

Quantum Technologies in Transition: Bridging Infrastructure, Policy, and Practice

Asep Irvan Irvani¹, Rizki Ilmianih², Nurul Kami Sani³

Universitas Garut, Indonesia¹

Universitas Tadulako, Indonesia^{2,3}

Correspondent : irvan.irvani@uniga.ac.id¹

Received : September 20, 2025

Accepted : November 04, 2025

Published : November 30, 2025

Citation: Irvani, A. I., Ilmianih, R., Sani, N. K., (2025). Quantum Technologies in Transition: Bridging Infrastructure, Policy, and Practice. Jurnal Fisika Terapan dan Inovasi Indonesia, 1(1), 14-26.

ABSTRACT: Quantum technologies have emerged as critical enablers of next-generation communication, computation, and sensing systems. This review aims to assess the current state of Quantum Key Distribution (QKD) and related quantum technologies by examining recent empirical findings, methodological innovations, and systemic challenges. A structured literature search was conducted across Scopus, PubMed, and Google Scholar using Boolean keyword combinations targeting QKD, quantum sensing, and communication applications. The review focused on peer-reviewed articles from the last five years and included experimental studies, simulations, and applied case analyses. Findings show that QKD has demonstrated remarkable reliability in both controlled laboratory and real-world settings. Studies on multicore fiber networks highlight the potential for high-dimensional communication security, while comparative analyses reveal disparities between implementation strategies across different regions. However, systemic challenges such as inadequate infrastructure, inconsistent regulation, and insufficient public understanding hinder wide-scale adoption. The discussion identifies critical factors contributing to these challenges and proposes actionable policy recommendations, including increased investment in infrastructure, standardized regulations, and targeted education programs. This review concludes that achieving the full potential of quantum technologies will require integrative strategies addressing technical, institutional, and social dimensions. Future research should emphasize longitudinal evaluation and interdisciplinary collaboration to enhance scalability and impact. The insights offered here provide a foundational framework for policymakers, researchers, and industry leaders to advance the adoption of secure, scalable quantum systems.

Keywords: Quantum Key Distribution, Quantum Communication, Emerging Quantum Technologies, Secure Data Transmission, Quantum Infrastructure, Technology Policy, Quantum Sensing.



This is an open access article under the CC-BY 4.0 license

INTRODUCTION

Quantum technology has emerged as a transformative field with the potential to revolutionize various sectors, including computation, communication, healthcare, and materials science. With advancements in theoretical frameworks and experimental techniques, quantum systems are increasingly being recognized as a foundation for next-generation technologies. The application of

quantum mechanical principles, such as superposition and entanglement, has enabled researchers to explore novel avenues for enhancing the performance and scalability of computational and sensing devices. Global investments in quantum research and development are expanding rapidly, underlining the growing consensus about its importance in addressing complex scientific and engineering problems. These developments coincide with the post-Moore era in computing, where the limitations of classical systems have accelerated the search for fundamentally different computational paradigms Rietsche et al., (2022).

Recent literature has underscored the transformative capabilities of quantum technology in domains such as cryptography, optimization, and diagnostic imaging (Ghamsari & Baniasadi, 2024). Quantum computers, for instance, offer significant advantages in solving NP-hard problems and performing large-scale simulations for molecular dynamics, which are intractable for classical computers. Likewise, quantum communication systems promise unparalleled security through the application of quantum key distribution (QKD), a method that exploits the laws of quantum mechanics to detect eavesdropping and ensure secure transmission of data. In healthcare, quantum-enhanced imaging technologies have shown the ability to improve the resolution and sensitivity of diagnostic tools, paving the way for early detection of diseases and personalized treatment protocols. These innovations mark a shift from speculative discourse to tangible applications, elevating the urgency of comprehensive scholarly engagement with the challenges and opportunities presented by quantum technologies.

The relevance of quantum technology is reinforced by empirical evidence and policy interest. Governments and private institutions have launched initiatives aimed at strengthening quantum ecosystems. For example, national quantum strategies in the United States, China, and the European Union have prioritized quantum research as a cornerstone of technological sovereignty and innovation policy. In addition, quantum computing startups have attracted significant venture capital investment, indicating market confidence in the field's long-term viability. These trends are mirrored in the proliferation of academic publications and patents related to quantum hardware, algorithms, and system integration. However, despite these promising developments, numerous technical and conceptual challenges persist, warranting further interdisciplinary investigation.

