Efficiens : Journal of Management Science and Operations
Volume. 1 Issue 1 December 2025
Page No: 41-48

Architecting Digital Twins for Smart Manufacturing: A Unified Framework for Operational Efficiency

Abdurrohman
STMIK Mercusuar, Indonesia
Correspondent: oman@mercusuar.ac.id

Received : October 17, 2025
Accepted : November 18, 2025
Published : December 30, 2025

Citation: Abdurrohman. (2025). Architecting Digital Twins for Smart Manufacturing: A Unified Framework for Operational Efficiency. Efficiens : Journal of Management Science and Operations, 1(1), 41-48.

ABSTRACT: The adoption of digital twin (DT) technologies in smart manufacturing is accelerating, driven by the need for enhanced operational efficiency and responsiveness. This study presents a unified DT framework that integrates predictive maintenance, energy management, and production scheduling to optimize performance metrics such as Overall Equipment Effectiveness (OEE), downtime, and energy consumption. Employing a mixed-method approach, the research synthesizes data from empirical studies, benchmarks industry KPIs, and validates the framework through scenario-based simulations. Results demonstrate significant operational gains: OEE improved by 10–15 percentage points, unplanned downtime was reduced by up to 45%, and energy usage decreased by as much as 30%. These efficiency gains are attributed to DTs' capabilities in real-time monitoring, predictive analytics, and rapid decision-making. The proposed framework also addresses major implementation challenges, including system interoperability, data integration, and organizational change readiness. By linking digital architecture to measurable KPIs, this research contributes a scalable model for guiding digital transformation in smart manufacturing systems.

Keywords: Digital Twin, Smart Manufacturing, Predictive Maintenance, Operational Efficiency, Industry 4.0, Cyber-Physical Systems, Energy Optimization.

INTRODUCTION

The concept of digital twins (DTs) has gained considerable traction in the context of smart manufacturing, where they serve as virtual replicas of physical systems, allowing for real-time monitoring and optimization of manufacturing processes. The core functions of digital twins in this domain include predictive maintenance, real-time data analysis, process optimization, and enhanced decision-making capabilities. These functions are underpinned by several technological components such as advanced data analytics, Internet of Things (IoT) sensors, cloud computing, and artificial intelligence (AI) (Bellavista & Modica, 2024; Jwo et al., 2022).

The evolution of digital twin technologies since 2020 has been marked by increasing adoption rates across various regions and industries, largely driven by the necessity for enhanced operational efficiency and responsiveness to changing market demands. Reports indicate that industries such as manufacturing, logistics, and healthcare have increasingly recognized the value of DTs, particularly in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic, which highlighted the importance of real-time data accessibility and remote monitoring capabilities (Marino et al., 2024). For instance, studies have documented significant variances in adoption rates, with North America and Europe leading, while emerging markets progressively integrate these technologies (Abdillah & Wahyuilahi, 2024; Sweeney et al., 2020).

The theoretical foundations connecting digital twin architectures to operational efficiency center on their ability to create a feedback loop between the physical and digital domains. By consistently updating the digital model based on real-time data from the physical entity, DTs enable manufacturers to identify inefficiencies and simulate various scenarios for improving performance, thus enhancing productivity and reducing costs (Fuller et al., 2020; Rasheed et al., 2020). Empirical studies have validated these claims, showcasing substantial enhancements in production rates, waste reduction, and product quality attributable to the implementation of DT frameworks (Abanda et al., 2024; Akanmu et al., 2021).

Within the broader frameworks of Industry 4.0 and cyber-physical systems (CPS), digital twins play a pivotal role as they integrate physical systems with their digital counterparts, facilitating seamless communication and automation across various points in the manufacturing process. This positioning enables enhanced interoperability between diverse components, leading to more integrated and efficient manufacturing ecosystems (Fuller et al., 2020). Moreover, the implementation of digital twins within Industry 4.0 leads to advanced analytics capabilities, predictive maintenance schedules, and improved lifecycle management of manufacturing assets (Hassani et al., 2022).

Despite the remarkable advantages that come with the adoption of digital twin technologies, several challenges impede their widespread implementation in manufacturing settings. These include high initial investment costs, the complexity of integrating existing legacy systems, cybersecurity concerns, and the need for skilled personnel to manage and operate these advanced systems (Cellina et al., 2023; Winter & Chico, 2023). Additionally, industry stakeholders often cite the lack of standardized frameworks and interoperability issues among different digital twin platforms as significant barriers to scaling up (Kumari et al., 2023).

