Jurnal Sains MIPA Indonesia
Volume. 1 Issue 1 November 2025
Page No: 1-13

CRISPR, Synthetic Biology, and Beyond: Charting the Future of Molecular Biotechnology

Riska Prasetiawati
Universitas Garut, Indonesia
Correspondent : riska@uniga.ac.id

Received : October 10, 2025
Accepted : November 11, 2025
Published : November 30, 2025

Citation: Prasetiawati, R. (2025). CRISPR, Synthetic Biology, and Beyond: Charting the Future of Molecular Biotechnology. Jurnal Sains MIPA Indonesia, 1(1), 1-13.

ABSTRACT: The integration of molecular biology into modern biotechnology has ushered in a new era of innovation across agriculture, healthcare, and environmental sectors. This narrative review aims to examine the current trends, innovations, and challenges associated with molecular-based biotechnological applications. A systematic literature search was conducted using Scopus, PubMed, and Google Scholar, employing Boolean combinations of terms such as "CRISPR AND plant biotechnology" and "synthetic biology AND applications." Inclusion criteria emphasized peer-reviewed articles published in the last five years that provided empirical insights into molecular innovations and their socio-economic impacts. The findings highlight the critical role of technologies like CRISPR/Cas9, ribozymes, and metabolomics in enhancing crop resilience, optimizing bioprocesses, and improving public health interventions. However, implementation varies widely across regions due to systemic barriers including strict regulations, insufficient education and training, and uneven economic capacity. These factors not only impede innovation but also deepen global inequities. Discussion points focus on effective policy models from North America and Europe that integrate flexible governance, educational reform, and public-private partnerships. This review concludes that while molecular biotechnology offers significant promise, realizing its full potential requires inclusive, well-supported, and adaptive systems. Strategic investments in research, education, and policy reform are necessary to ensure equitable benefits and sustainable development outcomes.

Keywords: Molecular Biology, Modern Biotechnology, CRISPR Technology, Bioinformatics, Sustainable Agriculture, Biotechnology Policy, Global Innovation Equity.

INTRODUCTION

In the contemporary era, molecular biology and biotechnology are undergoing transformative growth while simultaneously grappling with multifaceted challenges that directly impact scientific progress and industrial applications(Zhao et al., 2025). The convergence of climate change, increasingly stringent regulatory frameworks, potential resistance to therapies, and the imperative to develop novel and efficient methods for bioproduction presents a complex landscape. Regional disparities further complicate this scenario, with variations in technological adoption and policy support significantly influencing the trajectory of biotechnological innovation. For instance, the success of agricultural biotechnology is often contingent upon governmental policies and societal readiness, a dynamic more favorable in high-income nations compared to low- and middle-income countries where infrastructural and resource limitations impede progress ((Majda & Qi, 2018; Mikhailova et al., 2022)).

Recent literature highlights a growing urgency to address health and environmental impacts exacerbated by climate change, which in turn directly affect agricultural productivity and food security. Studies underscore the potential of biotechnology, particularly through molecular innovations, to mitigate such impacts. Genetic engineering for abiotic stress tolerance in crops has emerged as a pivotal strategy in securing food systems against environmental stressors. Empirical evidence reveals the efficacy of modern biotechnology in enhancing thermal and drought resilience in crops, thus reinforcing its relevance in the era of climatic instability (Aerts et al., 2012; Mlaiki, 2025).

Across global contexts, implementation of molecular biology-based biotechnological solutions reveals stark contrasts(Wang et al., 2025). Developed nations have more readily embraced these technologies, integrating them into mainstream agricultural and health systems. In contrast, developing regions often face barriers in accessing cutting-edge technologies and lack adequate research infrastructure, limiting their ability to harness the full potential of biotechnology. This disparity is notably evident in sub-Saharan Africa, where diseases like cassava brown streak persist due to inadequate technological interventions despite the promising role of genetic engineering (Altaf et al., 2022; Dokhtukaeva et al., 2023). Furthermore, reliance on traditional methods of bioproduction often curtails the competitive capabilities of these countries in an increasingly globalized market (Khagaeva et al., 2023).