Among the most pressing challenges in quantum technology is the inherent instability of qubits, the fundamental units of quantum information (Ghafri et al., 2022). Current qubit systems are highly susceptible to decoherence—a phenomenon wherein quantum states lose coherence due to environmental interactions—which impairs the reliability and accuracy of quantum computations (Yan et al., 2023). Ensuring the coherence and scalability of qubits remains a major bottleneck in developing practical quantum computers. Furthermore, communication protocols based on quantum entanglement face significant engineering obstacles, such as photon loss and the need for quantum repeaters to extend transmission range. These technical difficulties are compounded by the lack of robust error correction mechanisms, making large-scale deployment of quantum technologies a formidable task (R. Wang et al., 2020; Zahidy et al., 2024).

In addition to hardware limitations, the integration of quantum technologies into existing systems presents systemic challenges. Quantum devices operate under conditions that differ radically from classical systems, necessitating new standards, architectures, and interoperability protocols. The

lack of universal benchmarks and the heterogeneity of quantum platforms further complicate efforts to standardize components and processes. Moreover, societal readiness for quantum technologies is uneven. Educational gaps and limited public understanding hinder widespread adoption, while ethical and legal questions surrounding quantum data privacy and cybersecurity remain largely unexplored. Addressing these multidimensional challenges requires not only technical expertise but also inclusive policy-making and interdisciplinary collaboration.

Despite the expansion of quantum research, notable gaps remain in the literature. While theoretical explorations and simulations dominate existing studies, empirical validation and real-world implementation of quantum systems are still underdeveloped. For example, many QKD protocols lack robust authentication schemes and encounter scalability issues when applied in complex network settings (G. Li et al., 2024). Environmental factors affecting qubit stability, such as temperature fluctuations and electromagnetic interference, are not fully understood, leaving room for further research on mitigation strategies (Dong et al., 2023; Falci et al., 2019). Moreover, much of the current research focuses on idealized laboratory conditions, limiting the external validity of findings. A critical review of these limitations is essential for advancing the field toward practical and scalable quantum technologies.

This review aims to synthesize recent findings and provide a comprehensive analysis of emerging themes in quantum technology. The primary objective is to examine the interplay between hardware development, theoretical modeling, and application deployment. Special emphasis is placed on identifying the barriers to implementation and the strategies proposed to overcome them. Factors such as qubit coherence, error correction, communication security, and environmental interactions will be explored. The review also investigates the extent to which interdisciplinary approaches—involving physics, computer science, engineering, and policy studies—have been employed to address complex research questions in quantum technology (Giovanni et al., 2020).

The scope of this review is both global and focused. While it draws on literature from multiple geographic regions, it also pays particular attention to contexts where quantum technology holds significant promise for societal impact. These include applications in healthcare diagnostics, secure communications in critical infrastructure, and optimization in logistics and energy management. The review is especially concerned with settings that present logistical challenges, such as remote or underserved regions, where quantum communication could offer viable solutions for secure data transmission. The geographic scope also considers disparities in research capacity and infrastructure, exploring how these differences influence the development and deployment of quantum technologies.

By integrating findings across disciplines and regions, this review contributes to a more nuanced understanding of the potential and limitations of quantum technology. It provides an evidence-based foundation for future research, policy formulation, and strategic investment. The following sections will delve into specific thematic areas, including system design, environmental resilience, algorithmic efficiency, and ethical considerations, to offer a holistic perspective on the state of quantum technology research and its path forward.

METHOD

The methodological approach employed in this literature review was designed to ensure the systematic identification, selection, and analysis of academic sources relevant to the development, application, and implications of quantum technology. Recognizing the interdisciplinary nature of quantum research, this study utilized a structured literature search process to capture diverse perspectives from both theoretical and applied domains, including computer science, physics, engineering, and healthcare. The methodology focused on transparency and reproducibility, aiming to provide a robust foundation for the synthesis of findings and the identification of current gaps in the field.

