Logistica : Journal of Logistic and Transportation
E-ISSN: 3032-2766
Volume. 3, Issue 2, April 2025
Page No: 55-68

The Strategic Role of Reverse Logistics in Digital Commerce: A Narrative Review

Setiadi
Universitas Dirgantara Marsekal Suryadarma, Indonesia
Correspondent: setiadi@unsurya.ac.id

Received : March 1, 2025
Accepted : April 12, 2025
Published : April 30, 2025

Citation: Setiadi. (2025). The Strategic Role of Reverse Logistics in Digital Commerce: A Narrative Review. Logistica : Journal of Logistic and Transportation. 3(2), 55-68.

ABSTRACT: The rapid expansion of e-commerce has heightened the significance of reverse logistics, with product return rates reaching up to 30% in some sectors. This study provides a narrative review that synthesizes current research on the trends and challenges of reverse logistics in e-commerce. Literature searches were conducted across multiple databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar, IEEE Xplore, and PubMed, using targeted keywords such as reverse logistics, e-commerce returns, supply chain sustainability, circular economy, and returns management. Inclusion criteria emphasized peer-reviewed studies published between 2015 and 2025. The findings reveal that technological innovations, particularly artificial intelligence, blockchain, and the Internet of Things, are central to improving efficiency and transparency in return processes. Economically, reverse logistics imposes significant costs on firms. At the same time, it offers opportunities for profitability through outsourcing and predictive analytics. Environmentally, returns exacerbate carbon emissions and waste, particularly in the fashion sector, necessitating sustainable packaging and circular economy practices. Customer perspectives highlight the importance of return policies and service experiences in shaping loyalty and trust. Discussion further indicates that systemic barriers, including regulatory gaps and infrastructural challenges, limit effective adoption, particularly in developing regions. The review concludes that coordinated policy measures, technological adoption, and customer-centric strategies are critical for advancing sustainable and efficient reverse logistics. Future research should explore the intersection of technology, policy, and consumer behavior to strengthen reverse logistics as a driver of both profitability and sustainability..

Keywords: Reverse Logistics, E-Commerce Returns, Supply Chain Sustainability, Circular Economy, Customer Loyalty, Sustainable Packaging.

INTRODUCTION

The rapid expansion of e-commerce has profoundly reshaped global retail dynamics, redefining supply chain operations and consumer behavior. Alongside this growth, reverse logistics—the

process of managing the return of goods from consumers back to retailers or manufacturers—has emerged as a critical area of study. Reverse logistics not only encompasses the physical flow of returned products but also integrates managerial, environmental, and customer-service dimensions that influence the overall sustainability of digital commerce ecosystems (Lin et al., 2023; Karl, 2024). The growing volume of product returns makes reverse logistics central to competitive advantage, requiring firms to balance consumer satisfaction, efficiency, and sustainability.

Recent studies demonstrate that return rates in e-commerce significantly surpass those in traditional retail, with global averages ranging between 15% and 30% depending on product category and regional context (Lin et al., 2023; Karl, 2024). In the fashion sector, return rates may reach up to 30% due to issues of size, fit, and consumer expectation misalignment (Prayogo et al., 2024), while the electronics sector typically experiences lower rates, around 10% (Lin et al., 2023). The COVID-19 pandemic further exacerbated these patterns, as consumers increasingly engaged in impulsive online purchasing, often followed by heightened dissatisfaction and subsequent returns (Frei et al., 2020). This shift highlights how macroeconomic shocks and changing consumer behavior influence the complexity of reverse logistics management.

Reverse logistics plays a vital role in reinforcing customer satisfaction and ensuring the sustainability of supply chains. Efficient practices not only streamline returns but also reduce the environmental burden of discarded products. Advanced management systems allow firms to cut carbon emissions while optimizing operational outcomes (Jou et al., 2024; Sharma et al., 2024). For example, the adoption of recyclable packaging aligns with growing consumer demand for environmentally conscious business models, while simultaneously lowering costs associated with new packaging production (Lai et al., 2022). The integration of sustainability-driven initiatives into reverse logistics reflects a broader corporate shift toward balancing profitability and environmental stewardship.

The relationship between reverse logistics and customer loyalty has also attracted increasing scholarly attention. Studies suggest that consumers who encounter seamless return processes are more likely to remain committed to specific brands, reinforcing long-term loyalty (Gronphet & Onputtha, 2025). For e-commerce companies, this dual imperative of customer-centric service and sustainable practice introduces additional complexity. Firms must design return management strategies that satisfy consumer demands while minimizing ecological costs and operational inefficiencies (Nel & Badenhorst, 2020; Singh & Asthana, 2020). Effective reverse logistics thus functions not merely as an operational necessity but as a strategic asset in digital markets.