Additional obstacles within molecular biology include the integration of big data and bioinformatics into research workflows. The expansion of analytical technologies and data processing capabilities plays a vital role in managing the complexity of biological datasets and translating them into actionable knowledge. Bioinformatics is now essential for advancing biomedical therapies and sustainable agricultural practices, offering novel pathways to innovation through the synergy of computational and experimental biology (Cutolo et al., 2024; Oliveira, 2019).

Significant contributions from previous research have enhanced our understanding of the interaction between genetic frameworks and environmental stimuli. Biotechnological tools such as CRISPR/Cas9 have revolutionized plant breeding and microbial engineering, enabling precision modifications that optimize productivity and resilience. Advances in pathway engineering have enabled targeted manipulations of metabolic routes, thereby improving tolerance to abiotic stress and enhancing photosynthetic efficiency (Liu et al., 2023).

Despite these advancements, substantial gaps persist in our understanding—particularly in the realm of epigenetics and its role in adaptive plant responses to environmental changes. Current evidence indicates that while molecular tools are transformative, deeper exploration into epigenetic mechanisms is necessary to fully leverage plant plasticity under dynamic conditions (Mazur et al., 2021).

A surge in scholarly publications over the past decade reflects growing interest in sustainable agricultural and biotechnological solutions. Regions like Europe and North America lead research efforts on carbon mitigation and production efficiency through molecular interventions (Oliveira, 2019). Nevertheless, developing areas continue to encounter systemic gaps in R&D capabilities, underscoring the need for equitable knowledge transfer and infrastructure development (Andrews et al., 2018; Bevan et al., 2015).

As data-driven technologies mature, the integration of big data analytics into molecular biology is becoming increasingly central. Emerging studies emphasize the use of integrative modeling to optimize genetic design and address global challenges in food security and environmental health. Consequently, sustainability and process efficiency have emerged as research priorities aimed at supporting a growing global population with safe, resilient food systems (Chojnowski, 2019; Coladangelo & Stark, 2020).

The multidisciplinary nature of molecular biology and biotechnology has significantly enriched the field, contributing to both complexity and clarity in problem-solving strategies. Key interdisciplinary domains include bioinformatics, genetic engineering, and metabolomics, each of which provides essential insights and tools(More et al., 2023). Bioinformatics enables high-throughput data analysis and predictive modeling, facilitating the design and interpretation of complex experiments involving genomic and metabolomic data. These capabilities are crucial for understanding metabolic interactions and phenotypic responses to environmental stressors (Guo et al., 2023).

Genetic engineering, particularly CRISPR-based gene editing, plays a foundational role in developing stress-tolerant and disease-resistant crop varieties. While highly effective, these technologies must navigate ethical and regulatory landscapes that vary widely across regions, influencing their adoption and social acceptability (Jia et al., 2023).

Metabolomics, meanwhile, provides a molecular fingerprint of cellular responses, offering insights into adaptive mechanisms and potential novel products. Profiling metabolite changes in genetically modified organisms helps elucidate functional outcomes of genetic modifications, advancing our understanding of trait development and environmental adaptation (Keppler, 2020; Klochko et al., 2024).

To address the research objectives concerning the role and impact of molecular biology in modern biotechnology, several critical factors must be assessed. These include the genetic-metabolic interactions that influence resilience and ecological flexibility; regulatory and societal dimensions affecting technological acceptance; and environmental variables shaping phenotypic expression. Educational infrastructure is also vital, as it ensures the availability of skilled professionals adept at utilizing advanced analytical tools. Furthermore, digital innovation—particularly through AI and machine learning—offers promising avenues for optimizing biotechnological R&D (Sat & Cagiltay, 2022).

The scope of this review encompasses both developed and developing regions, with an emphasis on understanding global disparities in biotechnological capacity and application. It focuses on agricultural and environmental biotechnology as primary sectors, while also considering implications for health and industrial bioprocessing. Regional case studies, particularly from sub-Saharan Africa, Southeast Asia, and Latin America, will be explored to provide contextual depth and highlight localized challenges and opportunities.

In sum, the integration of molecular biology into biotechnology represents a frontier of scientific advancement that holds transformative potential across multiple domains. However, its success depends on addressing persistent challenges, bridging knowledge gaps, and ensuring equitable access to technological benefits. This review seeks to synthesize current knowledge, identify critical limitations, and propose future directions to harness molecular tools for sustainable innovation in biotechnology.