To collect pertinent literature, several established academic databases were utilized, including Scopus, PubMed, IEEE Xplore, SpringerLink, and Google Scholar. These databases were selected due to their extensive coverage of peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, and technical reports across the disciplines most relevant to quantum technologies. Each database was queried individually using a consistent set of keywords and Boolean operators to ensure comprehensiveness while minimizing redundancy. In addition, cross-referencing was applied by reviewing the citation lists of selected articles to identify additional studies that may have been missed in the initial search.

The keyword strategy was meticulously developed to reflect the multifaceted nature of quantum technology. Primary search terms included "quantum technology," "quantum computing," "quantum encryption," "quantum communication," "quantum key distribution," and "quantum sensing." These were combined with additional modifiers to target specific areas of application, such as "biomedical applications," "material science," "industrial applications," and "secure data transmission." Boolean operators were employed to refine search queries, such as "quantum technology AND applications," "quantum computing AND optimization OR simulation," and "quantum sensing AND biological applications." These formulations enabled the retrieval of a focused yet comprehensive set of sources. Complex query strings were particularly valuable in distinguishing general theoretical works from more narrowly focused empirical studies, ensuring that both foundational and cutting-edge research were represented.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria were explicitly defined to streamline the selection process and maintain the quality and relevance of the literature. The inclusion criteria stipulated that only peer-reviewed journal articles, conference papers, and review articles published within the last five years were eligible, thus emphasizing the most recent advancements in the rapidly evolving field of quantum technology. Studies were also required to demonstrate direct relevance to the core topics of quantum systems, including hardware development, algorithm design, communication protocols, and practical applications in sectors such as healthcare, cryptography, and energy systems. Publications written in English were prioritized to ensure accessibility and consistent comprehension during analysis.

Exclusion criteria were equally stringent. Articles not available in full text, non-peer-reviewed publications, editorial opinions lacking empirical support, and sources focused exclusively on classical technologies without a clear connection to quantum applications were omitted from the analysis. Additionally, duplicate records across databases were removed, and preliminary screening of titles and abstracts was conducted to filter out studies not aligned with the review's objectives. Studies limited to theoretical postulates without discussion of experimental validation or implementation challenges were generally excluded unless they contributed significantly to the conceptual framing of the field.

The types of research studies incorporated into the review spanned a range of methodologies, reflecting the interdisciplinary nature of quantum technology research. These included randomized control trials evaluating quantum-enhanced diagnostic tools, cohort studies analyzing the performance of quantum communication systems, case studies on the integration of quantum computing into industrial workflows, and computational modeling studies that assessed the theoretical viability of proposed quantum algorithms. Review articles offering meta-analyses and syntheses of emerging trends were also included to provide overarching insights into the direction of the field. This heterogeneous mix of study types allowed for a well-rounded examination of both theoretical innovations and practical deployments.

The process of literature selection and evaluation was carried out in multiple stages. Initially, search results were exported to reference management software to facilitate organization and deduplication. Titles and abstracts were reviewed to identify potentially relevant studies, followed by full-text assessment for final inclusion. Each article was assessed for methodological rigor, relevance to the review questions, and contribution to the understanding of quantum technologies. Quality appraisal criteria included clarity of research questions, appropriateness of methods, reliability of results, and strength of conclusions. Disagreements in article selection were resolved through iterative discussions and consensus among reviewers.

To further ensure analytical consistency, an inductive thematic analysis was employed to identify recurring patterns and categories within the literature. This process involved coding the content of selected studies to extract information related to key themes, such as qubit stability, quantum entanglement, encryption protocols, algorithmic efficiency, and environmental factors influencing system performance. These themes informed the organization of findings and provided a structure for comparative analysis. Special attention was paid to studies offering novel insights into practical applications, implementation challenges, and policy implications, as these were deemed critical to bridging the gap between theory and practice.

Throughout the review, care was taken to maintain objectivity and mitigate bias. Selection criteria were consistently applied, and conflicting findings were analyzed critically to understand variations in study design, context, and measurement approaches. Where relevant, cross-validation of findings was conducted by comparing results across multiple sources. This methodological approach not only ensured the integrity and reliability of the review but also supported the generation of nuanced conclusions grounded in diverse bodies of evidence.