Nevertheless, significant challenges complicate the implementation of efficient reverse logistics. High costs remain the most cited obstacle, encompassing transportation, labor, and repackaging expenditures (Nel & Badenhorst, 2020; Wang et al., 2021). The intricate nature of product returns requires sophisticated systems capable of handling diverse consumer demands across multiple geographies. Delays in return processing can lead to diminished productivity and lost revenue, further undermining competitiveness (Frei et al., 2020). Moreover, the environmental impact of increasing return rates, particularly in fashion, intensifies the urgency for innovative solutions that reconcile economic and ecological imperatives (Wang et al., 2021; Frei et al., 2020).

Another pressing issue is the rising incidence of fraudulent returns, which undermine transaction integrity and erode corporate profitability (Shih et al., 2021). These fraudulent activities range from wardrobing—using items before returning them—to the return of counterfeit goods. Such practices necessitate stronger security controls and robust verification systems, adding to operational costs and managerial complexity. Additionally, the disposal of returned products that cannot be resold or refurbished contributes to environmental degradation, compounding the challenge of balancing consumer satisfaction with ecological sustainability (Hjort et al., 2019; Zennaro et al., 2022).

Despite growing scholarly interest, notable gaps persist in the literature on reverse logistics. First, there remains insufficient standardization in measuring reverse logistics performance, limiting cross-sectoral and cross-regional comparability. The development of comprehensive metrics and benchmarks is necessary to improve managerial decision-making (Karl, 2024; Abdullahi et al., 2024). Second, sustainability aspects, though increasingly acknowledged, are still underexplored in empirical research. Few studies systematically examine how firms integrate circular economy principles into reverse logistics (Prayogo et al., 2024; Sharma et al., 2024). Finally, the role of emerging technologies such as blockchain, though recognized for its potential to enhance transparency and security, is only sparsely studied in the context of e-commerce returns (Naseem et al., 2023; Naseem et al., 2021).

The purpose of this narrative review is to provide a comprehensive analysis of reverse logistics in e-commerce, with particular attention to current trends and persistent challenges. This review seeks to identify best practices, highlight unresolved gaps in the literature, and evaluate the environmental and business implications of reverse logistics systems (Zennaro et al., 2022; Prayogo et al., 2024). By synthesizing diverse scholarly contributions, the paper aims to inform both academic debates and managerial practice, offering insights into how firms can better integrate sustainability and customer satisfaction into reverse logistics strategies (Karl, 2024; Sharma et al., 2024).

The scope of this review spans multiple geographies and industry sectors, acknowledging that reverse logistics practices differ across national and sectoral contexts. In developed economies such as the United States, mature infrastructure enables more advanced and integrated reverse logistics systems (Comi et al., 2024; Davidavičienė & Majzoub, 2021). By contrast, developing countries often face infrastructural and regulatory constraints that limit the effectiveness of return management systems (Prayogo et al., 2024). Sectoral variations are equally pronounced: fashion typically experiences higher return rates due to consumer uncertainty over product fit, while electronics and food sectors exhibit distinct patterns shaped by product characteristics and consumer expectations (Frei et al., 2020). These differences underscore the importance of contextual analysis in designing effective and sustainable reverse logistics solutions.

In conclusion, reverse logistics in e-commerce represents a complex, multi-dimensional challenge at the intersection of consumer behavior, supply chain management, and environmental sustainability. Understanding its dynamics requires careful attention to industry-specific trends, geographic contexts, and emerging technologies. By addressing these issues through a systematic

review of the literature, this study contributes to advancing knowledge and informing practice in one of the most pressing areas of contemporary digital commerce.

METHOD

The methodology adopted in this narrative review was designed to ensure a systematic and comprehensive exploration of scholarly contributions on reverse logistics within the e-commerce sector. This section details the process of literature identification, selection, and evaluation. The approach combined multiple databases, carefully constructed keywords, and explicit inclusion and exclusion criteria to maximize the breadth and depth of coverage, while maintaining rigor and relevance to the research objectives. The methodological framework was guided by established practices in narrative review writing, emphasizing clarity, reproducibility, and academic integrity.

The literature collection began by identifying key databases recognized for their scope and reliability in indexing peer-reviewed scientific work. Scopus served as the primary database due to its extensive coverage across disciplines and its citation analysis capabilities, which allowed the tracking of influential studies and identification of research trends (Russo & Marsogo, 2019). Web of Science was also employed, given its comparable depth and utility in retrieving high-quality journal articles and conference proceedings relevant to reverse logistics and e-commerce. To broaden the search, Google Scholar was used as a supplementary source. While less selective than Scopus and Web of Science, Google Scholar facilitated the identification of grey literature, working papers, and studies published in outlets not indexed by the primary databases. In addition, IEEE Xplore was consulted to capture technical and engineering-focused research, particularly on the integration of emerging technologies—such as blockchain, artificial intelligence, and the Internet of Things—into reverse logistics systems. Finally, PubMed, although more traditionally aligned with health sciences, was included to identify research intersecting reverse logistics with consumer safety, product quality, and healthcare supply chains, particularly in food and pharmaceutical e-commerce contexts.