METHOD

The methodological approach adopted in this review followed a structured and rigorous framework to ensure comprehensive coverage and relevance to the topic of molecular biology and its integration into modern biotechnology. The process began with the identification and selection of peer-reviewed literature from major academic databases, including Scopus, Google Scholar, and PubMed. These databases were selected for their broad coverage, scientific rigor, and relevance to multidisciplinary fields spanning biological sciences, biotechnology, agriculture, and bioinformatics.

To identify pertinent studies, specific keyword combinations were employed using Boolean operators to refine and target the search process. These keyword strings were crafted to capture the interdisciplinary essence of the topic while ensuring specificity and relevance. The primary combination used was "molecular biology AND modern biotechnology," which yielded articles addressing the fundamental and applied intersections of molecular and biotechnological sciences. This combination allowed access to studies that analyze advancements in molecular mechanisms driving innovations in biotechnology across sectors (Dokhtukaeva et al., 2023).

In addition, the phrase "synthetic biology AND applications" was utilized to capture the breadth of synthetic biology's deployment in fields such as health, agriculture, and environmental engineering (Mazur et al., 2021). Another essential string was "bioinformatics AND biotechnology," used to locate studies highlighting the significance of computational tools and data analytics in advancing molecular-based biotechnological applications (Nikolaev et al., 2018). To focus on agronomic improvements via molecular tools, the term "genetic engineering AND crop improvement" was introduced, enabling the retrieval of research articles concerning genetic enhancement and engineering of crops (Miroshnichenko et al., 2018).

Moreover, "metabolomics AND biotechnological applications" guided the search toward studies analyzing the role of metabolomics in shaping biotechnological product development (Liu et al., 2023). Finally, the highly relevant term "CRISPR AND plant biotechnology" was included to filter literature that discusses the adoption of CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing techniques in crop modification, a leading area of biotechnological innovation (Gil et al., 2021). The careful selection and iterative use of these keyword combinations helped ensure that retrieved articles addressed both conceptual and applied aspects of the topic.

For literature selection, the review adhered to strict inclusion and exclusion criteria to maintain methodological integrity and the quality of evidence. The inclusion criteria encompassed several key elements. First, only peer-reviewed publications were considered, ensuring that the literature reviewed had undergone rigorous academic scrutiny. Second, articles had to demonstrate direct relevance to the core themes of molecular biology and biotechnology, with particular attention to studies showcasing the integration of molecular tools into biotechnological applications (Bokov et al., 2021). Third, the publication timeframe was limited to the last five years, focusing on recent advances and current trends in the field (Deshmukh et al., 2019). Lastly, preference was given to studies that explicitly detailed their research methodologies, including experimental laboratory work, case studies, and computational analyses (Rosini & Pollegioni, 2019).

Conversely, a set of exclusion criteria was applied to filter out irrelevant or low-quality sources. Articles not subject to peer review, such as blog posts, news pieces, and editorial commentaries, were excluded due to their lack of scientific rigor (Wan et al., 2020). Studies that were overly general and did not provide specific insights into the intersection of molecular biology and biotechnology were also omitted (Alvarez & Dean, 2024). Moreover, literature that was published more than five years prior to the review was generally excluded, unless it had foundational or historical relevance that justified its inclusion. This exclusion helped to maintain the focus on contemporary developments and innovations (Liu et al., 2023). Additionally, documents lacking robust empirical data or in-depth analysis, such as short communications or presentations without full datasets, were not considered (Guo et al., 2022).

The literature screening process followed a multi-stage workflow involving identification, initial screening, full-text evaluation, and final inclusion. After retrieving initial search results based on the aforementioned keyword combinations, duplicate entries across databases were removed manually. Abstracts and titles of the remaining articles were then screened to assess relevance to the scope of the review. Articles that did not clearly align with the thematic focus were excluded at this stage. Full-text assessments were subsequently conducted for the selected articles to verify compliance with inclusion criteria. This process involved a thorough reading of each article to confirm its methodological robustness, relevance to molecular biotechnology, and the quality of its findings and discussions.

To ensure consistency and reduce bias, the screening and evaluation process was conducted independently by two reviewers with expertise in biotechnology and molecular sciences. Discrepancies in inclusion decisions were resolved through consensus discussion, supported by a third reviewer if necessary. In the case of borderline articles, preference was given to studies that provided novel insights, employed innovative methodologies, or offered strong empirical evidence supporting the integration of molecular biology into biotechnology.