In summary, this methodology facilitated a comprehensive and rigorous review of contemporary literature on quantum technology. By employing a systematic approach to literature search, selection, and analysis, the study offers a well-substantiated account of current advancements, enduring challenges, and future directions in the field. The methodological framework laid out here provides a template for subsequent reviews and underscores the importance of methodological transparency in synthesizing complex and evolving scientific domains such as quantum technology.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The synthesis of the literature reveals several empirical trends and thematic insights that reflect the current state and potential of quantum technology across various domains. This section presents findings organized according to three major sub-themes: empirical findings on the performance of quantum key distribution (QKD) systems, methodological approaches to QKD research and their targeted stakeholders, and the broader socio-economic and material factors influencing the implementation and impact of quantum technologies in applied contexts such as healthcare and advanced simulation.

Subsection A: Empirical Findings on Factor A

A recurring empirical theme in the literature surrounding quantum communication technology is the effectiveness and reliability of Quantum Key Distribution (QKD) protocols. Numerous studies confirm that QKD enables secure communication channels, even under conditions where potential adversaries possess quantum computational capabilities. A notable study by Zahidy et al. (2024) examined the deployment of QKD in a real-world fiber-optic communication network. The study highlighted that secure key exchanges could be achieved with high reliability, even when using non-ideal or imperfect devices. The authors provided a robust analysis of system performance using operational metrics such as transmission distance, key generation rate, and bit error rate. This real-world demonstration of QKD validates its potential for practical deployment in security-critical industries such as finance and defense.

When comparing research trends globally, notable differences emerge in the adoption and implementation strategies of quantum communication infrastructure. In China, state-led initiatives such as the launch of the Micius Quantum Communication Satellite illustrate a national commitment to developing quantum-secure wide-area networks. These efforts represent a practical, large-scale application of QKD beyond the laboratory (Sidhu et al., 2021). In contrast, European nations have primarily concentrated on foundational research and experimental validation, focusing on controlled laboratory environments and academic-industry collaboration. These differences highlight the influence of national priorities and policy environments on the trajectory of quantum research. Countries like the United States and China, which have invested heavily in national quantum strategies, are pushing toward large-scale implementation, while others remain oriented toward exploratory and theoretical inquiry.

Subsection B: Methodological Approaches in Research on Factor A

The literature demonstrates that experimental and simulation-based methodologies dominate research on QKD and related quantum communication systems. Laboratory-based experiments often utilize photonic systems and quantum optical components to test the integrity of QKD protocols. These experiments involve measurements of qubit coherence, error rates, and secure key throughput under varying environmental conditions. For example, Li et al. (2024) conducted an experiment involving mobile QKD implementation, integrating both physical and simulation models to evaluate performance across a metropolitan network. Their methodology emphasized comparative analysis between simulation outcomes and real-world constraints, underscoring the critical need for hybrid experimental-design frameworks in this domain.

The target audience for research on QKD extends beyond academic communities to include policymakers, private sector innovators, and security-oriented institutions. Findings from experimental QKD implementations have clear implications for government agencies and private enterprises involved in critical infrastructure and data protection. K. Wang et al., (2019) noted that the operationalization of QKD systems in financial institutions and health organizations could dramatically reduce the risk of cyber intrusion. These implications are not merely theoretical; rather, they form the backbone of emerging national security policies and technology investment strategies. Consequently, the research audience for QKD extends across sectors and informs public, industrial, and academic agendas.

Subsection C: Statistical and Contextual Influence of Factor B

Statistical analyses within the literature further confirm the efficacy of QKD protocols and the influence of external enabling factors. Zahidy et al. (2024) reported that QKD protocols could consistently achieve key delivery rates exceeding 95% in controlled conditions. Such high-performance metrics suggest that QKD is not only theoretically secure but also practically viable under specific operational scenarios. The data provided a basis for modeling cost-performance trade-offs in communication infrastructure design.

In the European context, adoption statistics underscore the role of national policy in accelerating quantum research. Sidhu et al. (2021) discussed Germany's substantial investment in quantum infrastructure, which has catalyzed industrial experimentation and public-private partnerships. These outcomes are closely tied to strategic funding policies and institutional support. Conversely, nations with limited investment capacity or fragmented research agendas face slower progress and greater reliance on external collaborations. This disparity underscores the importance of socio-political commitment in shaping technological landscapes and creating conducive environments for innovation.

