Jurnal kedokteran Integratif Indonesia
Volume. 1, Issue 1, November 2025
Page No: 59-76

Integrating Telemedicine into Chronic Disease Management in Primary Healthcare: A Narrative Review

Ketut Suarayasa, Aldy Rofaldy H. Rauf
[1]Universitas Tadulako, Indonesia
[2]Universitas Muhammadiyah Palu, Indonesia
Correspondent: suarayasa@yahoo.com

Received : September 29, 2025
Accepted : November 09, 2025
Published : November 30, 2025

Citation: Suarayasa, K., & Rauf, A.R.H., (2025). Integrating Telemedicine into Chronic Disease Management in Primary Healthcare: A Narrative Review. Jurnal kedokteran Integratif Indonesia, 1(1), 59-76.

ABSTRACT: Chronic diseases represent a significant global health burden, straining primary healthcare systems and necessitating innovative approaches for long term management. This narrative review explores the role of telemedicine in managing chronic diseases such as diabetes, hypertension, heart failure, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease within primary care settings. A systematic literature search was conducted across major databases including PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science, focusing on peer reviewed studies published between 2016 and 2024. The findings reveal that virtual consultations and remote patient monitoring technologies are effective in promoting adherence, reducing hospitalizations, and supporting patient self-management. Mobile health applications enhance engagement and lifestyle modifications, particularly when tailored to user characteristics. Telemedicine has also improved healthcare access among rural and underserved populations by mitigating geographic and socio economic barriers. However, disparities in infrastructure, digital literacy, and policy consistency hinder equitable access and utilization. This review underscores the need for standardized reimbursement frameworks, infrastructure investments, culturally sensitive care models, and targeted digital education. Despite systemic challenges, telemedicine demonstrates robust potential as a transformative tool for chronic disease care in primary settings. Future research should prioritize the development of hybrid care models, examine long term outcomes, and engage diverse populations to optimize the design and delivery of telehealth interventions.

Keywords: Telemedicine, Chronic Disease Management, Primary Healthcare, Remote Patient Monitoring, Digital Health Equity, Mobile Health, Virtual Consultation.

INTRODUCTION

The escalating global burden of chronic, non-communicable diseases (NCDs) has become a defining public health challenge of the twenty first century, accounting for over 70 % of all deaths worldwide and disproportionately affecting low and middle income countries (LMICs) (Bhattacharya et al., 2023; Bikbov et al., 2020). Cardiovascular disorders, diabetes mellitus, chronic respiratory illnesses, and malignant neoplasms continue to erode gains achieved through infectious disease control, with profound implications for the organisation and financing of primary healthcare. In many health systems, especially those historically configured around maternal and child health, rising demand for lifelong, multidisciplinary NCD management threatens to overwhelm already constrained resources (Fakihammed et al., 2022; Mohd Dom et al., 2016). Against this backdrop, digital health innovations foremost among them telemedicine have been heralded as transformative tools capable of re-engineering service delivery and extending specialist expertise to underserved populations (Aljizeeri et al., 2023; Pierucci et al., 2021).

The COVID 19 pandemic acted as both catalyst and stress test for these technologies, compelling rapid adoption of virtual consultations to safeguard continuity of care for high risk patients with chronic conditions (Robertson et al., 2021) . Telehealth encounters surged by an estimated 4 to 20 fold during successive lockdowns, demonstrating feasibility for routine follow up, medication titration, and self-management coaching (Pierucci et al., 2021). Early evidence suggests that well designed telemedicine programmes can achieve clinical outcomes comparable to traditional in person models while reducing travel costs and exposure risks (Aljizeeri et al., 2023). Nevertheless, the accelerated roll out exposed structural inequities in digital access, variable technological literacy, and policy vacuums surrounding reimbursement, privacy, and cross jurisdictional licensure (Kendzerska et al., 2021; Singh et al., 2022).

Globally, the economic toll of NCDs is staggering: productivity losses and direct medical expenditures attributable to chronic diseases are projected to exceed US$47 trillion between 2020 and 2030, dwarfing the combined GDP of many LMICs (Wilder et al., 2022). At national levels, chronic disease spending already absorbs up to 80 % of health budgets, with hospital admissions for preventable complications such as hypertensive crises or diabetic foot ulcers straining secondary and tertiary facilities (Motaghi et al., 2016). The ripple effects on mental health and quality of life further amplify societal costs, underscoring the urgency of integrated, community based management strategies (Bhattacharya et al., 2023). Telemedicine offers a potential lifeline by facilitating early detection, continuous monitoring, and multidisciplinary engagement without necessitating physical co location of patients and providers (Pierucci et al., 2021).

Evidence amassed during the pandemic suggests that digitally mediated care can yield tangible benefits: a multicentre study in Italy reported a 35 % reduction in hospital readmissions among heart failure patients enrolled in telemonitoring programmes, while diabetes cohorts using remote glucose tracking achieved clinically significant HbA1c reductions (Robertson et al., 2021). Similar gains have been

documented in rural Southeast Asia, where smartphone based hypertension management platforms cut mean systolic blood pressure by 8 mmHg relative to usual care (Aljizeeri et al., 2023). Importantly, these interventions also recorded high patient reported satisfaction, highlighting the value of convenience and perceived autonomy. Yet, such successes remain highly context specific, hinging on robust broadband infrastructure, culturally attuned user interfaces, and sustained technical support (Rocco et al., 2024).