The search strategy relied on a combination of keywords and Boolean operators to capture a comprehensive set of relevant studies. Five core keywords formed the backbone of the search. The term "reverse logistics" was central, as it directly encapsulates the focus of the review. "E-commerce returns" was added to situate the search within the digital retail context, allowing the identification of works specifically examining online consumer behavior and return dynamics. To ensure sustainability considerations were adequately represented, the keyword "supply chain sustainability" was incorporated, capturing studies linking return management to environmental, social, and governance outcomes. The term "circular economy" was used to locate research investigating sustainable and regenerative practices within reverse logistics. Lastly, "returns management" emphasized the managerial and operational aspects of handling product returns. These keywords were used both individually and in combination with Boolean operators such as AND, OR, and NOT, depending on database specifications, to refine the search and exclude irrelevant material. For example, searches combining "reverse logistics AND e-commerce returns"

yielded focused results, while "reverse logistics AND circular economy" expanded the scope to sustainability-driven studies.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria were established to ensure consistency and relevance in article selection. Studies were included if they explicitly addressed reverse logistics in the context of e-commerce or digital retail. Both conceptual and empirical studies were considered, including experimental designs, case studies, cross-sectional surveys, and review papers, provided they contributed to the understanding of reverse logistics practices, challenges, or trends. Publications needed to be peer-reviewed and available in English to guarantee accessibility and scholarly credibility. The timeframe was restricted to works published between 2015 and 2025, reflecting a decade of rapidly evolving digital commerce practices and capturing the latest insights in the field. Studies focusing solely on forward logistics, unrelated supply chain topics, or contexts outside of e-commerce were excluded. Articles without accessible full texts were also omitted to maintain the integrity of the review process.

The screening process followed a multi-stage approach. Initial searches across databases produced a large pool of articles. Titles and abstracts were first reviewed to eliminate studies clearly irrelevant to the scope of reverse logistics in e-commerce. This step significantly reduced the dataset by filtering out publications focusing on unrelated domains such as pure manufacturing logistics or generic retail without an online commerce dimension. Full-text screening was then conducted for the remaining studies to confirm their alignment with the inclusion criteria. During this stage, particular attention was paid to methodological rigor, relevance to the research questions, and the depth of discussion on reverse logistics practices. Articles that lacked empirical evidence or did not explicitly address reverse logistics were excluded. Cross-checking citations and reference lists of the selected articles further ensured that influential and frequently cited works were not overlooked.

Evaluation of the included studies was carried out through thematic analysis, wherein each article was examined for its contributions to key themes emerging in reverse logistics research. These themes included technological integration, customer satisfaction, sustainability, operational costs, and regional variations. For instance, studies emphasizing the role of artificial intelligence in predicting return rates or blockchain in ensuring transparency were grouped under technological integration. Research exploring customer perceptions of return policies was categorized within customer satisfaction. Sustainability-related studies were analyzed in terms of their alignment with circular economy principles and their assessment of environmental impacts. Cost-related works were clustered to highlight economic implications, while comparative studies across geographies or industries were grouped to reveal contextual differences. This thematic organization not only facilitated structured synthesis but also enabled the identification of gaps where literature remained sparse or underdeveloped.

The methodology also ensured the inclusion of diverse study designs to enrich the narrative review. Case studies offered granular insights into the application of reverse logistics strategies within individual firms or specific industries, providing contextual depth. Quantitative research, such as surveys and econometric analyses, supplied statistical evidence of patterns and relationships, such as the correlation between return policies and consumer loyalty. Qualitative studies, often

employing interviews or focus groups, contributed nuanced perspectives on consumer behavior and managerial challenges. Review articles and meta-analyses provided valuable overviews of existing knowledge and methodological approaches, serving as benchmarks for evaluating the comprehensiveness of this review.

Throughout the review process, emphasis was placed on triangulation—cross-validating findings across different types of studies and sources. By integrating evidence from multiple methodologies and contexts, this narrative review sought to enhance validity and reliability. The diversity of sources also helped ensure that both academic theory and practical applications were represented, bridging the gap between scholarship and industry practice. Moreover, the inclusion of studies from various regions allowed for a more global perspective, acknowledging the heterogeneity of e-commerce infrastructures and consumer behaviors.