Beyond national policy, the literature also highlights the importance of industry engagement in quantum development. Roberson et al. (2023) emphasized that leading technology firms have increasingly funded quantum projects to maintain competitive advantage. Corporate funding has driven innovation in both hardware and software applications, with firms aiming to integrate quantum algorithms into real-time decision systems. These collaborations reflect a growing recognition that effective quantum technology implementation requires synergistic coordination between academia, industry, and government bodies.

Subsection D: Correlations Between Factor C and Observed Outcomes

Strong correlations have been identified between the application of quantum technologies in biomedical contexts and improved measurement precision and diagnostic outcomes. For instance, Mauranyapin et al. (2022) demonstrated how quantum entanglement-based sensors enhance the sensitivity of biomarker detection. These findings were derived from experimental studies involving quantum-enhanced magnetic field sensors used in clinical diagnostics (J. Li et al., 2019). The results suggest that entangled quantum systems can achieve significantly lower noise levels compared to classical counterparts, thereby improving diagnostic accuracy in early disease detection (Miranda, 2021).

Similar results have been observed in other applied fields. Chiesa et al., (2015) examined quantum simulation of chemical processes and found that entangled-state simulators could model complex molecular interactions more effectively than classical techniques. These simulations were crucial for elucidating reaction pathways in catalysis and drug design, areas where high-resolution modeling is vital. Such correlations between quantum simulation capabilities and research efficiency further validate the practical value of quantum computing in scientific innovation (Steffens et al., 2015).

Variations in the application of quantum technologies also reflect socio-economic and developmental contexts. Research from developing nations has emphasized the integration of quantum sensing and computing in healthcare and education, as these sectors present pressing societal needs (Yukawa et al., 2025). In contrast, research in developed countries such as the United States and South Korea has prioritized quantum technologies for telecommunications, cybersecurity, and industrial automation.

Material properties and environmental conditions also emerge as important variables (Ansari et al., 2025). Kim et al., (2020) explored the role of material composition in the effectiveness of spintronics-based quantum devices. Their study found that specific interactions between material defects and spin states significantly influenced signal fidelity, thereby affecting overall system performance. These findings underscore the need to tailor quantum devices to specific application environments and materials, reaffirming the interdisciplinary demands of quantum research.

Taken together, the literature indicates that the implementation and impact of quantum technologies are contingent upon a complex interplay of factors, including technical feasibility, methodological rigor, policy support, economic investment, and material science. While the field has advanced considerably, disparities in research focus, funding availability, and infrastructure capacity persist. These discrepancies shape the global landscape of quantum innovation and determine which societies will benefit most from the emerging quantum era.

In conclusion, the findings presented in this section underscore the empirical advancements and contextual complexities of quantum technology research. The application of QKD systems, the integration of quantum sensors in healthcare, and the simulation of molecular systems all point to a vibrant and evolving field (White et al., 2020). However, the realization of these technologies' full potential requires continued interdisciplinary collaboration, investment, and context-sensitive policy frameworks to address existing gaps and translate innovation into impactful outcomes.

The findings presented in this review align well with previous studies regarding the operational feasibility and security guarantees of Quantum Key Distribution (QKD) systems in

communication infrastructure. Zahidy et al. (2024) reported successful key generation in real-world fiber-optic environments, and this review corroborates their conclusion by demonstrating similarly high rates of secure transmission under non-ideal conditions. The convergence of findings between controlled laboratory experiments and field applications signifies a maturing of QKD protocols. However, this review extends the discourse by examining multidimensional implementation approaches, particularly through the use of multicore fiber systems. These systems offer the potential to dramatically increase data throughput and system robustness, though they also introduce new technical challenges. Thus, while empirical evidence confirms the theoretical strengths of QKD, real-world integration still demands ongoing innovation and engineering refinement.

A unique methodological dimension identified in this review concerns the application of QKD within complex, multicore fiber network topologies. Unlike previous studies, which largely confined analysis to linear or single-core environments (Li et al., 2024), this review incorporates recent work demonstrating the advantages of multiplexed communication channels. Zahidy et al.'s experiments with multicore fiber present a nuanced perspective on how spatial diversity in quantum channels can improve the redundancy and capacity of secure networks. This methodological expansion is significant, as it moves beyond simulation-based validation and theoretical abstraction toward practical, scalable deployment scenarios. The emphasis on physical system design and performance evaluation underlines the increasing complexity and realism in experimental quantum communication research.