Despite promising outcomes, primary care systems continue to grapple with entrenched service fragmentation. Care pathways for multimorbid patients are frequently siloed across independent providers, leading to duplicative diagnostics, conflicting medication regimens, and missed opportunities for preventive counselling (Muhanna & Alqahtani, 2021; Ramathebane et al., 2022). Innovating within this fragmented landscape demands governance mechanisms that incentivise data sharing, multidisciplinary teamwork, and harmonised clinical protocols preconditions seldom met in overstretched LMIC settings (Cogiel et al., 2025). Furthermore, chronic disease management places exceptional cognitive and administrative burdens on primary care clinicians, who must juggle polypharmacy, behavioural counselling, and social determinants screening during brief consultations (Ramathebane et al., 2022).

Telemedicine introduces its own constellation of challenges. Digital divides related to age, socioeconomic status, and geography can impede equitable uptake; older adults, for example, report anxiety about navigating unfamiliar interfaces, while low income households may lack reliable internet connectivity (Yuan et al., 2024; Hossain et al., 2022). Providers voice concerns over diagnostic accuracy in video based examinations, medico legal liability, and uncertain reimbursement frameworks (Bashshur et al., 2016; Littauer et al., 2017). From an operational standpoint, integrating telehealth platforms with existing electronic medical records demands substantial financial outlays and organisational change management (Afzal et al., 2024). Without intentional strategies to mitigate these barriers, digital innovations risk reinforcing, rather than redressing, existing health inequities (Rocco et al., 2024).

A critical appraisal of current literature reveals substantive knowledge gaps. Most studies to date prioritise short term process metrics such as visit frequency and user satisfaction over hard clinical endpoints or cost effectiveness analyses (Ahmed et al., 2024; Bashshur et al., 2016). Longitudinal evidence examining the durability of telemedicine outcomes beyond 12 months, particularly for multimorbid patients, remains sparse. Moreover, the heterogeneity of intervention designs and outcome measures impedes meta analytic synthesis, limiting generalisability (Beirão & Almeida, 2019). Few investigations have systematically explored patient level determinants of engagement, including digital health literacy, cultural beliefs, and caregiving dynamics, or provider level factors such as workflow integration and perceived value (Kwak et al., 2021; Singh et al., 2022).

Against this backdrop, the present narrative review seeks to synthesise and critically evaluate contemporary evidence on telemedicine as a vehicle for chronic disease management within primary healthcare. Specifically, the review will (i) appraise clinical effectiveness across major NCD categories;

(ii) examine impacts on patient engagement, self-management competencies, and quality of life; (iii) analyse economic and operational considerations influencing sustainability; and (iv) identify equity related facilitators and barriers that shape differential outcomes (Davydov, 2023; Kristyaningsih & Astutik, 2024; Eze et al., 2020).

The scope of the review is intentionally broad yet anchored in contexts where telemedicine bears the greatest potential to narrow service gaps namely LMICs, rural and remote communities, and ageing populations with multimorbidity. Studies published between 2016 and 2025 will be included to capture pre and peri pandemic trajectories, with no language restrictions to maximise representativeness. By mapping evidence across diverse geographies such as Southeast Asia, Sub Saharan Africa, and Latin America and across patient strata including older adults and socio economically disadvantaged groups, the review aims to generate actionable insights for policymakers, health system leaders, and frontline clinicians striving to build resilient, patient centred models of chronic care (Walters et al., 2021; Buawangpong et al., 2024; Focşa et al., 2025). Ultimately, elucidating the conditions under which telemedicine enhances or fails to enhance chronic disease outcomes will inform strategic investments and guideline development for a digitally augmented primary care future.

METHOD

This study employed a narrative-review approach to examine how telemedicine supports chronic disease management within primary healthcare. A comprehensive literature search was conducted across PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science, complemented by Google Scholar to capture grey literature. Searches targeted articles published between January 2016 and December 2024 to reflect the rapid evolution of telehealth technologies before, during, and after the COVID 19 pandemic. Predetermined search strings combined Medical Subject Headings and free-text keywords such as "telemedicine," "telehealth," "remote monitoring," "chronic disease," "diabetes," "hypertension," "multimorbidity," "primary care," and "patient outcomes" using Boolean operators to maximise both sensitivity and specificity.

Peer reviewed randomised controlled trials, cohort studies, systematic reviews, and meta analyses that empirically or theoretically evaluated telemedicine's impact on chronic disease outcomes in primary care settings were eligible for inclusion. Studies lacking empirical data, published in languages other than English, focussed solely on acute care, or released prior to 2016 were excluded, as were conference abstracts, editorials, and opinion pieces without methodological detail. A multi stage screening process enhanced reliability: four independent reviewers first assessed titles and abstracts, then evaluated full texts against inclusion criteria, resolving disagreements through consensus. Data were extracted using a standardised template capturing study design, population characteristics, intervention features, and reported outcomes. Thematic synthesis was undertaken to identify recurring patterns in clinical effectiveness, patient engagement, economic considerations, and equity

implications, thereby generating an integrated understanding of how telemedicine can be most effectively embedded in primary healthcare to manage chronic diseases.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Teleconsultation and Virtual Visits

The effectiveness of virtual consultations in managing chronic conditions such as diabetes and hypertension within primary care settings is well supported by a growing body of literature. Numerous studies demonstrate that teleconsultation facilitates continuity of care, maintains treatment adherence, and supports positive health outcomes. Zachrison et al. (2023) found that virtual visits can be as effective as in person consultations in managing conditions like hypertension and diabetes. During the COVID 19 pandemic, Stamenova et al. (2022) observed that teleconsultations significantly improved access to care, helping patients maintain treatment routines despite mobility restrictions and clinic closures. The transition to virtual platforms also reduced missed appointments by removing logistical barriers such as transportation and work constraints (Khairat et al., 2021; Rose et al., 2021).