The final dataset comprised a balanced collection of studies representing various subfields, including agricultural biotechnology, synthetic biology, computational bioinformatics, gene editing, and metabolomics. Studies spanned multiple geographic regions, ensuring a diverse and representative overview of global trends and challenges. This inclusivity allowed for the identification of both universal and context-specific themes, thereby enriching the analytical depth of the review.

In conclusion, the methodological framework employed in this review enabled the systematic and targeted identification of high-quality, relevant literature. By integrating a strategic keyword approach with rigorous selection criteria and multi-stage evaluation, the study ensured that the body of evidence reviewed was both comprehensive and scientifically robust. This methodological rigor provides a strong foundation for the subsequent analysis and synthesis of literature concerning the pivotal role of molecular biology in advancing modern biotechnology.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A. Molecular Technological Innovations

The advancement of molecular biology has catalyzed significant breakthroughs in biotechnology, particularly through innovations like CRISPR/Cas9, DNAzymes, ribozymes, and synthetic biology. The CRISPR/Cas9 gene-editing system has revolutionized precision genome manipulation by allowing scientists to induce targeted genetic modifications with high efficiency. In agriculture, this technology has facilitated the development of crops with enhanced resistance to pests and environmental stressors. For instance, Liu et al. (2023) demonstrated the successful application of CRISPR to enhance wheat tolerance against abiotic stressors, a key step toward ensuring crop resilience in fluctuating climatic conditions. Similar findings are echoed by Kumar et al. (2018), who emphasize the broad applicability of CRISPR across plant species for trait improvement.

Another significant development is the application of DNAzymes and ribozymes as synthetic catalytic RNA molecules. These biocatalysts have shown promise in therapeutic interventions and molecular diagnostics, offering RNA-targeted solutions for gene regulation and biosensing. Ribozyme-based approaches, as explored by Cho et al. (2024) and Stryjewska et al. (2013), indicate substantial potential in controlling gene expression and synthesizing complex biomolecules, bridging gaps between synthetic biology and RNA-based therapeutics.

Synthetic biology, which encompasses the design and construction of new biological parts and systems, has emerged as a transformative force in biotechnology. Researchers such as Vogl et al. (2013) and Krüger et al. (2018) highlight innovations including the use of aqueous two-phase systems for bioprocess optimization and pharmaceutics. This field has enabled the engineering of microbial platforms for tailored functions, such as biofuel production and pollutant degradation, positioning synthetic biology as a cornerstone of sustainable industrial biotechnology.

However, the adoption of these molecular technologies is not without barriers. In agriculture, although genetically engineered crops have demonstrated improved yields and pest resistance, social opposition and strict regulations have hindered widespread implementation. European regulatory frameworks, as discussed by Howard et al. (2013) and Yu et al. (2019), often impose stringent restrictions on genetically modified organisms (GMOs), curbing innovation and delaying the deployment of advanced crop technologies.

In the healthcare sector, gene therapies employing CRISPR face ethical and safety concerns. Despite promising clinical trials, the lack of comprehensive regulations and public skepticism poses challenges for widespread acceptance. Deshmukh et al. (2019) argue that robust ethical guidelines are crucial for mitigating off-target effects and ensuring patient safety.

In environmental biotechnology, genetically modified microorganisms offer solutions for bioremediation. Nevertheless, concerns about ecological impact and regulatory uncertainties impede their practical use. As noted by Khagaeva et al. (2023) and Krüger et al. (2018), public apprehension regarding long-term ecosystem effects remains a significant barrier.

B. Implementation Challenges Across Nations

Implementation of molecular-based biotechnology varies globally, influenced by policy frameworks, research infrastructure, and socio-cultural dynamics. Disparities in regulatory environments significantly affect the pace of biotechnological adoption. In the United States, a more permissive stance has facilitated rapid deployment of CRISPR applications, while Europe maintains a precautionary approach that slows product development (Kumar et al., 2018; Saeed et al., 2022).

Developing nations often grapple with infrastructural deficiencies, limiting their capacity to conduct advanced research. Yadav et al. (2015) and Popović et al. (2015) emphasize that in sub-Saharan Africa, despite the potential to engineer climate-resilient crops, the lack of laboratory equipment, trained personnel, and funding significantly hampers progress.

