Eduscape: Journal of Education Insight
E-ISSN : 3026-5231
Volume. 3, Issue 4, October 2025
Page No: 204-217

Rethinking Teacher Professional Development for the Digital Era: A Narrative Review

Ani Siti Anisah[1], Masripah[2], Mentari Juanda Putri[3]
[123]Universitas Garut, Indonesia
Correspondent : sitianisah@uniga.ac.id[1]

Received : August 21, 2025
Accepted : October 1, 2025
Published : October 31, 2025

Citation: Anisah, A, S., Maspirah., Putri, M, J. (2025). Rethinking Teacher Professional Development for the Digital Era: A Narrative Review. Eduscape: Journal of Education Insight, 3(4), 204-217.

ABSTRACT: This narrative review examines teacher professional development (TPD) in the digital age, particularly following the acceleration of digitalization during the COVID-19 pandemic. The study analyzes best practices, challenges, and strategies for effective TPD. Literature was collected from Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar using keywords such as teacher professional development, technology integration, artificial intelligence in education, blended learning, and the TPACK framework. Inclusion criteria covered peer-reviewed studies from 2010 to 2025 focusing on digital competencies, pedagogical integration, and professional training outcomes. Findings highlight four themes: technology integration that enhances pedagogy and student outcomes; collaboration through professional learning communities that strengthen engagement; inequities in access and readiness, especially between urban and rural teachers; and ethical concerns, including professional identity in AI adoption. Systemic factors such as infrastructure, policies, and institutional culture strongly influence effectiveness. Although digital tools provide clear benefits, their potential is limited without supportive ecosystems. The discussion emphasizes sustainable, context-relevant training, collaborative environments, equitable access, and embedding ethics in TPD. Future research should prioritize longitudinal studies to assess long-term impacts. Overall, effective TPD is crucial for fostering inclusive, innovative, and ethically grounded education in the digital era..

Keywords: Teacher Professional Development, Digital Age, Technology Integration, Professional Learning Communities, Artificial Intelligence In Education, Educational Policy, Digital Literacy.

INTRODUCTION

The rapid advancement of digital technologies has transformed the educational landscape. This shift underscores the importance of teacher professional development (TPD) to equip educators with the skills needed in this evolving environment. The global shift towards digitalization in education became particularly evident during the COVID-19 pandemic, which compelled

institutions worldwide to adopt online and hybrid learning modalities almost overnight. This sudden transition exposed critical gaps in teachers' readiness to effectively integrate digital tools into pedagogical practices. Studies have reported that many educators felt underprepared to utilize new technologies in meaningful ways, thereby highlighting the urgent need for professional development programs that emphasize digital competencies (Portillo et al., 2020; Diao et al., 2023). The expansion of TPD beyond mere technical training towards incorporating pedagogical and psychological dimensions of technology use has become a cornerstone in ensuring effective learning outcomes in the digital age (Diz-Otero et al., 2022; Usart et al., 2020).

Current evidence reflects an uneven distribution of digital readiness among teachers globally, suggesting that despite high levels of basic digital literacy, there remain considerable deficits in advanced competencies necessary for professional application. For instance, it has been reported that while approximately 92% of teachers demonstrate basic digital literacy, only about 30% exhibit adequate professional information and communication technology (ICT) competence (Althubyani, 2024). Such findings underscore the critical challenges educators face in integrating digital tools into their day-to-day teaching practices. Geographical disparities exacerbate this issue, as research in Vietnam reveals marked differences in digital access and competence between urban and rural teachers, with the latter consistently disadvantaged in terms of resources and training opportunities (Nhung et al., 2025). These disparities highlight the necessity of tailored initiatives aimed at promoting equitable access to digital resources and training across varied educational contexts.

The integration of advanced digital technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), virtual reality (VR), and augmented reality (AR) has further intensified the need for reimagining TPD frameworks. These technologies not only augment instructional delivery but also reshape teacher-student interactions and content engagement (Rubi & Sharma, 2024; Topalska, 2024). Incorporating such innovations into professional development requires adaptive, collaborative training models that build both technical proficiency and pedagogical confidence (Čakāne, 2025). Without such comprehensive support, teachers risk underutilizing these technologies, thereby limiting their potential to transform educational experiences.