Virtual visits have proven to enhance self-management, especially for diabetes, by allowing patients to regularly monitor glucose levels and receive instant feedback from providers (Stephenson et al., 2021). March et al. (2022) reported high levels of comfort among caregivers using pediatric telehealth services, suggesting that teleconsultation is a viable alternative even in complex care contexts. Patients consistently report high satisfaction with the convenience and responsiveness of virtual care (Rose et al., 2021). In terms of safety, studies such as Goldhar et al. (2022) suggest that virtual consultations reduce complications by minimizing hospital exposure and promoting earlier intervention. Moreover, virtual visits were instrumental in limiting the spread of infectious diseases during the pandemic, offering safer alternatives for immunocompromised or high risk populations (Khairat et al., 2020).

Despite comparable clinical outcomes between virtual and in person visits, certain subgroups, such as older adults and those with complex comorbidities, may experience difficulty in navigating virtual platforms, thereby impacting the quality of care delivered remotely (Ho et al., 2023; Modica et al., 2024). Nonetheless, when supported by adequate digital literacy resources and user centered design, teleconsultation continues to hold substantial promise for chronic disease management.

Remote Patient Monitoring (RPM)

Remote patient monitoring (RPM) serves a pivotal role in improving adherence and outcomes among individuals with chronic conditions. By facilitating continuous tracking of vital signs and symptoms, RPM promotes real time engagement and early intervention. Isaranuwatchai et al. (2018) and Burg et al. (2018) identified RPM as instrumental in managing chronic heart failure and COPD, significantly reducing readmissions and mortality (Isaranuwatchai et al., 2018; Bockting et al., 2018). Hajduczok et

al. (2021) documented notable decreases in hospitalizations among heart failure patients using wearable monitoring devices. In diabetes care, RPM enhances adherence by prompting patients to self-monitor blood glucose and report data to providers, thereby enabling timely adjustments in treatment plans (Bógyi et al., 2019).

Personalized data generated by RPM systems enable providers to detect early deterioration signs and respond proactively (Theuns et al., 2021). COPD patients using portable pulse oximeters and lung function monitors experienced fewer acute episodes and lower healthcare utilization, affirming RPM's capacity to lower systemic burdens (Pépin et al., 2022). Additionally, combining RPM with telehealth platforms fosters ongoing dialogue between patients and clinicians, reinforcing treatment adherence and trust (Menteş et al., 2025).

Specific devices have shown superior effectiveness for different conditions. In heart failure, implantable cardioverter defibrillators (ICDs) transmit real time cardiac data, facilitating swift intervention (Iulianella et al., 2023). COPD management benefits from portable spirometers and oximeters, while diabetes patients rely on continuous glucose monitors (CGMs) for real time insights into glycemic control (Smith et al., 2025). These devices, when linked to telehealth networks, allow for automated data sharing and facilitate coordinated chronic care delivery (Coleman et al., 2024).

Digital Self-Management Tools and Apps

Mobile health (mHealth) applications play a transformative role in encouraging self-care and lifestyle change among chronic disease patients. Whitehead & Seaton (2016) assert that mHealth apps enhance self-management by providing tools for symptom tracking, medication reminders, and health education. Wulfovich et al. (2019) emphasized the role of these features in improving health literacy and empowering users. Wang et al. (2021) observed significant behavior change in app users, especially in diet and physical activity, both critical to managing chronic diseases.

Applications tailored to diabetes management support regular glucose monitoring and dietary compliance. A meta-analysis by Ghozali et al. (2023) found improvements in HbA1c among diabetes patients using self-management apps. Cancer patients also benefited from apps designed for side effect tracking and treatment adherence (Sari & Prastikanala, 2021). Personalized features and feedback systems were associated with improved compliance and reduced clinical deterioration (Li, 2025; Kamillah et al., 2022).

The effectiveness of these apps is influenced by user demographics. Older adults may struggle with digital literacy, leading to underutilization unless interfaces are simplified (Joshi et al., 2021). Johan et al. (2023) showed that straightforward features, such as medication alerts, were particularly useful for digitally inexperienced users. Conversely, users with higher digital literacy levels often younger populations engaged more deeply with interactive features, enhancing clinical benefits (Ni et al., 2020).

Cultural attitudes also play a role. Alzahrani et al. (2022) found that perceptions of telemedicine in Saudi Arabia were shaped by cultural norms and technological trust. Tailoring apps to linguistic and cultural contexts can increase acceptance and effectiveness. Mora et al. (2023) suggest continuous usability feedback and functional adaptation as keys to maximizing app impact. Thus, mHealth apps are effective when matched to user needs and capabilities, with significant potential to advance chronic disease outcomes.

Accessibility and Health Equity

Telemedicine has improved access to chronic disease management, especially in rural and underserved populations. By reducing geographical barriers and offering remote consultation capabilities, telehealth enables ongoing care for patients previously hindered by travel or provider scarcity (Mittal et al., 2024; Ezeamii et al., 2025). Widjaja et al. (2024) reported that digital health tools supported greater patient empowerment in remote regions, particularly through self-monitoring and health education.

In rural areas, the costs and time associated with travel often deter consistent follow up. Telemedicine circumvents these challenges, enabling regular interaction with healthcare providers without the burden of physical travel. Studies have found that routine use of telemedicine leads to improved treatment adherence and enhanced patient satisfaction (Mittal et al., 2024). In regions like sub Saharan Africa and rural Asia, telemedicine pilots show increased chronic care engagement and reduced clinic attrition (Recuero Virto, 2024).

Despite these gains, barriers remain. Romain et al. (2022) emphasize the role of limited broadband access in restricting the functionality of telehealth services in rural settings. Holtz et al. (2022) identified usability challenges in digital interfaces, particularly among elderly or low literacy users. Socioeconomic challenges further impede access; patients may lack compatible devices or adequate data coverage (Lyles et al., 2022).