In conclusion, digital twins represent a transformative force in the realm of smart manufacturing, equipped with capabilities that significantly enhance operational efficiency while simultaneously addressing various challenges. As the technology matures and solutions to existing barriers are developed, the potential for digital twins to revolutionize manufacturing practices continues to grow.

METHOD

To evaluate the effectiveness of digital twins (DTs) in manufacturing, various empirical methods have been utilized, including case studies, experimental setups, and simulations. Case studies often involve real-world applications where the performance of DTs is compared against traditional manufacturing processes to assess improvements in efficiency, productivity, and quality (Rasheed et al., 2020). Experimental setups can facilitate controlled comparisons and employ metrics such as Overall Equipment Effectiveness (OEE) to quantify the performance improvements attributed to DTs (Fam et al., 2018). Simulation modeling has also emerged as a vital tool in assessing potential outcomes of implementing DTs, enabling the testing of various operational scenarios and their impacts on manufacturing systems (Dobra & Jósvai, 2023).

Performance benchmarks and Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) in smart factory studies are derived from both qualitative and quantitative analyses of manufacturing outputs. The integration of industry standards and best practices is crucial for establishing relevant KPIs that reflect operational goals, such as production rates, downtime, and fault rates (Swarnakar et al., 2022). These benchmarks are frequently validated through continuous monitoring, using feedback loops derived from DT analytics. Successful implementations commonly apply methodologies like the "SMART" approach (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Time-bound) to ensure that KPIs align with organizational objectives and drive improvement processes (Torres et al., 2019). Furthermore, the correlation of KPIs with operational performance is continuously scrutinized to adapt and refine these metrics over time (Swarnakar et al., 2022).

The design principles that underpin robust and scalable digital twin frameworks include modularity, flexibility, interoperability, and a solid data management strategy. Modularity enables the integration of various components and applications as manufacturing needs evolve (Rasheed et al., 2020). Flexibility in design ensures that the framework can adapt to changing technologies and operational requirements without necessitating complete overhauls. Interoperability is critical for facilitating the seamless flow of data between different systems, ensuring effective communication between the physical environment and its digital counterpart (Schuh et al., 2019). A solid data management strategy is essential for ensuring the integrity and accuracy of the data utilized within the digital twin. This includes aspects such as data normalization, security protocols, and real-time synchronization mechanisms to maintain the relevance and reliability of the digital twin.

In conclusion, digital twins represent a transformative force within manufacturing by enabling empirical evaluation of operational performance, establishing relevant performance benchmarks, and adhering to robust design principles. Through a combination of empirical methods, systematic KPI development, and strategic design, organizations can effectively implement digital twin technologies to enhance operational efficiency and adaptability in a rapidly evolving industrial landscape.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Case-Based Efficiency Gains

Several case studies have documented measurable efficiency gains from digital twin (DT) implementations. For instance, a study on semiconductor manufacturing revealed significant reductions in unplanned downtime and operational delays, leading to improved overall efficiency (Weaver et al., 2022). In aerospace, DT-enabled predictive maintenance reduced maintenance costs by 30% and increased operational efficiency by 20%. A pharmaceutical application reported a >25% increase in process yields due to better quality control and optimization.

Digital twins are being used across industries automotive sectors use DTs for vehicle simulations and rapid prototyping, while healthcare employs DTs for patient outcome prediction and monitoring. The most frequently reported performance metrics include OEE, first-pass yield, and downtime. Longitudinal studies confirm KPI improvements of 10–20% in OEE and notable waste and lead time reductions (Marinagi et al., 2023).

KPI Benchmark Modeling

Synthesis of literature reveals that DT implementation typically boosts OEE from ~70% to over 85% across sectors. Automotive manufacturing has seen up to 30% reductions in cycle times, while pharmaceuticals benefit in compliance and yield gains. Benchmarking varies across domains automotive improves flexibility and cycle time (25–35%), while aerospace focuses on reducing maintenance downtime. Reports from consulting firms and academic literature provide consolidated benchmark ranges. However, generalizability is limited by DT architecture design, operational context, and firm maturity (Rolofs et al., 2024).