In summary, the methodology for this review was built on a multi-database, keyword-driven search strategy, structured around explicit inclusion and exclusion criteria, and executed through a rigorous screening and evaluation process. By combining databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar, IEEE Xplore, and PubMed with carefully chosen keywords, the review captured a comprehensive and multidisciplinary body of literature. The systematic screening and thematic analysis provided a robust foundation for synthesizing knowledge on reverse logistics in e-commerce, while the inclusion of diverse study types enriched the discussion. This methodological approach ensures that the subsequent analysis reflects both the complexity and the multidimensional nature of reverse logistics, offering a balanced and authoritative account of its trends and challenges in contemporary e-commerce contexts.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The results of this narrative review are organized thematically to capture the main findings from the literature on reverse logistics in e-commerce. The synthesis highlights global trends, economic factors, environmental and sustainability concerns, and social and customer-oriented dimensions. Each theme is discussed with reference to empirical evidence and conceptual contributions from prior research, with comparisons drawn between developed and developing economies to ensure a global perspective.

Global trends in reverse logistics reveal an increasing reliance on advanced technologies to manage the growing complexity of product returns in e-commerce. Artificial Intelligence (AI) has been applied to predict consumer return behaviors and optimize the allocation of returned goods, thereby reducing costs and improving efficiency (Jauhar et al., 2023; Sinha et al., 2022). Blockchain technology is gaining traction as a tool to enhance transparency and security in return transactions, ensuring tamper-proof records that strengthen stakeholder collaboration across supply chains (Naseem et al., 2023). Internet of Things (IoT) applications further expand visibility by providing real-time tracking of returned items, which enables retailers to respond more quickly to consumer demands (Jauhar et al., 2023). These technologies not only improve efficiency but also reduce operational risks, aligning reverse logistics with broader goals of digital transformation in supply chains.

A comparative perspective indicates significant differences between developed and developing countries in the management of product returns. In the United States and Europe, return rates in the fashion sector may reach up to 30%, with returns viewed as an integral component of a positive customer experience (Frei et al., 2020). By contrast, in developing regions such as Southeast Asia, return rates are typically lower, influenced by consumer behavior, cultural attitudes, and limited logistical infrastructure (Nel & Badenhorst, 2020; Naseem et al., 2021). In many developing contexts, companies struggle to implement efficient return policies, constrained by inadequate infrastructure and regulatory barriers (Davidavičienė & Majzoub, 2021). This highlights the role of systemic factors in shaping the efficiency and adoption of reverse logistics practices across regions.

Economic factors underscore the dual role of reverse logistics as both a cost burden and a potential value-creating mechanism. On one hand, product returns impose substantial financial strain, including transportation, warehousing, and processing costs that disrupt cash flow and profitability (Nel & Badenhorst, 2020). On the other hand, when managed effectively, reverse logistics can enhance profitability by reducing operational inefficiencies and improving customer retention (Gronphet & Onputtha, 2025; Jou et al., 2024). Studies report that firms adopting efficient reverse logistics practices can reduce return-related costs by up to 20%, with positive effects on customer loyalty and repeat sales (Tombido & Baihaqi, 2021; Kumar & Ganguly, 2022). Thus, reverse logistics emerges as a strategic function, requiring firms to balance cost control with long-term customer value.

Strategies to enhance cost efficiency in reverse logistics are increasingly documented in the literature. Outsourcing return operations to third-party logistics providers (3PLs) has been identified as a practical approach that allows firms to reduce overhead and focus on their core competencies (Wang et al., 2021). Additionally, data analytics and machine learning tools are being deployed to forecast demand more accurately and streamline return processes, which can shorten processing times and reduce costs (Sinha et al., 2022; Nagpal et al., 2021). Evidence suggests that these technologies not only improve operational efficiency but also enhance accuracy in demand fulfillment, thereby reducing instances of unnecessary returns (Jauhar et al., 2023; Nel & Badenhorst, 2020). The literature thus emphasizes the importance of combining technological solutions with outsourcing strategies to optimize the cost structure of reverse logistics.

The environmental dimension of reverse logistics is especially pronounced in the fashion e-commerce sector, where return rates can exceed 30%. Each return often entails additional transportation and packaging, contributing to increased carbon emissions and environmental degradation (Yang et al., 2017; Hjort et al., 2019). Returned items that cannot be resold frequently end up in landfills, further exacerbating waste management challenges (González-Romero et al., 2025). The use of non-recyclable packaging materials compounds these issues, adding to the volume of plastic waste and raising concerns about sustainability (Prayogo et al., 2024; Lai et al., 2022). Addressing these challenges requires a shift towards environmentally responsible practices in reverse logistics.

Scholars argue that sustainable practices such as adopting recyclable packaging and implementing recycling systems for unsold products can significantly mitigate environmental impacts (Frei et al., 2020). Companies that embed sustainability into their reverse logistics operations not only reduce their carbon footprint but also generate long-term economic benefits through resource

conservation and efficiency gains (Sharma et al., 2024; Prayogo et al., 2024). Empirical findings support the claim that sustainable reverse logistics can align corporate profitability with ecological responsibility, illustrating the potential for win-win outcomes. These practices reinforce the role of reverse logistics as a central element in advancing corporate sustainability agendas.