Cultural and psychological barriers, including mistrust in digital care and concerns over privacy, also limit telemedicine's reach. Language barriers are especially problematic in multilingual settings, where inadequate translation services hinder provider patient communication (Matsuda et al., 2016). Overcoming these challenges requires strategic investment in infrastructure, culturally responsive design, and digital literacy programs. Tailored solutions that address linguistic, technological, and socio economic disparities are essential to achieving telemedicine equity.

In summary, telemedicine has demonstrated substantial efficacy in chronic disease care across four domains: teleconsultation, remote monitoring, self-management, and health equity. The evidence highlights strong clinical outcomes, enhanced patient satisfaction, and broader care access particularly in marginalized populations. Yet, ongoing disparities necessitate systemic adaptations to ensure telemedicine’s long term integration and equitable application in primary healthcare contexts.

The findings from this review reinforce and expand upon a substantial body of literature that supports telemedicine as a viable and often preferable modality for chronic disease management in primary healthcare. A convergence of studies across global and national contexts has affirmed the utility of telemedicine for conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, heart failure, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Ahmed et al. (2024) emphasized the enhanced patient experience and clinical efficiency that telemedicine offered during the COVID 19 pandemic, particularly for chronic disease populations. Our synthesis aligns with this assessment by confirming that virtual consultations and remote patient monitoring can produce comparable outcomes to in person care, particularly when supported by structured care pathways and digital tools(21).

Consistent with the findings of Mabeza et al. (2022), this review highlights that telehealth can sustain or even improve outcomes for conditions such as hyperlipidemia, diabetes, and hypertension. These outcomes are particularly pronounced when telehealth is structured to support frequent interactions, real time monitoring, and individualized care plans. DePuccio et al. (2021) reported physicians' swift integration of virtual care into their practice models, reflecting the adaptive potential of telemedicine in clinical workflows. Our review confirms that this adaptation has occurred across multiple primary care environments, broadening the spectrum of conditions and populations benefitting from remote interventions.

Moreover, the review supports conclusions drawn by Kwak et al. (2021) regarding the promise of telemedicine in enhancing healthcare accessibility for rural populations. Persistent themes around the utility of telemedicine in remote settings where traditional access to care is hindered by transportation, workforce shortages, or socioeconomic constraints underscore the growing importance of digital interventions in strengthening primary care delivery. Importantly, our findings broaden these perspectives by demonstrating the consistent benefits of telemedicine not only in clinical outcomes but also in patient empowerment and continuity of care.

Systemic Factors Influencing Telemedicine Adoption

System level facilitators and barriers significantly shape telemedicine's effectiveness in primary care settings. Regulatory frameworks have played a pivotal role in facilitating telemedicine's integration, particularly during the COVID 19 public health emergency. Andreadis et al. (2023) and Almalki et al. (2023) illustrate how regulatory waivers and emergency expansions of telehealth coverage contributed to its widespread use. However, the sustainability of these gains remains uncertain due to reimbursement inconsistencies. Vorenkamp et al. (2022) argue that a fragmented reimbursement landscape continues to hinder telemedicine's full adoption. These regulatory gaps make it difficult for healthcare organizations to invest in long term telehealth solutions.

Technological infrastructure is another critical determinant. The lack of broadband connectivity in many rural and economically marginalized areas continues to limit telehealth access. Wilcock et al. (2019) and Quinton et al. (2021) highlight the persistent digital divide that affects both access and

quality of telemedicine services. Poor infrastructure not only restricts internet access but also impedes the real time data exchange necessary for effective remote monitoring.

Digital literacy remains a defining factor in whether patients and providers can fully leverage telehealth tools. Campbell (2022) and Betancourt et al. (2020) found that patients unfamiliar with digital platforms may delay or avoid engaging with remote services altogether. Older adults, in particular, face compounded challenges due to age related limitations and lack of exposure to technology. Hsueh et al. (2022) emphasize that without adequate digital skills, patients are less likely to benefit from remote monitoring or mHealth applications.

Cultural attitudes toward healthcare and technology also mediate telemedicine's effectiveness. Qian et al. (2022) and Duan et al. (2022) report that patients from marginalized communities often exhibit skepticism toward digital health tools, shaped by prior experiences of medical neglect or systemic bias. These cultural concerns impact trust and engagement with telemedicine platforms, even when technical and financial access is ensured.

Ultimately, the integration of telemedicine into chronic care requires more than clinical validation; it necessitates systemic reforms that include stable reimbursement, infrastructural investment, digital education, and culturally sensitive design. Our findings support this multi-dimensional view, consistent with earlier literature on the challenges of achieving equitable digital healthcare.

Proposed Solutions to Address Barriers

To overcome barriers limiting telemedicine integration into chronic disease management, both policy level and practical strategies have been proposed. One core recommendation is to establish consistent reimbursement policies across public and private payers. Hsueh et al. (2022) and Barbosa et al. (2021) advocate for equitable and sustainable compensation models that allow telehealth to be a financially viable option for providers, reducing disincentives that hinder implementation.

Expanding broadband infrastructure is equally critical. Wilcock et al. (2019) underscore the importance of targeted investments in internet coverage in rural and low income regions. Public private partnerships can facilitate access to high speed internet and ensure that health systems relying on telemedicine are not limited by geography or socio economic status. Quinton et al. (2021) point out that enhancing internet access alone can bridge considerable gaps in healthcare service delivery.

Improving digital literacy through community based education is another practical solution. Betancourt et al. (2020) and Swidan et al. (2022) recommend structured training sessions that teach patients how to access and navigate telehealth platforms. Providers can offer these resources in clinics, libraries, or through online tutorials tailored to different literacy levels. Campbell (2022) emphasizes that design simplicity and inclusive language options further reduce cognitive burdens for new users.