Global and regional statistics converge on the conclusion that addressing deficits in digital competence among teachers is an urgent educational priority. Sustainable, systematic, and ongoing professional development has been widely recognized as a cornerstone for preparing educators to meet the demands of twenty-first-century classrooms (Yadav, 2024; Setiawan, 2024). Yet, significant challenges persist. Chief among these is the insufficient digital competence among a large proportion of educators, which directly impedes the effective application of digital tools in instructional practice (Althubyani, 2024). Compounding this problem are structural issues such as heavy workloads and insufficient time, which prevent teachers from engaging in skill development alongside their instructional responsibilities (Morska et al., 2022).

In addition to these barriers, uncertainty surrounding digital pedagogy remains a formidable challenge. Teachers are often tasked not only with learning how to operate digital tools but also with mastering the art of integrating them in ways that support interactive and engaging learning environments (Torres et al., 2020). Effective digital pedagogy requires adaptation of traditional

instructional strategies to align with technology-enhanced modalities. Empirical evidence demonstrates that participation in professional training and collaborative communities of practice enhances teachers' capacity to integrate digital tools effectively (Portillo et al., 2020; Thannimalai & Raman, 2018). However, access to such professional opportunities is inconsistent, particularly in underserved rural areas where teachers face additional barriers to technological adoption (Nhung et al., 2025; Li, 2024).

Despite considerable attention to digital competencies, notable gaps in the literature persist. While several studies have attempted to measure teachers' digital proficiency, relatively few have examined the long-term impact of professional development programs on classroom practices and student outcomes (Ma et al., 2025). Moreover, there is limited research that links specific training models to tangible improvements in teaching effectiveness and student learning achievements. The absence of rigorous, outcome-based evaluations has hindered the identification of best practices in digital professional development (Lucena et al., 2019). These gaps justify the need for comprehensive reviews and analyses to advance the discourse on effective strategies for preparing educators to excel in digital teaching environments.

Against this backdrop, the primary objective of this narrative review is to systematically analyze and synthesize existing evidence on digital-age TPD, with a focus on identifying effective practices, contextual challenges, and innovative strategies. The review seeks to evaluate how professional development initiatives have contributed to improving teachers' digital competence, confidence, and instructional efficacy. Additionally, it aims to uncover structural and contextual barriers that impede the success of these initiatives and propose strategies to overcome them. By consolidating findings across diverse settings, the review aspires to offer a nuanced understanding of the multifaceted dimensions of TPD in the digital age.

The scope of this review spans multiple geographic contexts, with particular attention to regions where disparities in digital readiness are most pronounced. For instance, in Europe, policy-driven integration of digitalization into national curricula has shaped the trajectory of TPD by emphasizing institutional support and collaborative engagement with stakeholders (Portillo et al., 2020; Wang & Chu, 2023; Topalska, 2024). Conversely, Southeast Asian contexts often grapple with resource constraints, necessitating localized approaches that account for socioeconomic factors influencing both teachers and students (Nhung et al., 2025; Ibda et al., 2023). Vietnam, in particular, exemplifies the digital divide between urban and rural education systems, providing critical insights into how context mediates the success of professional development initiatives. In the Americas, emphasis has been placed on flexible blended learning models and innovative digital platforms that cater to diverse student populations (Morska et al., 2022; Althubyani, 2024). Such comparative perspectives are vital for understanding how contextual variables shape the design, implementation, and outcomes of TPD programs in the digital era.

In sum, the transformation of teacher professional development in the digital age represents both a necessity and an opportunity. As education systems worldwide continue to grapple with the demands of technological integration, the imperative for well-structured, inclusive, and context-sensitive professional development has never been greater. This introduction has outlined the urgency of addressing digital competency gaps, the persistent challenges teachers face, the lacunae

in current scholarship, and the objectives and scope of this review. By situating the discussion within a global comparative framework, this study seeks to advance scholarly and practical understanding of how to effectively support educators in navigating and shaping the digital transformation of education.

METHOD

This study employed a narrative review methodology designed to systematically collect, analyze, and synthesize research on teacher professional development (TPD) in the digital age. Given the rapid evolution of digital tools and pedagogical approaches, it was critical to ensure that the search strategy encompassed a broad yet academically rigorous set of sources. The process followed several stages: (1) title screening, (2) abstract review, (3) full-text analysis, and (4) quality appraisal. These were conducted across multiple academic databases with clear inclusion and exclusion criteria to ensure a comprehensive overview of the field.