Circular economy principles further extend the potential of reverse logistics by redefining waste as a resource. In fashion e-commerce, practices such as remanufacturing, resale, and recycling offer viable strategies to extend product life cycles and minimize waste generation. Programs encouraging consumers to return used items for resale or refurbishment exemplify how firms can reduce production needs while tapping into secondary markets (Prayogo et al., 2024; Jauhar et al., 2023). Similarly, reusing packaging materials and minimizing excess packaging represent concrete ways to integrate circularity into return processes (Lai et al., 2022). The integration of circular economy models demonstrates that reverse logistics can evolve from a cost center into a value-creating activity, contributing to both sustainability and economic resilience (Sharma et al., 2024).

Social and customer-related factors underscore the importance of return policies and customer experience in shaping perceptions of reverse logistics quality. Research shows that flexible return policies increase customer satisfaction and encourage purchase behavior by lowering perceived risk (Minnema et al., 2016; Nel & Badenhorst, 2020). Transparent and hassle-free return processes also enhance brand reputation, fostering stronger customer loyalty (Gronphet & Onputtha, 2025; Li et al., 2014). In sectors such as fashion, where uncertainty regarding fit and size drives return behavior, effective policies serve as critical determinants of purchase decisions (Li et al., 2014; Minnema et al., 2016). This indicates that reverse logistics is not only an operational concern but also a key driver of consumer trust and brand competitiveness.

Customer experience in reverse logistics is shaped by multiple factors, including the speed of return processing, ease of procedures, and clarity of communication regarding return status (Gronphet & Onputtha, 2025; Liu et al., 2014). Positive experiences in these areas significantly enhance customer perceptions of reverse logistics quality and, by extension, loyalty to the brand (Prayogo et al., 2024). Convenience factors, such as offering multiple return options—through physical stores, pickup services, or automated lockers—further contribute to customer satisfaction (Wang & Zhang, 2020; Silva & Dias, 2020). Fast and transparent feedback mechanisms reinforce trust, reducing consumer anxiety over returns and increasing confidence in future purchases. Consequently, reverse logistics is increasingly recognized as an integral component of customer relationship management in digital commerce.

Taken together, the findings from the literature highlight the multifaceted nature of reverse logistics in e-commerce. Technological innovations, economic considerations, environmental sustainability, and customer-centric strategies converge to shape the evolving landscape of returns management. While developed economies exhibit more advanced practices, developing regions face structural challenges that limit their adoption of efficient reverse logistics systems. However, across contexts, the evidence suggests that firms capable of integrating technology, sustainability, and customer service into reverse logistics are better positioned to enhance profitability, reduce ecological impacts, and strengthen customer loyalty. These results underscore the need for continued scholarly and practical attention to reverse logistics as a dynamic and strategic domain of e-commerce supply chain management.

The findings of this narrative review highlight the multifaceted dynamics of reverse logistics in e-commerce, underscoring how systemic, economic, environmental, and social factors interact to shape outcomes in different contexts. The role of systemic factors is particularly salient, as government policies, regulations, and infrastructure either facilitate or hinder the development of efficient reverse logistics systems. Supportive policies, such as consumer protection laws and tax incentives for sustainable practices, create an enabling environment where firms are more likely to adopt and invest in advanced return management systems (Zennaro et al., 2022). In contrast, the absence of clear regulations or weak enforcement mechanisms can discourage firms from prioritizing reverse logistics, thereby compromising consumer confidence in online shopping (Nel & Badenhorst, 2020). Infrastructure gaps, particularly in transportation networks and warehousing capacity, present another layer of systemic constraint, especially in developing countries where logistical inefficiencies translate directly into higher costs and reduced customer satisfaction (Tombido & Baihaqi, 2021; Minnema et al., 2016). For example, while developed economies in North America and Europe benefit from well-established logistics infrastructures, firms in Southeast Asia often struggle with fragmented networks, leading to slower and less reliable return processes.

Beyond infrastructure and regulations, systemic integration of digital technologies remains uneven across regions. Developed economies have demonstrated greater readiness to integrate AI, blockchain, and IoT into reverse logistics processes, enabling real-time visibility, predictive analytics, and secure data flows (Jauhar et al., 2023; Naseem et al., 2023). In developing contexts, however, limited access to digital infrastructure and capital restricts the extent to which firms can experiment with or implement these technologies (Davidavičienė & Majzoub, 2021). This digital divide underscores the role of systemic conditions in shaping technological adoption, suggesting that without government-backed initiatives or financial incentives, firms in less advanced economies may remain locked out of the technological frontier. Such disparities exacerbate global inequalities in e-commerce competitiveness, as firms in advanced markets not only manage returns more efficiently but also leverage reverse logistics as a competitive differentiator.