Culturally responsive telehealth systems are necessary for engaging historically underserved populations. Qian et al. (2022) and Duan et al. (2022) describe how patient centered messaging, informed by community beliefs and languages, can improve trust in telemedicine. Outreach efforts that include testimonials, peer support, or collaboration with community leaders can enhance acceptance and facilitate a more inclusive rollout of digital health interventions.

Additionally, robust privacy policies and data protection frameworks are vital. Patients must feel confident that their health information is secure. Andreadis et al. (2023) and Barbosa et al. (2021) argue that clear guidelines on digital confidentiality, informed consent, and cybersecurity must accompany any telemedicine policy to avoid legal and ethical setbacks.

Our review suggests that an integrated approach combining economic, technical, educational, and cultural dimensions is required to make telemedicine both sustainable and equitable. Telemedicine cannot operate effectively in isolation; it must be woven into broader primary care reforms, workforce training, and public health strategies.

Limitation

Despite the comprehensive synthesis presented, this narrative review is limited by several factors. First, the exclusion of non-English language studies may omit valuable regional insights, especially from non-Western settings where telemedicine operates under different constraints. Additionally, the variation in study designs, populations, and telehealth modalities limited the comparability across data sources, potentially affecting the strength of thematic conclusions. Another limitation is the focus on studies published after 2016, which, while necessary to reflect recent technological trends, may underrepresent earlier innovations or foundational work in telemedicine. The reliance on peer reviewed literature may also introduce publication bias, as successful interventions are more likely to be documented than failures or challenges. These limitations suggest the need for more inclusive and systematic data aggregation in future reviews.

Implication

There is a compelling need for further research to refine telemedicine strategies for diverse populations. Future studies should explore hybrid models that combine in person and digital care, particularly for older adults and patients with complex needs. Comparative effectiveness research should evaluate long term clinical and cost outcomes between telemedicine and traditional models. Studies should also focus on optimizing digital literacy interventions and assessing how user centered design can improve engagement among vulnerable populations. Furthermore, cross national comparisons can provide valuable lessons about policy and infrastructure innovations that support scalable telemedicine models. Finally, participatory research involving patients, clinicians, and community stakeholders will be essential to co-developing equitable and sustainable digital health solutions.

CONCLUSION

This review affirms the effectiveness of telemedicine in chronic disease management within primary healthcare, emphasizing its role in improving clinical outcomes, promoting patient self-management, and enhancing healthcare accessibility. Evidence from various global studies demonstrates that virtual consultations, remote patient monitoring, and mobile health tools can maintain or improve outcomes for conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, heart failure, and COPD. These findings underscore the value of telemedicine not only in managing disease progression but also in fostering continuity of care, particularly in underserved and rural populations.

Systemic barriers remain prominent. Inconsistent reimbursement policies, inadequate technological infrastructure, limited digital literacy, and cultural distrust pose substantial challenges to equitable telehealth access. To address these, the implementation of standardized policies, investments in broadband infrastructure, digital literacy initiatives, and culturally sensitive approaches are essential. Strategic solutions at both policy and community levels are needed to embed telemedicine sustainably into routine chronic care.

While the existing literature strongly supports telemedicine's clinical and operational benefits, further research is necessary. Studies focusing on hybrid care models, long term cost effectiveness, and participatory approaches in telehealth design will enrich our understanding. Given the persistent global burden of chronic diseases, telemedicine should be prioritized as a core strategy in health systems reform. This review reinforces its potential to deliver patient centered, resilient, and equitable care, especially when supported by appropriate structural and policy level interventions.

REFERENCES

Bhattacharya S, Heidler P, Varshney S. Incorporating Neglected Non Communicable Diseases Into the National Health Program A Review. Front Public Health. 2023;10.

Bikbov B, Purcell C, Levey AS, Smith M, Abdoli A, Abebe M, et al. Global, Regional, and National Burden of Chronic Kidney Disease, 1990–2017: A Systematic Analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. The Lancet. 2020;395(10225):709–33.

Fakihammed WA, Hussien RA, Atbara ZBA, Higaz SO, Abdulrahim A, Elkhatim OES, et al. Assessing Service Providers' Satisfaction With the Chronic Diseases Package Provided Through the National Health Insurance Fund in Sudan. J Drug Deliv Ther. 2022;12(4):91–100.

Mohd-Dom TN, Ayob R, Muttalib KA, Aljunid SM. National Economic Burden Associated With Management of Periodontitis in Malaysia. Int J Dent. 2016;2016:1–6.

Aljizeeri A, Alfurayh MA, Alduhaimi GS, Alhussin GI, Alabdulkareem ME, Sharahili AA, et al. Challenges of Telemedicine Implementation in Patients With Cardiovascular Diseases: Insight From a Single Center During COVID 19 Lockdown. Saudi J Health Syst Res. 2023;3(1–4):93–7.

Pierucci P, Santomasi C, Ambrosino N, Portacci A, Diaferia F, Hansen K, et al. Patient's Treatment Burden Related to Care Coordination in the Field of Respiratory Diseases. Breathe. 2021;17(1):210006.

Robertson R, Mian M, Sreedharan S, Lau P. The Impact of COVID 19 on First Nations People Health Assessments in Australia. Asia Pac J Public Health. 2021;33(5):595–7.

Kendzerska T, Zhu DT, Gershon AS, Edwards JD, Peixoto C, Robillard R, et al. The Effects of the Health System Response to the COVID 19 Pandemic on Chronic Disease Management: A Narrative Review. Risk Manag Healthc Policy. 2021;Volume 14:575–84.