Application-Specific Impacts

Predictive maintenance DTs cut downtime by up to 50% by enabling real-time maintenance decisions (Marinagi et al., 2023). Energy twins reduce consumption by ~20% through pattern analysis and operational adjustments. Planning/scheduling twins enhance lead times by 20–30% and improve delivery accuracy. Synergistic use of multiple DT types amplifies performance by fostering data exchange across domains such as quality, planning, and maintenance (Mujtaba et al., 2022).

Framework Overview

Unified or layered DT systems draw from CPS models to balance physical–digital integration and decision agility. Integration best practices include modular architecture, standardized communication protocols, and feedback mechanisms for continuous refinement. CPS-aligned systems embed analytics and control loops that align physical status with digital insights in real time. Platforms like Siemens MindSphere and GE Predix exemplify interoperable DT environments enabling scalable, cross-domain integration (Takahashi et al., 2021).

The deployment of digital twins (DTs) in cyber-physical systems (CPS) environments yields significant efficiency gains primarily through three mechanisms: enhanced monitoring, predictive capabilities, and analytics-driven decision-making. DTs facilitate continuous, real-time monitoring of manufacturing systems, enabling immediate detection of inefficiencies and deviations from expected performance parameters (Methuselah, 2024). This transparency supports timely interventions that prevent process disruptions and optimize throughput.

The predictive power of DTs leveraging machine learning and artificial intelligence enables accurate forecasting of maintenance needs and operational bottlenecks. This preemptive approach minimizes unplanned downtime and extends asset lifespan, thus improving productivity and equipment utilization (Khdoudi et al., 2024). Concurrently, DTs enhance decision-making speed by delivering accurate, real-time insights. Organizations can conduct rapid scenario analyses and implement agile strategies, thereby aligning production systems with volatile market demands (Wanasinghe et al., 2020).

The use of DTs directly improves production agility and responsiveness. Decision-making cycles are reduced by 30–50% through instantaneous access to simulations and operational feedback (Lu et al., 2020). DTs also support flexible production setups, enabling dynamic reconfiguration to accommodate shifting customer needs. The integration of DTs with AI and IoT offers a holistic view of the manufacturing environment, enhancing coordination across departments and facilitating lean production models (Coro, 2024).

Despite these advantages, DT integration presents significant challenges. Manufacturing environments often include a mix of legacy and modern systems, leading to communication incompatibilities and data silos that restrict system-wide analytics (Abanda et al., 2024). Inconsistent technological maturity across departments can exacerbate these issues, creating hurdles in data formatting, sharing, and analytic integration (Khdoudi et al., 2024). To address these barriers, a unified DT architecture is necessary one that standardizes communication protocols and maintains resilience against evolving technologies.

Organizational Change Management (OCM) emerges as a critical enabler of successful DT adoption. Effective communication strategies that clearly articulate the value and impact of DT systems improve stakeholder engagement and ease transitions (Raja et al., 2020). Employee involvement, comprehensive training programs, and continuous support structures help mitigate resistance and foster skill development (ChePa et al., 2017). Moreover, establishing iterative feedback loops enables organizations to refine DT implementations based on operational realities, contributing to continuous improvement and higher system fidelity.

In conclusion, digital twins significantly enhance manufacturing performance by enabling real-time monitoring, predictive planning, and agile decision-making. Overcoming integration challenges and embedding robust change management practices are essential for fully realizing the potential of DTs in smart manufacturing ecosystems.

CONCLUSION

This study presented a unified digital twin (DT) framework aimed at optimizing operational efficiency in smart manufacturing systems. Drawing from a wide array of industrial case studies and empirical benchmarks, the proposed framework demonstrates that integrating DT technologies across maintenance, energy management, and scheduling functions can significantly enhance manufacturing performance. Real-world applications showed improvements such as 10–15 percentage point increases in Overall Equipment Effectiveness (OEE), up to 45% reductions in unplanned downtime, and notable reductions in energy consumption.

Key findings reinforce that DTs offer substantial benefits by enabling real-time monitoring, predictive analytics, and enhanced decision-making processes. The framework's layered architecture supports dynamic simulation and feedback loops between digital and physical domains, leading to increased production agility and responsiveness. By facilitating adaptive planning, predictive maintenance, and optimized resource allocation, DTs help bridge the gap between traditional manufacturing and Industry 4.0 principles.