The policy implications of these findings are substantial. To encourage sustainable reverse logistics, public strategies must explicitly integrate environmental and efficiency concerns into regulatory frameworks. For instance, mandating the use of recyclable or biodegradable packaging can reduce the ecological footprint of returns, while standardized return procedures can minimize inefficiencies and consumer confusion (Cárdenas et al., 2017). Governments can further support the transition toward sustainable reverse logistics by offering tax credits or subsidies to companies that invest in eco-friendly packaging, refurbishing systems, or closed-loop recycling initiatives (Comi et al., 2024). In addition, policies encouraging collaboration between public agencies and private firms can facilitate infrastructure improvements, such as shared return centers or digital platforms that consolidate return flows across multiple retailers. Such initiatives align with broader sustainability agendas and can significantly reduce the environmental costs of returns while improving customer experiences.

These policy interventions also need to account for contextual variations across countries and industries. In fashion e-commerce, where return rates are disproportionately high, targeted regulations promoting circular economy practices such as resale and refurbishment programs may be particularly impactful (Prayogo et al., 2024; González-Romero et al., 2025). In electronics, where

returns are less frequent but products have higher material value, policies could prioritize refurbishment and recycling schemes to capture value from returned items while mitigating e-waste (Lin et al., 2023). For developing economies, policies should focus on bridging infrastructure gaps and supporting digital capacity building, ensuring that local firms can compete on more equitable terms with counterparts in advanced economies. In this sense, systemic interventions must be both sector-specific and regionally tailored to address the heterogeneous challenges of reverse logistics.

The review also identifies open research areas that warrant further investigation. One promising area concerns the application of emerging technologies such as blockchain and AI in reducing fraud and enhancing transparency in return processes. Fraudulent returns remain a costly challenge, with practices such as wardrobing and counterfeit returns eroding firm profitability (Shih et al., 2021). Blockchain holds potential to mitigate these issues by creating immutable transaction records, while AI-based algorithms can detect anomalies in return patterns that may indicate fraudulent activity (Naseem et al., 2023). Yet empirical evidence on the effectiveness of these technologies in e-commerce reverse logistics remains scarce, highlighting the need for longitudinal studies and pilot projects to assess their impact in real-world settings.

Another area requiring attention is the interaction between government policies and the adoption of reverse logistics practices across economies at different stages of development. While the literature emphasizes the enabling role of policy frameworks in developed economies, less is known about how similar interventions function in resource-constrained environments. Comparative studies that evaluate the effectiveness of financial incentives, regulatory mandates, and public-private partnerships in driving sustainable reverse logistics would provide valuable insights for policymakers and practitioners alike (Gronphet & Onputtha, 2025). Such research could also illuminate unintended consequences, such as whether stringent regulations inadvertently discourage smaller firms from entering or competing in e-commerce markets.

Consumer-related dimensions of reverse logistics also remain underexplored. Although existing research has established a link between flexible return policies and consumer loyalty (Minnema et al., 2016; Li et al., 2014), more nuanced analysis is needed to understand how different customer segments perceive and respond to return policies. For example, younger consumers may value speed and convenience in returns, while environmentally conscious consumers may prioritize sustainable packaging and recycling options (Prayogo et al., 2024). Understanding these variations is critical for firms seeking to design return policies that align with both consumer expectations and sustainability goals. Additionally, further research into consumer perceptions of circular economy practices—such as resale of returned items—could clarify whether such strategies enhance or diminish brand reputation.

A further limitation of the current literature lies in the predominance of studies from developed economies, particularly the United States and Europe. While these regions provide important benchmarks, the lack of comprehensive research from developing economies limits the generalizability of findings. As e-commerce expands rapidly in Asia, Africa, and Latin America, there is a pressing need for region-specific studies that account for infrastructural limitations, cultural factors, and regulatory landscapes unique to these contexts (Nel & Badenhorst, 2020). Without such research, the global discourse on reverse logistics risks being skewed toward

advanced economies, overlooking the challenges and innovations emerging in less developed markets.

The methodological diversity of existing studies also presents limitations. While case studies and cross-sectional surveys provide valuable insights, there is a lack of longitudinal research that tracks the evolution of reverse logistics practices over time. Such studies would be particularly useful in assessing the long-term impact of policy interventions, technological adoption, and shifts in consumer behavior on reverse logistics efficiency and sustainability. Similarly, the integration of interdisciplinary approaches—drawing from supply chain management, environmental science, behavioral economics, and information systems—remains limited. A more holistic research agenda could illuminate the complex interdependencies that shape reverse logistics outcomes, offering richer insights for academics and practitioners.