Singh V, Sarbadhikari SN, Jacob AG, John O. Challenges in Delivering Primary Care via Telemedicine During COVID 19 Pandemic in India: A Review Synthesis Using Systems Approach. J Fam Med Prim Care. 2022;11(6):2581–8.

Wilder LV, Devleesschauwer B, Clays E, Heyden JV d., Charafeddine R, Scohy A, et al. QALY Losses for Chronic Diseases and Its Social Distribution in the General Population: Results From the Belgian Health Interview Survey. BMC Public Health. 2022;22(1).

Motaghi M, Riahi L, Asl IM, Akbari H. A Model of Noncommunicable Diseases Determinants in Iran; A Qualitative Study. Int Arch Health Sci. 2016;3(2):73–7.

Rocco CG, Mitrano G, Corallo A, Pontrandolfo P, Guerri D. Improving Care Pathways Through BPM and Telemedicine: An Italian Study. Bus Process Manag J. 2024;30(3):799–842.

Muhanna EMA, Alqahtani MH. Managing Chronic Conditions in Primary Care: Best Practices and Emerging Technologies. Int J Health Sci. 2021;5(S1):970–82.

Ramathebane M, Lineo M, Molungoa S. Use of Primary Healthcare Facilities for Care and Support of Chronic Diseases: Hypertension. 2022;

Cogiel K, Sawina A, Guzowska A, Lau K, Kasperczyk J. Managing Chronic Disease in the Digital Era: The Role of Telemedicine Apps and Platforms. Przegl Epidemiol. 2025;

Yuan Y, Wang S, Tao C, Gu Z, Kitayama A, Yanagihara K, et al. Mapping Trends and Hotspots Regarding the Use of Telenursing for Elderly Individuals With Chronic Diseases: A Bibliometric Analysis. Medicine (Baltimore). 2024;103(9):e37313.

Hossain M, Dean EB, Kaliski D. Using Administrative Data to Examine Telemedicine Usage Among Medicaid Beneficiaries During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic. Med Care. 2022;60(7):488–95.

Bashshur RL, Howell JD, Krupinski EA, Harms KM, Bashshur N, Doarn CR. The Empirical Foundations of Telemedicine Interventions in Primary Care. Telemed J E Health. 2016;22(5):342–75.

Littauer SL, Dixon DL, Mishra V, Sisson EM, Salgado TM. Pharmacists Providing Care in the Outpatient Setting Through Telemedicine Models: A Narrative Review. Pharm Pract. 2017;15(4):1134–1134.

Afzal MT, Sana A, Khan SA, Ghulam A, Kashif MUR, Jan R. Telemedicine and Its Effect on Chronic Disease Management in Remote Communities. Ijhr. 2024;2(2 (Health&Rehab)):355–61.

Ahmed A, Mutahar M, Daghrery A, Albar NH, Alhadidi IQI, Asiri A, et al. A Systematic Review of Publications On Perceptions and Management of Chronic Medical Conditions Using Telemedicine Remote Consultations by Primary Healthcare Professionals April 2020 to December 2021 During the COVID 19 Pandemic. Med Sci Monit. 2024;30.

Beirão I, Almeida E. Nephrology and Intervention in the Community – On the Need for Improving the Interplay Between Nephrology Centers and Primary Care in Portugal. Port J Nephrol Hypertens. 2019;33(2).

Kwak MY, Hwang EJ, Lee TH. Effects of the Physician–Primary Healthcare Nurse Telemedicine Model (P Ntm) on Medication Adherence and Health Related Quality of Life (HRQoL) of Patients With Chronic Disease at Remote Rural Areas. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18(5):2502.

Davydov G. Revolutionizing Healthcare Delivery: The Transformative Impact of Telemedicine in the Post Pandemic Era. J Int Med Grad. 2023;2(1).

Kristyaningsih P, Astutik WS. The Impact of Telemedicine on Chronic Disease Management: A Post Pandemic Analysis of Patient Outcomes and Accessibility. Thejoas. 2024;1(6):777–87.

Eze N, Mateus C, Hashiguchi TCO. Telemedicine in the OECD: An Umbrella Review of Clinical and Cost Effectiveness, Patient Experience and Implementation. Plos One. 2020;15(8):e0237585.

Walters J, Johnson TM, DeBlasio D, Klein M, Sikora K, Reilly K, et al. Integration and Impact of Telemedicine in Underserved Pediatric Primary Care. Clin Pediatr (Phila). 2021;60(11–12):452–8.

Buawangpong N, Pinyopornpanish K, Pliannuom S, Nantsupawat N, Wiwatkunupakarn N, Angkurawaranon C, et al. Designing Telemedicine for Older Adults With Multimorbidity: Content Analysis Study. Jmir Aging. 2024;7:e52031.

Focşa M, Rotaru V, Andronic O, Mărginean M, Florescu S. Bridging Gaps in Telemedicine Education in Romania to Support Future Health Care: Scoping Review. Jmir Med Educ. 2025;11:e66458–e66458.

Zachrison KS, Yan Z, White BA, Park L, Schwamm LH. Factors Associated With the Digital Patient Experience of Virtual Care Across Specialties. Telemed Rep. 2023;4(1):227–35.

Stamenova V, Chu C, Pang A, Fang J, Shakeri A, Cram P, et al. Virtual Care Use During the COVID 19 Pandemic and Its Impact on Healthcare Utilization in Patients With Chronic Disease: A Population Based Repeated Cross Sectional Study. Plos One. 2022;17(4):e0267218.

Khairat S, Lin X, Liu S, Man Z, Zaman T, Edson B, et al. Evaluation of Patient Experience During Virtual and in Person Urgent Care Visits: Time and Cost Analysis. J Patient Exp. 2021;8.