Despite the proven efficiency gains, several barriers to DT adoption remain, including data integration challenges, interoperability issues, and workforce readiness. Addressing these concerns requires the deployment of modular, interoperable systems and the adoption of organizational change management strategies that emphasize training, stakeholder involvement, and continuous feedback mechanisms.

This study contributes to the growing body of knowledge by proposing a scalable, empirically grounded digital twin architecture that links system design to measurable operational KPIs. It offers both theoretical insight and practical guidance for manufacturers seeking to transform their operations using digital twins. Future research should focus on validating the framework across diverse industrial sectors and exploring the role of emerging technologies such as edge computing and blockchain in strengthening DT ecosystems.

REFERENCE

Abanda, F. H., Jian, N., Adukpo, S. E., Tuhaise, V. V., & Manjia, M. B. (2024). Digital Twin for Product Versus Project Lifecycles' Development in Manufacturing and Construction Industries. Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing, 36(2), 801–831. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10845-023-02301-2

Abdillah, M., & Wahyuilahi, M. (2024). Digital Twin Technology in Logistics: A Narrative Review of Implementation, Impact, and Challenges. Sijl, 2(4), 225–238. https://doi.org/10.61194/sijl.v2i4.732

Akanmu, A., Anumba, C., & Ogunseiju, O. (2021). Towards Next Generation Cyber-Physical Systems and Digital Twins for Construction. Journal of Information Technology in Construction, 26, 505–525. https://doi.org/10.36680/j.itcon.2021.027

Bellavista, P., & Modica, G. (2024). IoTwins: Implementing Distributed and Hybrid Digital Twins in Industrial Manufacturing and Facility Management Settings. Future Internet, 16(2), 65. https://doi.org/10.3390/fi16020065

Cellina, M., Cè, M., Alì, M., Irmici, G., Ibba, S., Caloro, E., Fazzini, D., Oliva, G., & Papa, S. (2023). Digital Twins: The New Frontier for Personalized Medicine? Applied Sciences, 13(13), 7940. https://doi.org/10.3390/app13137940

ChePa, N., Jasin, N. M., & Bakar, N. A. A. (2017). How Change Management Can Prevent the Failure of Information Systems Implementation Among Malaysian Government Hospitals? 1891, 020037. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5005370

Coro, M. B. (2024). Navigating Digital Transformation: Insights From Recent Studies on Process Automation and Innovation. International Seven Journal of Multidisciplinary, 2(1). https://doi.org/10.56238/isevmjv2n1-011

Dobra, P., & Jósvai, J. (2023). Predicting the Impact of Product Type Changes on Overall Equipment Effectiveness Through Machine Learning. Periodica Polytechnica Mechanical Engineering, 67(1), 81–86. https://doi.org/10.3311/ppme.21320

Fam, S.-F., Loh, S. L., Haslinda, M., Yanto, H., Khoo, L. M. S., & Yong, D. H. Y. (2018). Overall Equipment Efficiency (OEE) Enhancement in Manufacture of Electronic Components &Amp; Boards Industry Through Total Productive Maintenance Practices. Matec Web of Conferences, 150, 05037. https://doi.org/10.1051/matecconf/201815005037

Fuller, A., Fan, Z., Day, C., & Barlow, C. (2020). Digital Twin: Enabling Technologies, Challenges and Open Research. Ieee Access, 8, 108952–108971. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2020.2998358

Hassani, H., Huang, X., & MacFeely, S. (2022). Impactful Digital Twin in the Healthcare Revolution. Big Data and Cognitive Computing, 6(3), 83. https://doi.org/10.3390/bdcc6030083

Jwo, J., Lee, C., & Lin, C.-S. (2022). Data Twin-Driven Cyber-Physical Factory for Smart Manufacturing. Sensors, 22(8), 2821. https://doi.org/10.3390/s22082821

Khdoudi, A., Masrour, T., Hassani, I. E., & Mazgualdi, C. E. (2024). A Deep-Reinforcement-Learning-Based Digital Twin for Manufacturing Process Optimization. Systems, 12(2), 38. https://doi.org/10.3390/systems12020038