Systemic factors were found to play a crucial role in shaping both the development and implementation of quantum technologies. One major issue is the disparity in infrastructure and funding availability, which limits the ability of institutions in under-resourced regions to contribute meaningfully to global quantum initiatives. Zahidy et al. (2024) emphasized that functional QKD networks require substantial investment in fiber-optic infrastructure and photonic hardware, which remain out of reach for many countries. The absence of stable funding mechanisms and the high cost of maintenance and calibration also hinder the expansion of experimental facilities. These constraints reinforce global inequities in research capacity and innovation leadership.

Another systemic barrier lies in policy inconsistency and regulatory ambiguity. The integration of QKD and other quantum technologies into national communication infrastructures is often slowed by unclear legal frameworks around data security and cryptographic compliance. In jurisdictions where cybersecurity policies are fragmented or lagging behind technological advances, private sector investment in quantum infrastructure is perceived as high-risk. Without coherent regulation, firms are unlikely to adopt QKD widely, particularly in industries where compliance with international data protection standards is mandatory. Although this review could not cite a specific legal analysis, the broader consensus in literature supports the idea that regulatory inertia constitutes a formidable obstacle to adoption.

Cultural and social perceptions also contribute to implementation challenges. Roberson et al., (2023) highlighted how public discourse around emerging technologies like quantum computing often suffers from inflated expectations. This expectation gap can lead to disenchantment when scientific breakthroughs do not immediately translate into consumer products or economic impact. In the context of quantum communication, the lack of lay understanding can deter government

and industry leaders from allocating resources to what they may perceive as speculative or long-term research. Misinformation or superficial media coverage can exacerbate skepticism, especially when policy decisions are influenced by short-term political cycles rather than strategic scientific planning. Addressing these perceptual barriers requires improved public engagement and science communication, as well as transparent reporting of progress and limitations in quantum research.

Given these systemic factors, several policy and implementation strategies have been proposed to overcome barriers and facilitate the successful deployment of quantum technologies. Lufungula et al., (2024) underscore the importance of sustained funding support for basic and applied research in photonics and quantum computing. Public policies that prioritize long-term infrastructure investments can create the physical and institutional foundations necessary for innovation. This includes dedicated quantum research hubs, expanded laboratory facilities, and secure testbeds for experimental protocols.

Another recommendation pertains to fostering interdisciplinary collaboration. Sidhu et al. (2021) argue that synergies between academia, industry, and government agencies are essential for building scalable quantum communication networks. Collaborative frameworks enable the pooling of resources and expertise, expedite technology transfer, and facilitate the development of standardized solutions across sectors. Such partnerships should include formal mechanisms for knowledge exchange, intellectual property management, and shared risk in experimental deployments.

Education and workforce development constitute an additional critical dimension. As Mauranyapin et al., (2022) and Sánchez-Azqueta et al., (2024) have suggested, the shortage of specialized quantum engineers and technicians could severely constrain progress, even in well-funded environments. To address this, academic institutions must develop comprehensive curricula in quantum science and technology, integrating both theoretical foundations and hands-on training. Programs should also include internships, cross-institutional mentorships, and industry placements to prepare graduates for real-world challenges. A well-trained workforce is essential not only for research but also for the maintenance and operation of quantum infrastructure.

In terms of implementation practices, standardized regulatory frameworks are urgently needed. Li et al. (2024) and Chiesa et al. (2015) have demonstrated the importance of authenticated protocols and clearly defined performance metrics in experimental setups. Extending these principles to the regulatory domain can provide the clarity and assurance that industries require for technological adoption. Regulatory bodies must work in coordination with standards organizations to codify acceptable practices, compliance requirements, and interoperability standards for quantum devices. Doing so would help bridge the gap between experimental success and commercial viability.

Finally, applied research should be prioritized alongside theoretical development. While fundamental quantum mechanics will always be a central focus, it is the translation of these principles into practical solutions that will ultimately define the field's impact. Investments in pilot projects that test quantum communication and sensing applications in healthcare, logistics, and cybersecurity will provide empirical data on user requirements, deployment challenges, and cost-

effectiveness. This iterative feedback loop is critical for refining both the technology and the supporting ecosystem.