The primary sources for literature retrieval were Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. Each database was selected due to its distinct advantages in ensuring both breadth and quality of coverage. Scopus and Web of Science were prioritized for their inclusion of peer-reviewed and high-impact journals that are internationally recognized, thus offering a reliable foundation for the review (Langset et al., 2018; Portillo et al., 2020). Google Scholar, while less stringent in its indexing, was also employed to capture a wider spectrum of sources, including theses, conference proceedings, and reports. This strategy aimed to provide a more holistic perspective on the topic, despite the inherent challenge of encountering non-peer-reviewed material (Yuktirat et al., 2018). The combination of these databases enabled a balance between academic rigor and inclusivity of diverse scholarly perspectives.

The literature search was guided by a carefully curated set of keywords that reflected the main themes and dimensions of TPD in the digital era. Core keywords included "teacher professional development," "digital age," "technology integration," "AI in education," "blended learning," and "TPACK framework" (Diz-Otero et al., 2022; Althubyani, 2024; Kasemsap, 2017). These terms were chosen to capture the dual focus of digital competence and pedagogical innovation that underpins current discourse in teacher training. The inclusion of the "TPACK framework" was particularly significant, as it provides a conceptual lens for analyzing the intersections of technological, pedagogical, and content knowledge in teaching practices (Morska et al., 2022; Wahono et al., 2025). Supplementary terms such as "digital literacy," "educational technology," "professional development programs," and "online learning" were incorporated to broaden the scope of retrieval and to identify studies that approached teacher training from varying angles (Reichenberg & Andreassen, 2018; Golodov et al., 2022). This keyword strategy was refined iteratively to ensure coverage of both foundational studies and cutting-edge developments in the field.

To maintain methodological rigor, clear inclusion and exclusion criteria were established at the outset of the search process. Studies were included if they focused explicitly on teacher

professional development within digital contexts, addressed the integration of educational technologies into teaching practices, or examined the outcomes of professional training programs aimed at enhancing digital competencies. Research published in peer-reviewed journals between 2010 and 2025 was prioritized to capture contemporary developments while retaining historical continuity. Studies that discussed teacher education in non-digital contexts, lacked empirical or theoretical grounding, or were limited to opinion pieces without analytical depth were excluded. Non-English articles were generally excluded to maintain consistency of analysis, though exceptions were made for high-quality studies in other languages if they provided significant insights into regional practices or addressed contexts underrepresented in English-language scholarship.

The review incorporated a range of study types to capture the complexity and multidimensionality of TPD in the digital age. Randomized controlled trials (RCTs), quasi-experimental studies, longitudinal cohort analyses, case studies, and qualitative investigations were all included, provided they met the criteria of relevance and methodological soundness. Quantitative studies were particularly valuable for offering empirical evidence on outcomes such as teacher digital competence, confidence, and student learning impact. Qualitative and mixed-methods studies, meanwhile, enriched the analysis by providing nuanced perspectives on teacher experiences, contextual challenges, and implementation barriers. This diverse methodological pool ensured that the review was not limited to isolated forms of evidence but instead reflected the dynamic interplay of different research traditions in the field.

The process of literature selection followed a multi-stage approach designed to enhance transparency and reduce bias. Initially, all retrieved articles were screened at the title and abstract level to assess their relevance. Those that met the preliminary criteria were then examined in full text to evaluate methodological rigor, contextual focus, and substantive contribution to the research questions. Duplicate records across databases were removed to ensure accuracy in data handling. The final set of articles was evaluated using an adapted set of quality appraisal criteria, focusing on clarity of research design, robustness of data collection and analysis, and alignment with the central themes of the review. Studies that met these standards were retained for synthesis.

Data extraction and evaluation were conducted systematically to identify recurring themes, patterns, and divergences across the literature. Each study was coded according to its research focus, methodological approach, geographic setting, and key findings. This process facilitated the identification of thematic clusters, such as digital literacy gaps among teachers, the impact of AI and VR in training programs, the role of professional learning communities, and disparities in digital readiness between rural and urban contexts. Cross-comparison across geographic regions allowed the review to contextualize findings within global patterns while acknowledging local variations.