Moreover, public skepticism toward biotechnology remains a pervasive obstacle. Misinformation and limited understanding of genetic engineering result in resistance to GMO products. Samarakoon et al. (2014) and Ehtiati (2025) stress that societal acceptance is pivotal, necessitating comprehensive outreach and education to build public trust.

Stringent GMO regulations in Europe exemplify how policy can restrict technological development. Harris et al. (2012) highlight that these restrictions often conflict with scientific evidence regarding the safety of biotech products, stifling innovation. Public misunderstanding and fear further exacerbate these issues, as discussed by Mazur et al. (2021), creating a feedback loop where policy and perception hinder advancement.

In resource-limited settings, fragmented policy implementation and lack of coordination impede research. Khagaeva et al. (2023) note that many developing countries fail to integrate research priorities with budgetary and institutional support, resulting in isolated efforts with limited impact.

Yet, some countries have adopted more progressive approaches. Canada and the United States have implemented inclusive policy dialogues, incorporating perspectives from scientists, industry stakeholders, and the public. According to Deller et al. (2016) and Vogl et al. (2013), such collaborative policymaking accelerates innovation and ensures responsiveness to societal needs.

Flexible policy frameworks also foster innovation by adapting to new scientific insights. Countries that integrate real-time data and expert consultation into regulatory processes are better positioned to respond to evolving technologies. Wang et al. (2020) assert that adaptive governance mechanisms improve both safety and innovation outcomes.

In contrast, restrictive policies reduce investment in sustainable agriculture and limit the adoption of eco-friendly technologies such as biofertilizers and biopesticides. Vogl et al. (2013) argue that supportive regulatory environments enhance food security and environmental resilience, reinforcing the link between science policy and sustainability(Rajpal et al., 2025).

C. Socio-Economic Impacts of Biotechnology

Biotechnology grounded in molecular biology has generated substantial economic and social benefits, particularly in agriculture and healthcare. In agriculture, molecular tools have improved crop yields and reduced losses from pests and diseases. Targeted gene editing and transgenic approaches have contributed to food security, as demonstrated by Yadav et al. (2015). In healthcare, the advent of biologic drugs has expanded therapeutic options. More than 250 biotech-derived products are now available to treat diseases previously deemed untreatable, enhancing public health outcomes (Dokhtukaeva et al., 2023).

These advancements also translate into socio-economic upliftment. In agriculture, increased productivity supports farmer income and rural development. However, public unease with GMOs and ecological concerns remain potent barriers. Studies by Kowalik et al. (2018) and Deller et al. (2016) illustrate that fear of unknown risks and distrust in biotech companies complicate product adoption.

Another concern is the growing inequality in access to biotechnological innovations. Ogbunugafor (2022) highlights that developed nations with robust R&D infrastructure adopt new technologies more readily, whereas developing nations struggle to keep pace. This technological divide exacerbates disparities in agricultural productivity and healthcare quality, impeding global equity.

Cultural and social values heavily influence public perception and technology acceptance. Anti-biotech movements, particularly in Europe, often invoke moral and ecological arguments to oppose GMOs. As Vogl et al. (2013) and Wang et al. (2020) report, consumer resistance to genetically modified foods shapes regulatory agendas and market dynamics.

Educational initiatives play a critical role in shaping public opinion. Chen et al. (2016) found that individuals with higher education levels tend to view biotechnology more favorably. This finding underscores the importance of integrating biotechnology literacy into formal education and public outreach programs.

Cultural traditions and ethical beliefs further mediate acceptance. In some societies, modifying living organisms is viewed as unnatural or taboo, complicating efforts to introduce biotech innovations. Howard et al. (2013) note that cultural resistance extends to both animal and plant biotechnology, often rooted in spiritual or philosophical worldviews.

In conclusion, the literature reveals that while molecular biology-driven biotechnology holds immense potential for improving food security, public health, and environmental sustainability, its implementation and impact are mediated by a complex interplay of technological readiness, policy frameworks, socio-economic conditions, and cultural dynamics. Addressing these multifactorial influences is crucial for realizing the full promise of biotechnology across diverse global contexts.