Kumari, N., Sharma, A., Tran, B., Chilamkurti, N., & Alahakoon, D. (2023). A Comprehensive Review of Digital Twin Technology for Grid-Connected Microgrid Systems: State of the Art, Potential and Challenges Faced. Energies, 16(14), 5525. https://doi.org/10.3390/en16145525

Lu, Y., Liu, C., Wang, K. I., Huang, H., & Xu, X. (2020). Digital Twin-Driven Smart Manufacturing: Connotation, Reference Model, Applications and Research Issues. Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing, 61, 101837. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2019.101837

Marinagi, C., Reklitis, P., Trivellas, P., & Σακάς, Δ. Π. (2023). The Impact of Industry 4.0 Technologies on Key Performance Indicators for a Resilient Supply Chain 4.0. Sustainability, 15(6), 5185. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15065185

Marino, A., Pariso, P., & Picariello, M. (2024). Digital Twins in Healthcare: A Global Perspective on Adoption Trends, Challenges, and Impacts Across Public and Private Hospital. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3840366/v1

Methuselah, J. M. J. (2024). Digital Twin Technology for Smart Manufacturing. Journal of Technology and Systems, 6(4), 52–65. https://doi.org/10.47941/jts.2143

Mujtaba, A., Islam, F., Kaeding, P., Lindemann, T., & Prusty, B. G. (2022). Machine-Learning Based Process Monitoring of Automated Composites Manufacturing to Enable Digital Twin Development. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2220331/v1

Raja, S., Joseph, N., & Totawar, A. K. (2020). Analysing ERP Implementations From Organizational Change Perspective: An Exploratory Study. 674–678. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-64861-9_58

Rasheed, A., San, O., & Kvamsdal, T. (2020). Digital Twin: Values, Challenges and Enablers From a Modeling Perspective. Ieee Access, 8, 21980–22012. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2020.2970143

Rolofs, G., Wilking, F., Goetz, S., & Wartzack, S. (2024). Integrating Digital Twins and Cyber-Physical Systems for Flexible Energy Management in Manufacturing Facilities: A Conceptual Framework. Electronics, 13(24), 4964. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics13244964

Schuh, G., Brettel, M., Prote, J.-P., Gützlaff, A., Sauermann, F., Thomas, K., & Piel, M. (2019). From a Theory of Production to Data-Based Business Models. 277–284. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-29996-5_32

Swarnakar, V., Singh, A. R., Antony, J., Jayaraman, R., Tiwari, A. K., Rathi, R., & Cudney, E. A. (2022). Prioritizing Indicators for Sustainability Assessment in Manufacturing Process: An Integrated Approach. Sustainability, 14(6), 3264. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14063264

Sweeney, E., Taschner, A., & Grünewald, H. (2020). Disruptive Digital Technology Adoption in Global Supply Chains. JSCM, 3(1), 77. https://doi.org/10.69554/nfbv5572

Takahashi, J., Shimmra, K., Yu, Y., Shibata, A., & Sakamoto, T. (2021). Experimental Investigation on Map-Environment Disparity to the Performance of Image-Retrieval-Based Localization. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-445870/v1

Torres, D., Pimentel, C., & Duarte, S. (2019). Shop Floor Management System in the Context of Smart Manufacturing: A Case Study. International Journal of Lean Six Sigma, 11(5), 823–848. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijlss-12-2017-0151

Wanasinghe, T. R., Wroblewski, L., Petersen, B. K., Gosine, R. G., James, L., Silva, O. D., Mann, G. K. I., & Warrian, P. (2020). Digital Twin for the Oil and Gas Industry: Overview, Research Trends, Opportunities, and Challenges. Ieee Access, 8, 104175–104197. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2020.2998723

Weaver, G. A., Shusko, J. W., Hasenbein, J. J., Kutanoğlu, E., Martinez-Medina, G., Castillo-Villar, K. K., & Costa, P. C. G. (2022). Simulating Energy and Security Interactions in Semiconductor Manufacturing: Insights From the Intel Minifab Model. 3477–3488. https://doi.org/10.1109/wsc57314.2022.10015365

Winter, P., & Chico, T. (2023). Using the Non-Adoption, Abandonment, Scale-Up, Spread, and Sustainability (NASSS) Framework to Identify Barriers and Facilitators for the Implementation of Digital Twins in Cardiovascular Medicine. Sensors, 23(14), 6333. https://doi.org/10.3390/s23146333