Finally, the synthesis process integrated both descriptive and analytical dimensions. On the one hand, it mapped the distribution of research across different themes and regions, thereby illustrating the breadth of scholarship in this area. On the other hand, it critically examined the depth and quality of evidence supporting claims about effective TPD practices, thereby highlighting gaps that warrant further investigation. The methodological process thus aimed not

only to collate existing knowledge but also to advance scholarly debate by identifying strengths, weaknesses, and emerging opportunities within the literature.

In conclusion, the methodology of this narrative review combined the rigor of systematic search strategies with the flexibility needed to capture a rapidly evolving field. By drawing upon multiple databases, employing targeted and expansive keywords, applying stringent inclusion and exclusion criteria, and incorporating diverse study types, the review sought to provide a comprehensive, balanced, and analytically rich account of teacher professional development in the digital age. This methodological approach ensured that the findings presented are both grounded in robust scholarship and sensitive to the contextual nuances that shape educational practice in diverse settings.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of the literature reveals four overarching themes that structure the findings of this narrative review: integration of technology in teacher professional development (TPD), the role of collaboration and professional learning communities, the persistence of gaps and barriers in digital readiness, and the evolving challenges of professional identity and ethics in the age of artificial intelligence (AI). Each theme is elaborated with empirical evidence, comparative perspectives, and an examination of factors that mediate their effectiveness.

The first theme emphasizes the integration of technology into TPD programs, highlighting the transformative potential of innovations such as artificial intelligence, virtual reality, augmented reality, and online learning platforms. Studies consistently demonstrate that these tools enhance teacher training by providing more interactive, personalized, and engaging learning experiences. For example, Rubi and Sharma (2024) report that AI-driven educational systems enable adaptive instruction by tailoring materials to the progress and needs of individual students, thereby enhancing teachers' capacity to deliver differentiated instruction. Similarly, Lagos-Castillo et al. (2025) underscore the potential of VR and AR platforms in offering immersive experiences that help teachers illustrate complex concepts and foster creativity in the classroom. Empirical evidence corroborates these benefits. Gràcia et al. (2021) found that training incorporating video recording and digital feedback significantly increased teachers' self-awareness of their practices, prompting positive changes in instructional methods. Moreover, research by Diz-Otero et al. (2022) shows that digital learning technologies not only enhance pedagogical skills but also contribute directly to improved student outcomes, as demonstrated by faster and deeper learning progress. These findings affirm that integrating technology into professional training can substantially elevate both teaching quality and student achievement.

The second theme centers on the role of collaboration and professional learning communities (PLCs) in amplifying the impact of technology-driven TPD. PLCs create supportive environments where teachers share experiences, exchange feedback, and collectively address challenges associated with adopting new technologies in the classroom. Research by Castaño-Muñoz et al. (2021) and Yadav (2024) demonstrates that participation in such communities not only fosters knowledge exchange but also strengthens teachers' sense of belonging and commitment to

continuous development. Torres et al. (2020) similarly found that collaborative settings enhance teacher motivation by creating opportunities for reflective practice and collective problem-solving. Comparative studies across countries further illustrate the universal value of collaborative TPD. In Finland, teacher autonomy and flexibility in choosing professional pathways are strongly associated with successful digital integration (Reichenberg & Andreassen, 2018). In contrast, Singapore's structured approach, characterized by robust government support for workshops and technology-based learning communities, has led to consistently high levels of student performance and technological competence among teachers (Li, 2024). In the United States, although variability exists across states and districts, collaborative projects integrating technology have significantly enhanced teachers' understanding and application of digital tools (Li, 2024). These examples suggest that while cultural and policy contexts differ, collaboration consistently emerges as a cornerstone of effective professional development.