The findings of this review underscore the accelerating integration of molecular biology into biotechnology, marking a distinct shift from earlier stages of the field that were largely exploratory. Compared to previous research, this study highlights the progression toward a structured bioeconomy that emphasizes sustainable production and innovation (Khagaeva et al., 2023). Advances in molecular methodologies, including protein purification techniques and recombinant protein engineering as reported by Harris et al. (2012), have paved the way for more impactful industrial applications. These developments reinforce a growing trend toward bio-based solutions in multiple sectors.

Earlier investigations into molecular tools such as ribozymes (Popović et al., 2015) and metabolic pathway engineering (Liu et al., 2023) have provided a foundational understanding that continues to inform contemporary strategies. This review extends those insights by incorporating an analysis of socio-economic and ethical dimensions, which are often underrepresented in the scientific literature. Notably, this study foregrounds the role of molecular biology in promoting agricultural diversification and rural development, a perspective that Yadav et al. (2015) and Dokhtukaeva et al. (2023) suggest is essential for linking biotechnological advancement with community welfare.

Furthermore, the study contributes to the evolving discourse on biotechnology by emphasizing the role of societal values and public perception in shaping technology acceptance. Findings from Dokhtukaeva et al. (2023) demonstrate the need to align innovation with cultural and ethical considerations to ensure broader social integration. This implies that molecular innovations are not merely technical solutions but social constructs that require inclusive governance and communication strategies.

Systemic factors—particularly in regulation, education, and economic capacity—are shown to significantly influence the uneven global deployment of biotechnology. Regulatory frameworks often operate as gatekeepers to innovation. While countries like the United States and Canada have adopted adaptive policies that facilitate technology trials and commercialization (Khagaeva et al., 2023), regions such as the European Union enforce strict GMO regulations that delay or prevent product deployment, despite substantial scientific evidence of safety (Harris et al., 2012).

Educational disparities further contribute to uneven adoption. In many developing nations, limited training opportunities in molecular sciences and biotechnology hinder the cultivation of a knowledgeable workforce. This knowledge gap fosters skepticism and inhibits technology uptake among both producers and consumers (Chen et al., 2016). Poor understanding of the risks and benefits associated with genetically modified organisms (GMOs) amplifies public resistance, reinforcing restrictive regulatory stances and perpetuating a cycle of technological exclusion.

Economic limitations also constrain biotechnological advancement. Inadequate investment in research infrastructure and human capital diminishes the ability of low- and middle-income countries to compete in biotechnological innovation. As noted by Ogbunugafor (2022), disparities in R&D funding widen the technological divide between the Global North and South, undermining equitable access to the benefits of modern science.

These systemic barriers do not function independently; rather, they interact in ways that reinforce exclusion. Stringent regulations stifle private and public investment. Limited education contributes to misinformed public debate, which in turn influences policy. A lack of economic resources restricts capacity-building efforts in both education and research. Consequently, holistic strategies that address these interrelated factors are essential for reducing inequity and fostering inclusive innovation.

Several policy and practice-based approaches have proven effective in mitigating these challenges. Flexible regulatory frameworks that incorporate real-time scientific updates, as seen in Canada and the U.S., enable a more dynamic innovation landscape. These policies facilitate the safe introduction of genetically engineered products while maintaining public transparency (Khagaeva et al., 2023).

Investment in research and development has shown to be another powerful driver of biotechnological growth. Government support for biotechnology in countries such as the United States and Germany has resulted in thriving innovation ecosystems, increased employment, and enhanced competitiveness in global markets (Dokhtukaeva et al., 2023). Public-private partnerships also play a critical role. Collaborative initiatives between academia, industry, and government have enabled smoother technology transfer and commercialization in Europe (Yadav et al., 2015).

Equally important are educational programs that integrate biotechnology into curricula across disciplines. German universities, for example, have tailored their science and engineering programs to include molecular biotechnology, equipping graduates with skills that align with industry needs (Deller et al., 2016; Everett et al., 2015). This alignment ensures a steady supply of qualified professionals, bolstering national capacity for innovation.