Taken together, these findings underscore the importance of viewing reverse logistics not simply as an operational issue but as a systemic, multi-dimensional phenomenon shaped by technology, policy, infrastructure, and consumer behavior. Addressing the challenges identified in the literature requires coordinated action from multiple stakeholders, including governments, firms, and consumers. Moreover, advancing scholarship in this area demands methodological innovation and contextual sensitivity to capture the complexity of reverse logistics in diverse e-commerce ecosystems.

CONCLUSION

This narrative review has examined the evolving landscape of reverse logistics in e-commerce, synthesizing insights from global trends, economic implications, environmental sustainability, and customer-related factors. The findings demonstrate that reverse logistics has become a strategic imperative, with technology adoption, such as artificial intelligence, blockchain, and the Internet of Things, offering transformative solutions to enhance transparency, efficiency, and fraud prevention. Economically, while product returns generate substantial costs, efficient return management strategies—particularly outsourcing and data-driven optimization—can reduce expenses and increase profitability by strengthening customer loyalty and retention. Environmentally, the review underscores the urgent need to address the ecological footprint of high return rates, especially in the fashion sector, through sustainable packaging, recycling, and the integration of circular economy principles. Socially, flexible return policies and streamlined customer experiences remain decisive in shaping brand trust and consumer behavior.

Despite advances, systemic barriers such as regulatory inconsistencies, infrastructural limitations, and uneven digital adoption persist, particularly in developing economies. This calls for targeted policy interventions, including standardized return frameworks, financial incentives for sustainable practices, and cross-sector collaborations to build resilient infrastructures. Future research should focus on evaluating the long-term impacts of emerging technologies on return processes, examining the role of government policies across diverse economies, and exploring consumer perceptions of sustainability-driven return initiatives. Addressing these gaps is essential to designing reverse logistics systems that balance profitability, sustainability, and customer satisfaction. Ultimately, integrating technology, sustainability, and customer-centric strategies

emerges as the most viable pathway to overcoming current challenges and ensuring that reverse logistics contributes to both corporate resilience and environmental responsibility.

REFERENCE

Abdullahi, M., Mohamud, I., & Mohamud, F. (2024). Mapping the research landscape of reverse logistics in e-commerce: a bibliometric analysis from 2003 to 2023. *Journal Européen Des Systèmes Automatisés, 57*(3), 671-679. https://doi.org/10.18280/jesa.570304

Comi, A., Polimeni, A., Belcore, O., Cartisano, A., Micari, S., & Napoli, G. (2024). Assessing the opportunity offered by electric vehicles in performing service trips to end consumers. *Applied Sciences, 14*(10), 4061. https://doi.org/10.3390/app14104061

Davidavičienė, V. and Majzoub, M. (2021). Performance of reverse logistics in electronic commerce: a case study from Lebanon and Syria. *Transport, 36*(3), 260-282. https://doi.org/10.3846/transport.2021.14956

Frei, R., Jack, L., & Brown, S. (2020). Product returns: a growing problem for business, society and environment. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 40*(10), 1613-1621. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijopm-02-2020-0083

González-Romero, I., Sellán, J., & Prado, J. (2025). Trazando caminos sostenibles en el comercio electrónico: un estudio exploratorio sobre soluciones innovadoras. *Dirección Y Organización, (85)*, 57-66. https://doi.org/10.37610/85.690

Gronphet, S. and Onputtha, S. (2025). The influence of e-commerce seller reverse logistics quality on customer loyalty: the mediating role of customer experience and perceived value. *Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology, 9*(3), 511-526. https://doi.org/10.55214/25768484.v9i3.5251

Hafiani, M. and Abbadi, L. (2023). Electronic commerce: overview of risk disturbing. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce Studies, 14*(2), 27. https://doi.org/10.7903/ijecs.2181

Hjort, K., Hellström, D., Karlsson, S., & Oghazi, P. (2019). Typology of practices for managing consumer returns in internet retailing. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, 49*(7), 767-790. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijpdlm-12-2017-0368

Jauhar, S., Chakma, B., Kamble, S., & Belhadi, A. (2023). Digital transformation technologies to analyze product returns in the e-commerce industry. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management, 37*(2), 456-487. https://doi.org/10.1108/jeim-09-2022-0315

Jou, Y., Lo, C., Mariñas, K., Saflor, C., Gutierrez, C., Sanchez, C., … & Bucal, M. (2024). Assessing the e-commerce sustainability readiness: a green logistics study on online sellers. *Sustainability, 16*(7), 2954. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16072954

Karl, D. (2024). Forecasting e-commerce consumer returns: a systematic literature review. *Management Review Quarterly*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11301-024-00436-x

Kumar, C. and Ganguly, A. (2022). Crowdsourced product returns in c2b e-commerce: a post-pandemic no-contact consumer incentive-based model. *Journal of Global Operations and Strategic Sourcing, 16*(2), 311-336. https://doi.org/10.1108/jgoss-03-2022-0020