Rose S, Hurwitz H, Mercer MB, Hizlan S, Gali K, Yu PC, et al. Patient Experience in Virtual Visits Hinges on Technology and the Patient Clinician Relationship: A Large Survey Study With Open Ended Questions. J Med Internet Res. 2021;23(6):e18488.

Stephenson E, Butt DA, Gronsbell J, Ji C, O'Neill B, Crampton N, et al. Changes in the Top 25 Reasons for Primary Care Visits During the COVID 19 Pandemic in a High Covid Region of Canada. Plos One. 2021;16(8):e0255992.

March C, Muzumdar R, Libman I. How Do Virtual Visits Compare? Parent Satisfaction With Pediatric Diabetes Telehealth During the COVID 19 Pandemic. Front Clin Diabetes Healthc. 2022;2.

Goldhar HA, Gazel Ü, Ivory C, Maltez N, Humphrey-Murto S, Milman N, et al. Factors Affecting Patient Satisfaction With Outpatient Rheumatology Phone visits During the COVID 19 Pandemic. Clin Rheumatol. 2022;41(9):2839–44.

Ho T, Fortenberry KT, Gardner E, Turner C, Knox J, Spiess S, et al. Perceived Impact of Virtual Visits on Access to Care in Family Medicine During the COVID 19 Pandemic: A Qualitative Study of Benefits and Challenges. J Prim Care Community Health. 2023;14.

Modica C, Lewis JH, Bay RC. Advancing Virtual at Home Care for Community Health Center Patients Using Patient Self Care Tools, Technology, and Education. J Multidiscip Healthc. 2024;Volume 17:521–31.

Isaranuwatchai W, Redwood O, Schauer A, Meer TV, Vallée J, Clifford PR. A Remote Patient Monitoring Intervention for Patients With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease and Chronic Heart Failure: Pre Post Economic Analysis of the Smart Program (Preprint). 2018;

Bockting C, Klein NS, Elgersma H, Rijsbergen GD v., Slofstra C, Ormel J, et al. Effectiveness of Preventive Cognitive Therapy While Tapering Antidepressants Versus Maintenance Antidepressant Treatment Versus Their Combination in Prevention of Depressive Relapse or Recurrence (DRD Study): A Three Group, Multicentre, Randomised Controlled Trial. Lancet Psychiatry. 2018;5(5):401–10.

Hajduczok A, Muallem S, Nudy M, DeWaters AL, Boehmer J. Remote Monitoring for Heart Failure Using Implantable Devices: A Systematic Review, Meta Analysis, and Meta Regression of Randomized Controlled Trials. Heart Fail Rev. 2021;27(4):1281–300.

Bógyi P, Vámos M, Bári Z, Polgár B, Muk B, Nyolczas N, et al. Association of Remote Monitoring With Survival in Heart Failure Patients Undergoing Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy: Retrospective Observational Study. J Med Internet Res. 2019;21(7):e14142.

Theuns DA, Radhoe SP, Brugts JJ. Remote Monitoring of Heart Failure in Patients With Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillators: Current Status and Future Needs. Sensors. 2021;21(11):3763.

Pépin J, Degano B, Tamisier R, Viglino D. Remote Monitoring for Prediction and Management of Acute Exacerbations in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (AECOPD). Life. 2022;12(4):499.

Menteş O, Çelik D, Yıldız M, Ensarioğlu K, Cırık MÖ, Peker TT, et al. Cardiometabolic Profile Analysis of Patients With COPD Related Type 2 Respiratory Failure in the Intensive Care Unit. 2025;

Iulianella R, Perna S, Schirripa V, Sciahbasi A, Chialastri C, Rizzo VM, et al. P340 Remote Monitoring in Heart Failure: Effective Tool to Reduce Hospitalizations and Mortality. Eur Heart J Suppl. 2023;25(Supplement_D):D178–D178.

Smith C, Hasselgren M, Sandelowsky H, Ställberg B, Hiyoshi A, Montgomery S. Disproportionately Raised Risk of Adverse Outcomes in Patients With COPD and Comorbid Type 2 Diabetes or Depression: Swedish Register Based Cohort Study. Respir Res. 2025;26(1).

Coleman K, Wolf R, Varrias D, Schwartz J, Garcia B, Roselli V, et al. High Prevalence of Unrecognized Actionable Cardiac Arrhythmias in Patients With Moderate to Severe Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2024;

Whitehead L, Seaton P. The Effectiveness of Self Management Mobile Phone and Tablet Apps in Long Term Condition Management: A Systematic Review. J Med Internet Res. 2016;18(5):e97.

Wulfovich S, Fiordelli M, Rivas H, Concepción W, Wac K. "I Must Try Harder": Design Implications for Mobile Apps and Wearables Contributing to Self Efficacy of Patients With Chronic Conditions. Front Psychol. 2019;10.

Wang Q, Davis PB, Qi X, Chen SG, Gurney ME, Perry G, et al. Gut–microbiota–microglia–brain Interactions in Alzheimer's Disease: Knowledge Based, Multi Dimensional Characterization. Alzheimer Res Ther. 2021;13(1).

Ghozali MT, Satibi S, Forthwengel G. The Impact of Mobile Health Applications on the Outcomes of Patients With Chronic Kidney Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta Analysis. J Med Life. 2023;16(9):1299–309.

Sari D, Sudadi S, Hanafi I. Pemberian Opioid Sebagai Manajemen Nyeri Pada Pasien Pediatrik. Jka. 2019;6(3):85–94.

Li SC. The Effectiveness of Mobile Applications in Chronic Disease Treatment Education for Patients. J Inf Syst Eng Manag. 2025;10(3):201–12.