These models can be adapted for use in developing countries, though careful contextualization is necessary. Inclusive policy-making that accounts for cultural values and local needs is essential for building trust and acceptance. Miroshnichenko et al. (2018) argue that involving community stakeholders in biotechnology regulation fosters legitimacy and reduces opposition. Effective replication of successful models also requires targeted resource allocation. Countries such as India and South Africa have demonstrated that strategic investment in agricultural research can improve food security and local economies (Benskin & Chen, 2012).

Training programs tailored to the needs of emerging biotechnological sectors are also crucial. Bryanskaya et al. (2017) and Teixeira et al. (2017) advocate for dynamic educational initiatives that go beyond academic theory to include practical, industry-relevant skills. These programs support workforce development while enhancing public understanding of biotechnology.

International partnerships further amplify the potential for equitable biotechnological advancement. Collaborative programs involving technology transfer, capacity building, and knowledge exchange have enabled several developing countries to leapfrog infrastructural limitations (Dhami, 2013; Wan et al., 2020). Such alliances also reduce the duplication of effort and allow for the pooling of limited resources.

Despite these promising developments, significant limitations remain in both the literature and practical implementation. Many studies focus on technical efficacy while neglecting socio-political dimensions. There is also a shortage of longitudinal data on the long-term impacts of biotechnological products, particularly in low-resource settings. Furthermore, policy analyses often lack granularity, obscuring the contextual factors that influence success or failure in specific regions.

Future research should address these gaps by adopting interdisciplinary approaches that incorporate perspectives from social sciences, ethics, and economics. There is a pressing need to develop models for equitable technology diffusion, particularly in contexts characterized by low institutional capacity. Additionally, more empirical work is needed to assess the real-world impacts of policy interventions and educational reforms on technology adoption and societal outcomes.

Ultimately, the successful integration of molecular biology into global biotechnology hinges on systemic reform, inclusive governance, and sustained investment in education and research. Only through such comprehensive strategies can the promise of biotechnology be realized in ways that are scientifically sound, socially accepted, and globally equitable.

CONCLUSION

This narrative review has synthesized current literature on the role of molecular biology in modern biotechnology, highlighting significant technological innovations, implementation challenges, and socio-economic implications. Key findings emphasize the transformative power of CRISPR/Cas9, DNAzymes, and synthetic biology in enhancing crop resilience, advancing therapeutic strategies, and enabling sustainable bioprocessing. Despite these advancements, disparities in regulatory frameworks, research infrastructure, and societal acceptance continue to hinder equitable access and implementation, particularly in low- and middle-income countries. Systemic issues such as restrictive GMO regulations, limited biotechnology education, and unequal research funding exacerbate global inequities(Tacheva et al., 2025).

The urgency to address these gaps is underscored by the pressing need for resilient agricultural systems, accessible healthcare innovations, and environmentally sustainable solutions. To overcome current barriers, countries must adopt adaptive and inclusive regulatory policies, invest in research and development, and integrate biotechnology education into national curricula. Public-private partnerships and international collaborations should be strengthened to enhance technology transfer and local capacity building.

Future research should focus on the social dimensions of biotechnology adoption, long-term ecological impacts of genetically engineered organisms, and frameworks for equitable innovation diffusion. Strategies such as education reform, transparent policy-making, and targeted infrastructure investments are critical to unlocking the full potential of molecular biotechnology. Ultimately, integrating molecular tools within a systems-level, interdisciplinary framework offers the most promising path toward achieving sustainable development and global equity in biotechnology adoption.

REFERENCE

Aerts, D., D'Hooghe, B., & Sozzo, S. (2012). A quantum-like approach to the stock market. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, *148*(4).

Altaf, A., Shah, A., Gull, S., Hussain, S., Faheem, M., Miah, A., & Zhu, X. (2022). Progress in modern crop science research in wheat biology. *Journal of Global Innovations in Agricultural Sciences*, *10*(1), 43–49.

Andrews, D., Jones, G., Salam, A., & Woolley, R. (2018). Perspective: quantum hamiltonians for optical interactions. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, *148*(4).

Bevan, K., Hossain, M., Iqbal, A., & Wang, Z. (2015). Exploring bridges between quantum transport and electrochemistry. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, *120*(1), 179–187.

Chojnowski, M. (2019). Idea of multi cohesive areas - foundation, current status and perspective. *Open Physics*, *17*(1), 104–127.

Coladangelo, A., & Stark, J. (2020). An inherently infinite-dimensional quantum correlation. *Nature Communications*, *11*(1).