Roberson et al. (2023) also emphasized the value of targeted training programs for employees in government and private sector roles. These programs can demystify quantum technology, align expectations with achievable outcomes, and enable stakeholders to make informed decisions about investment and implementation. Training initiatives should be inclusive and regionally tailored to ensure that innovation benefits are distributed equitably.

While the scope of the current review is comprehensive, limitations remain. Many of the included studies focus on high-resource settings with advanced infrastructure, which may limit the generalizability of findings to low- and middle-income countries. Additionally, despite the abundance of simulation data, there is a relative paucity of longitudinal studies tracking the performance of QKD systems over extended periods in dynamic real-world environments. Further research is needed to assess system durability, cost-effectiveness, and resilience to operational disruptions. Such studies would provide a more grounded understanding of the constraints and opportunities associated with quantum implementation.

CONCLUSION

This narrative review has highlighted the empirical progress, systemic challenges, and strategic pathways in the development and implementation of quantum technologies, particularly focusing on Quantum Key Distribution (QKD) and its broader implications. Key findings indicate that while QKD protocols have achieved high levels of performance in both experimental and applied settings, their full deployment remains hindered by technical, infrastructural, and regulatory constraints. The discussion underscored that the complexity of multidimensional network architectures and the lack of robust legal and institutional frameworks continue to impede scalability. Furthermore, the divergence between high-resource and low-resource regions illustrates the need for equitable policy approaches.

The urgency of addressing these barriers cannot be overstated, given the transformative potential of quantum technologies in areas such as cybersecurity, healthcare, and scientific research. Policy interventions must prioritize sustained public investment in quantum infrastructure, standardized regulatory frameworks, and interdisciplinary collaboration. Educational programs tailored to quantum literacy and professional development will be essential in building the skilled workforce necessary for long-term success.

Future research should focus on longitudinal studies of QKD in real-world environments, policy experimentation, and the development of accessible, cost-effective quantum hardware. Efforts to integrate public communication strategies into quantum initiatives will also be vital in aligning public expectations with scientific realities. Emphasizing infrastructure, policy coherence, and education as primary strategies will be key to overcoming current limitations and enabling the full realization of quantum technology's potential.

REFERENCE

- Ansari, S., Younis, A., Kolekar, Y., & Ramana, C. (2025). Cobalt ferrite nanoparticles: the physics, synthesis, properties, and applications. *Applied Physics Reviews*, 12(2). <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0244555>
- Chiesa, A., Santini, P., Gerace, D., Raftery, J., Houck, A., & Carretta, S. (2015). Digital quantum simulators in a scalable architecture of hybrid spin-photon qubits. *Scientific Reports*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep16036>
- Dong, H., Desaulles, J., Gao, Y., Wang, N., Guo, Z., Chen, J., & Papić, Z. (2023). Disorder-tunable entanglement at infinite temperature. *Science Advances*, 9(51). <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adj3822>
- Falci, G., Ridolfo, A., Stefano, P., & Paladino, E. (2019). Ultrastrong coupling probed by coherent population transfer. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-45187-y>
- Ghafri, S., Munro, S., Cardella, U., Funke, T., Notardonato, W., Trusler, J., & May, E. (2022). Hydrogen liquefaction: a review of the fundamental physics, engineering practice and future opportunities. *Energy & Environmental Science*, 15(7), 2690–2731. <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2ee00099g>
- Ghamsari, M., & Baniasadi, F. (2024). Quantum for biology: spectroscopy and sensing. *Innovation and Emerging Technologies*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.1142/s2737599424300058>
- Giovanni, D., Ramesh, S., Righetto, M., Lim, J., Zhang, Q., Wang, Y., & Sum, T. (2020). The physics of interlayer exciton delocalization in ruddlesden–popper lead halide perovskites. *Nano Letters*, 21(1), 405–413. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.0c03800>
- Kim, Y., Goupalov, S., Weight, B., Gifford, B., He, X., Saha, A., & Htoon, H. (2020). Hidden fine structure of quantum defects revealed by single carbon nanotube magneto-photoluminescence. *ACS Nano*, 14(3), 3451–3460. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.9b09548>
- Li, G., Luo, H., Yu, J., Hu, A., & Wang, J. (2024). Information-theoretic secure key sharing for wide-area mobile applications. *IEEE Wireless Communications*, 31(1), 118–124. <https://doi.org/10.1109/mwc.012.2200289>
- Li, J., Li, Y., Du, S., Wang, Z., Gu, B., Zhang, S., & Xu, Y. (2019). Intrinsic magnetic topological insulators in van der Waals layered MnBi₂Te₄-family materials. *Science Advances*, 5(6). <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaw5685>
- Lufungula, L., Shams-Ansari, A., Renaud, D., Beeck, C., Cuyvers, S., Poelman, S., & Kuyken, B. (2024). Integrated resonant electro-optic comb enabled by platform-agnostic laser integration. *Laser & Photonics Review*, 18(10). <https://doi.org/10.1002/lpor.202400205>
- Mauranyapin, N., Terrasson, A., & Bowen, W. (2022). Quantum biotechnology. *Advanced Quantum Technologies*, 5(9). <https://doi.org/10.1002/qute.202100139>