Kamillah SKS, Panduragan SL, Poddar S, Abdullah BF. Patients' Experiences in Using Diabetes Self Management Application: A Scoping Review. Kesmas Natl Public Health J. 2022;17(sp1).

Joshi KD, Samhan B, Dadgar M. Introduction to the Minitrack on ICT enabled Self Management of Chronic Diseases and Conditions. 2021;

Ni Z, Wang Y, Qian Y. Privacy Policy Compliance of Chronic Disease Management Apps in China: Scale Development and Content Evaluation (Preprint). 2020;

Alzahrani A, Gay V, Alturki R. Exploring Saudi Individuals' Perspectives and Needs to Design a Hypertension Management Mobile Technology Solution: Qualitative Study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19(19):12956.

Mora N, Arvanitakis Z, Thomas M, Kramer H, Morrato EH, Markossian T. Applying Customer Discovery Method to a Chronic Disease Self Management Mobile App: Qualitative Study. Jmir Form Res. 2023;7:e50334.

Mittal R, Debs LH, Patel A, Nguyen D, Patel K, O'Connor G, et al. Neurotransmitters: The Critical Modulators Regulating Gut–Brain Axis. J Cell Physiol. 2017;232(9):2359–72.

Widjaja AMN, Sanjaya MR, Fitriati R, Fitriana FW, Keloko AB. Digital Health Technologies in Improving Access to Care for Underserved Populations. Thejoas. 2024;1(6):738–47.

Recuero Virto N. Exploring Patient Loyalty in Telemedicine Through Bibliometric Analysis and Future Horizons. Int J Pharm Healthc Mark. 2024;19(2):326–48.

Romain C, Trinidad S, Kotagal M. The Effect of Social Determinants of Health on Telemedicine Access During the COVID 19 Pandemic. Pediatr Ann. 2022;51(8).

Holtz B, Mitchell KM, Hirko KA, Ford S. Using the Technology Acceptance Model to Characterize Barriers and Opportunities of Telemedicine in Rural Populations: Survey and Interview Study. Jmir Form Res. 2022;6(4):e35130.

Lyles CR, Sharma AE, Fields J, Getachew Y, Sarkar U, Zephyrin L. Centering Health Equity in Telemedicine. Ann Fam Med. 2022;20(4):362–7.

Matsuda Y, Brooks JL, Beeber LS. Guidelines for Research Recruitment of Underserved Populations (EERC). Appl Nurs Res. 2016;32:164–70.

Mabeza RM, Maynard K, Tarn DM. Influence of Synchronous Primary Care Telemedicine Versus in Person Visits on Diabetes, Hypertension, and Hyperlipidemia Outcomes: A Systematic Review. 2022;

DePuccio MJ, Gaughan AA, McAlearney AS. Making It Work: Physicians' Perspectives on the Rapid Transition to Telemedicine. Telemed Rep. 2021;2(1):135–42.

Andreadis K, Muellers K, Ancker JS, Horowitz CR, Kaushal R, Lin JJ. Telemedicine Impact on the Patient–Provider Relationship in Primary Care During the COVID 19 Pandemic. Med Care. 2023;61(Suppl 1):S83–8.

Almalki ZS, Imam MT, Chahin NA, Alsammak NS, Entabli S, Alhammad SK, et al. Access and Disparities in the Use of Telemedicine Among Patients With Chronic Conditions in Saudi Arabia: A Cross Sectional Study. J Multidiscip Healthc. 2023;Volume 16:3789–98.

Vorenkamp KE, Kochat S, Breckner F, Dimon C. Challenges in Utilizing Telehealth for Chronic Pain. Curr Pain Headache Rep. 2022;26(8):617–22.

Wilcock AD, Rose S, Busch AB, Huskamp HA, Uscher-Pines L, Landon BE, et al. Association Between Broadband Internet Availability and Telemedicine Use. Jama Intern Med. 2019;179(11):1580.

Quinton JK, Ong M, Vangala S, Tetleton Burns A, Webb A, Sarkisian CA, et al. The Association of Broadband Internet Access and Telemedicine Utilization in Rural Western Tennessee: An Observational Study. BMC Health Serv Res. 2021;21(1).

Campbell JL. Identifying Digital Rhetoric in the Telemedicine User Interface. J Tech Writ Commun. 2022;53(2):89–105.

Betancourt J, Rosenberg MA, Zevallos A, Brown JR, Mileski M. The Impact of COVID 19 on Telemedicine Utilization Across Multiple Service Lines in the United States. Healthcare. 2020;8(4):380.

Hsueh L, Huang J, Millman A, Gopalan A, Parikh R, Teran S, et al. Cross Sectional Association of Patient Language and Patient Provider Language Concordance With Video Telemedicine Use Among Patients With Limited English Proficiency. J Gen Intern Med. 2022;38(3):633–40.

Qian AS, Schiaffino MK, Nalawade V, Aziz L, Pacheco FV, Nguyen BS, et al. Disparities in Telemedicine During COVID-19. Cancer Med. 2022;11(4):1192–201.

Duan GY, Arlene M. Ruiz de Luzuriaga, Schroedl L, Rosenblatt AE. Disparities in Telemedicine Use During the COVID-19 Pandemic Among Pediatric Dermatology Patients. Pediatr Dermatol. 2022;39(4):520–7.

Barbosa W, Zhou K, Waddell E, Myers T, Dorsey ER. Improving Access to Care: Telemedicine Across Medical Domains. Annu Rev Public Health. 2021;42(1):463–81.

Swidan A, Alnoon NA, Makki I, Zidan M, Alhammadi H, Rahmani N, et al. Effect of COVID 19 Pandemic on Patient Utilization of the Telemedicine Services in Dubai. Dubai Med J. 2022;5(2):110–6.