Cutolo, E., Campitiello, R., Caferri, R., Pagliuca, V., Li, J., Agathos, S., & Cutolo, M. (2024). Immunomodulatory compounds from the sea: from the origins to a modern marine pharmacopoeia. *Marine Drugs*, *22*(7), 304.

Dokhtukaeva, A., Plieva, A., & Дзармотова, З. (2023). Biotechnology in the context of sustainable development. *Bio Web of Conferences*, *63*, 06007.

Guo, Y., Cao, X., Peng, K., Dong, W., Tian, W., & Zhou, M. (2023). An efficient approximation method based on enhanced physics-informed neural networks for solving localized wave solutions of PDEs. In *Computational Science – ICCS 2023* (pp. 230–242).

Jia, Q., Lyu, W., Yan, W., Tang, W., Lu, J., & Qiu, M. (2023). Optical manipulation: from fluid to solid domains. *Photonics Insights*, *2*(2), 05.

Keppler, J. (2020). The common basis of memory and consciousness: Understanding the brain as a write–read head interacting with an omnipresent background field. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *10*.

Khagaeva, A., Karaketova, L., & Chernova, S. (2023). Industrial production in the bioeconomy: directions and prospects. *Bio Web of Conferences*, *76*, 08004.

Klochko, V. I., Klochko, O. V, & Fedorets, V. M. (2024). Visual modeling technologies in the mathematical training of engineers in modern conditions of digital transformation of approaches to creating visual content. *CEUR Workshop Proceedings*, *3771*, 57–73.

https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85207086352&partnerID=40&md5=480c5d352a0d9865518fb54639a4761a

Liu, S., Zenda, T., Tian, Z., & Huang, Z. (2023). Metabolic pathways engineering for drought or/and heat tolerance in cereals. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *14*.

Majda, A., & Qi, D. (2018). Strategies for reduced-order models for predicting the statistical responses and uncertainty quantification in complex turbulent dynamical systems. *SIAM Review*, *60*(3), 491–549.

Mikhailova, E., Post, C., Younts, G., & Schlautman, M. (2022). Connecting students' interests to a learning context: The case of ecosystem services in STEM education. *Education Sciences*, *12*(5), 318. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci12050318

Mlaiki, N. (2025). Duality of Shehu transform with other well-known transforms and application to fractional order differential equations. *PLOS ONE*, *20*(4), 0318157.

More, S., Kotwal, P., Chappidi, S., Mandalapu, D., & Khawand, C. (2023). Double Machine Learning at Scale to Predict Causal Impact of Customer Actions. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, *14174 LNAI*, 513–528. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-43427-3_31

Oliveira, A. (2019). Biotechnology, big data and artificial intelligence. *Biotechnology Journal*, *14*(8).

Rajpal, V. R., Nongthongbam, B., Bhatia, M., Singh, A., Raina, S. N., Minkina, T., Rajput, V. D., Zahra, N., & Husen, A. (2025). The nano-paradox: addressing nanotoxicity for sustainable agriculture, circular economy and SDGs. *Journal of Nanobiotechnology*, *23*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12951-025-03371-5

Sat, M., & Cagiltay, K. (2022). The design of educational wearable technologies with teachers: Issues, challenges, and suggestions. In *Proceedings - 2022 International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies, ICALT* (pp. 201–205).

Tacheva, B., Brown, G. W., Bell, D., & von Agris, J. (2025). The devil's in the detail: an appraisal of the use of innovative financing mechanisms for pandemic prevention, preparedness and response. *Globalization and Health*, *21*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12992-025-01103-w

Wang, C., Xia, S., Yu, X., & Wen, L. (2025). Responses to extreme drought in wintering waterbirds: a multi-species approach. *Frontiers in Zoology*, *22*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12983-025-00557-3

Yadav, U., Ayre, B., & Bush, D. (2015). Transgenic approaches to altering carbon and nitrogen partitioning in whole plants: assessing the potential to improve crop yields and nutritional quality. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *6*.

Zhao, L., Chang, B., Hu, Q., Chen, X., Du, J., & Shao, S. (2025). The health care needs of multidimensional frail elderly patients with multimorbidity in primary health-care settings: a qualitative study. *BMC Primary Care*, *26*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-025-02836-8