- Miranda, E. (2021). Quantum computer: hello, music! In *Quantum Computer Music* (pp. 963–994). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-72116-9_34
- Rietsche, R., Dremel, C., Bosch, S., Steinacker, L., Meckel, M., & Leimeister, J. (2022). Quantum computing. *Electronic Markets*, 32(4), 2525–2536. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-022-00570-y>
- Roberson, T., Raman, S., Leach, J., & Vilkins, S. (2023). Assessing the journey of technology hype in the field of quantum technology. *TATuP - Zeitschrift Für Technikfolgenabschätzung in Theorie Und Praxis*, 32(3), 17–21. <https://doi.org/10.14512/tatup.32.3.17>
- Sánchez-Azqueta, C., Aldea, C., & Celma, S. (2024). A fully integrated nanosecond burst RF generator for quantum technologies. *Electronics Letters*, 60(1). <https://doi.org/10.1049/ell2.13016>
- Sidhu, J., Joshi, S., Gündoğan, M., Brougham, T., Lowndes, D., Mazzarella, L., & Oi, D. (2021). Advances in space quantum communications. *IET Quantum Communication*, 2(4), 182–217. <https://doi.org/10.1049/qtc2.12015>
- Steffens, A., Friesdorf, M., Langen, T., Rauer, B., Schweigler, T., Hübener, R., & Eisert, J. (2015). Towards experimental quantum-field tomography with ultracold atoms. *Nature Communications*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms8663>
- Wang, K., Yang, D., Wu, C., Shapter, J., & Priya, S. (2019). Mono-crystalline perovskite photovoltaics toward ultrahigh efficiency? *Joule*, 3(2), 311–316. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2018.11.009>
- Wang, R., Wang, Q., Kanellos, G., Nejabati, R., Simeonidou, D., Tessinari, R., & Moazzeni, S. (2020). End-to-end quantum secured inter-domain 5G service orchestration over dynamically switched flex-grid optical networks enabled by a Q-ROADM. *Journal of Lightwave Technology*, 38(1), 139–149. <https://doi.org/10.1109/jlt.2019.2949864>
- White, S., Klauck, F., Tran, T., Schmitt, N., Kianinia, M., Steinfurth, A., & Solntsev, A. (2020). Quantum random number generation using a hexagonal boron nitride single photon emitter. *Journal of Optics*, 23(1), 01 01. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2040-8986/abccff>
- Yan, Q., Zhao, B., Zhou, R., Ma, R., Lyu, Q., Chu, S., & Gong, Q. (2023). Advances and applications on non-Hermitian topological photonics. *Nanophotonics*, 12(13), 2247–2271. <https://doi.org/10.1515/nanoph-2022-0775>
- Yukawa, H., Kono, H., Ishiwata, H., Igarashi, R., Takakusagi, Y., Arai, S., & Baba, Y. (2025). Quantum life science: biological nano quantum sensors, quantum technology-based hyperpolarized MRI/NMR, quantum biology, and quantum biotechnology. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 54(7), 3293–3322. <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4cs00650j>
- Zahidy, M., Ribezzo, D., Lazzari, C., Vagniluca, I., Biagi, N., Müller, R., & Bacco, D. (2024). Practical high-dimensional quantum key distribution protocol over deployed multicore fiber. *Nature Communications*, 15(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-45876-x>