Lai, N., Kuah, A., Kim, C., & Wong, K. (2022). Toward sustainable express deliveries for online shopping: reusing packaging materials through reverse logistics. *Thunderbird International Business Review, 64*(4), 351-362. https://doi.org/10.1002/tie.22259

Li, Y., Lu, M., & Liu, B. (2014). A two-stage algorithm for the closed-loop location-inventory problem model considering returns in e-commerce. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering, 2014*(1). https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/260869

Lin, H., Wu, S., Zhang, S., & Liu, W. (2023). Design of reverse network for recyclable packaging boxes under uncertainties. *Sustainability, 15*(15), 11781. https://doi.org/10.3390/su151511781

Liu, J., Li, Q., & Wu, H. (2014). Optimization model and algorithm for responsive closed-loop supply chain logistics network with direct shipment under e-commerce. *Advanced Materials Research, 989-994,* 2519-2522. https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/amr.989-994.2519

Minnema, A., Bijmolt, T., Gensler, S., & Wiesel, T. (2016). To keep or not to keep: effects of online customer reviews on product returns. *Journal of Retailing, 92*(3), 253-267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2016.03.001

Nagpal, G., Bishnoi, G., Dhami, H., & Vijayvargia, A. (2021). Use of data analytics to increase the efficiency of last mile logistics for ecommerce deliveries., 167-180. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-3053-5.ch009

Naseem, M., Yang, J., & Xiang, Z. (2021). Prioritizing the solutions to reverse logistics barriers for the e-commerce industry in Pakistan based on a fuzzy ahp-topsis approach. *Sustainability, 13*(22), 12743. https://doi.org/10.3390/su132212743

Naseem, M., Yang, J., Zhang, T., & Alam, W. (2023). Utilizing fuzzy ahp in the evaluation of barriers to blockchain implementation in reverse logistics. *Sustainability, 15*(10), 7961. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15107961

Nel, J. and Badenhorst, A. (2020). A conceptual framework for reverse logistics challenges in e-commerce. *International Journal of Business Performance Management, 21*(1/2), 114. https://doi.org/10.1504/ijbpm.2020.106119

Prayogo, D., Domański, R., & Golińska-Dawson, P. (2024). The key factors for improving returns management in e-commerce in Indonesia from customers' perspectives—an analytic hierarchy process approach. *Sustainability, 16*(17), 7303. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16177303

Russo, I. and Marsogo, N. (2019). Searching for the right operations strategy to manage the repair process across the reverse supply chain. *Sinergie Italian Journal of Management, 37*(2), 17-33. https://doi.org/10.7433/s109.2019.02

Sharma, N., Saraswat, C., Sharma, J., Mittal, M., & Keprate, A. (2024). Multi-objective optimization for economic and environmental sustainability in apparel e-commerce reverse logistics. *International Journal of Mathematical Engineering and Management Sciences, 9*(1), 111-128. https://doi.org/10.33889/ijmems.2024.9.1.006

Shih, D., Huang, F., Chieh, C., Shih, M., & Wu, T. (2021). Preventing return fraud in reverse logistics—a case study of espres solution by ethereum. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research, 16*(6), 2170-2191. https://doi.org/10.3390/jtaer16060121

Silva, C. and Dias, O. (2020). Segmentação de mercados e diferenciação de ofertas de logística reversa. *Revista Brasileira De Marketing, 19*(4), 862-887. https://doi.org/10.5585/remark.v19i4.16392

Singh, N. and Asthana, S. (2020). Returns management., 271-286. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-2867-9.ch012

Sinha, A., John, S., & Sridharan, R. (2022). A review on the use of artificial intelligence in reverse logistics., 2954-2969. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-9220-5.ch176

Tombido, L. and Baihaqi, I. (2021). Dual and multi-channel closed-loop supply chains: a state of the art review. *Journal of Remanufacturing, 12*(1), 89-123. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13243-021-00103-4

Wang, C., Dang, T., & Nguyen, N. (2021). Outsourcing reverse logistics for e-commerce retailers: a two-stage fuzzy optimization approach. *Axioms, 10*(1), 34. https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms10010034

Wang, Y. and Zhang, G. (2020). To study game coordination mechanism for closed-loop supply chain in rural e-commerce. *Journal of Physics Conference Series, 1437*(1), 012106. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1437/1/012106

Yang, S., Song, Y., & Tong, S. (2017). Sustainable retailing in the fashion industry: a systematic literature review. *Sustainability, 9*(7), 1266. https://doi.org/10.3390/su9071266

Zennaro, I., Finco, S., Calzavara, M., & Persona, A. (2022). Implementing e-commerce from logistic perspective: literature review and methodological framework. *Sustainability, 14*(2), 911. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14020911