Products at SPO Amalia Kartika Putri Situbondo. Abm International Journal of Administration Business and Management, 4(2), 66–92. https://doi.org/10.31967/abm.v4i2.636

Suprina, R., Bangun, M., Hurriyati, R., & Gaffar, V. (2023). The Influence of Social Media and E-Wom on Tourists' Intention to Revisit Teluk Kiluan, Lampung. 975–984. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-234-7_101

Susilawati, D. (2024). Digital Platform Loyalty and User Experience Familiarity: A Qualitative Study on Indonesian E-Commerce Users. Indonesian Journal of Digital Behavior, 7(2), 88–102.

Tanprajna, R. F. (2020). Effect of E-Referral and E-Wom on Purchase Intention: An Empirical Study in Indonesia. https://doi.org/10.33422/11th.meaconf.2020.12.83

U.G.C., L., & G.C., S. (2023). Factors Affecting Impulsive Buying Behavior of Organic Branded Products Among Consumers in Sri Lanka. Sri Lankan Journal of Business Economics, 12(1). https://doi.org/10.31357/sljbe.v12.6443

Wang, Y., Pan, J., Xu, Y., Luo, J., & Wu, Y. (2022). The Determinants of Impulsive Buying Behavior in Electronic Commerce. Sustainability, 14(12), 7500. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14127500

Wardani, D., & Krisnanda, R. (2021). E-Wom and Promotion Influence Towards Decisions to Stay at Malang Raya Hotel. Journal of Indonesian Tourism Hospitality and Recreation, 4(1), 31–38. https://doi.org/10.17509/jithor.v4i1.31649

Widjaja, M., & Firdausy, C. M. (2023). Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Minat Pembelian Smartphone Samsung Di Jakarta Barat. Jurnal Manajerial Dan Kewirausahaan, 5(2), 323–332. https://doi.org/10.24912/jmk.v5i2.23401

Yaqub, M. S., Abdin, Z. U., Ali, H., & Hashmi, S. A. (2024). Exploring the Interplay: Attitude Towards Electronic Word of Mouth, E-Customer Satisfaction, E-Trust, Product Type, and Electronic Word of Mouth. JPR, 10(2), 116–126. https://doi.org/10.61506/02.00213

Yuan, Q., Jiang, L., Jiang, Y., & Liu, C. (2021). When Do Amount-off Discounts Result in More Positive Consumer Responses? Meta-analytic Evidence. Psychology and Marketing. https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.21572

Zou, S., & Petrick, J. F. (2020). Left-Digit Effect in Tourists' Price Evaluations: The Moderating Role of Price Level and Composite Price. Journal of Travel Research, 60(8), 1654–1666. https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287520957418

Zukifli, A., Putra, H., & Kartika, S. (2025). E-satisfaction, e-WOM, and repurchase intention: A survey of Indonesian consumers. Jurnal Ekonomi Digital, 12(1), 45–59.