The third theme highlights persistent gaps and barriers that impede equitable access to and implementation of TPD in digital contexts. A recurring finding is the significant divide between urban and rural educators. Li (2024) demonstrates that urban teachers generally have superior access to technological infrastructure and enjoy greater opportunities for training, resulting in stronger mastery of frameworks such as Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK). In contrast, rural teachers often struggle with limited resources and weaker institutional support, which constrains their ability to integrate technology effectively. Beyond geography, demographic factors such as age and teaching experience shape digital readiness. Younger teachers tend to adapt more readily to new technologies, while older and more experienced teachers often exhibit resistance to change. Nevertheless, Morska et al. (2022) note that older teachers are motivated to engage with technology when linked to career advancement opportunities, although they remain hindered by inadequate training and uncertainty about pedagogical applications. Portillo et al. (2020) similarly highlight that lack of time and heavy workloads further restrict teachers' ability to participate in professional training. These barriers underscore the importance of designing development programs that are context-sensitive, inclusive, and flexible enough to accommodate diverse teacher needs and constraints.

The fourth theme examines the challenges of professional identity and ethics in an era increasingly shaped by AI. One notable phenomenon, often referred to as AI shaming, arises when teachers feel stigmatized for experimenting with AI-based tools, leading to reluctance in adopting potentially transformative technologies. Acut et al. (2025) reveal that many educators hesitate to acknowledge their use of AI due to concerns about academic authenticity, thereby perpetuating resistance to innovation. Such dynamics create environments that discourage experimentation and inhibit the growth of digital pedagogy. Addressing these issues requires embedding ethical education into professional development programs. Diao et al. (2023) emphasize that training should explicitly include guidance on ethical technology use, ensuring teachers develop critical awareness of the implications of digital tools for learning and assessment. Similarly, Diz-Otero et al. (2022) argue for the establishment of supportive learning communities where teachers can openly share their experiences and dilemmas, thereby reducing stigma and promoting collective responsibility for ethical practices. Together, these findings suggest that addressing professional identity and ethics is integral to the successful adoption of digital tools in education.

Taken together, the findings from these four thematic areas illustrate the multifaceted nature of TPD in the digital age. The integration of technology demonstrates clear benefits for enhancing instructional practice and student learning outcomes, yet such integration requires sustained support through collaborative communities. Persistent gaps in digital readiness highlight systemic inequities that must be addressed to ensure that professional development reaches all teachers, regardless of geography or demographics. Finally, the rise of AI and other advanced technologies introduces new ethical considerations that demand careful navigation to safeguard professional integrity. Comparative perspectives from regions such as Finland, Singapore, the United States, and Vietnam demonstrate that while strategies may vary across contexts, successful outcomes consistently hinge on comprehensive, inclusive, and ethically grounded approaches. These results collectively advance the understanding of best practices and enduring challenges in teacher professional development, offering a foundation for informed policy and practice in the digital age.

The findings of this narrative review both confirm and challenge previous research on teacher professional development (TPD) in the digital age, highlighting its multifaceted nature and the interplay between individual, institutional, and systemic factors. On one hand, the evidence reinforces the widely acknowledged need for flexible and responsive professional training in response to the sudden expansion of digital learning during the COVID-19 pandemic. On the other, it complicates the discourse by underscoring issues of resistance, ethical dilemmas, and systemic inequalities that persist despite technological progress.

Confirming earlier research, the review demonstrates that many teachers entered the pandemic with limited preparedness for online and hybrid teaching, a finding consistent with Portillo et al. (2020). Their study underscores how the pandemic accelerated the urgency for professional training that addressed digital skills and pedagogical adaptation in equal measure. Morska et al. (2022) similarly emphasized the necessity of cultivating digital awareness and providing targeted support to enable teachers to function effectively in dynamic educational environments. The results of this review extend these insights by showing how teachers' sense of unpreparedness was not solely technical but also linked to broader pedagogical uncertainties, thereby strengthening the argument that TPD must integrate digital competence with instructional design and classroom management strategies.

Yet, the review also identifies challenges that complicate this narrative. Resistance to adopting new technologies, often rooted in uncertainty or perceived complexity, remains a significant barrier. Langset et al. (2018) argue that while digital tools have the potential to enhance collaboration and teaching practices, their effective use depends heavily on supportive professional learning communities (PLCs). The findings here affirm that resistance is less a product of unwillingness and more a reflection of inadequate support, institutional culture, and peer dynamics. This perspective reframes resistance not as an individual failing but as a systemic issue requiring institutional responses. Thus, while the integration of technologies such as AI and VR holds promise for enriching TPD, the findings caution against assuming that technological provision alone can guarantee effective use without cultivating a supportive ecosystem.

The review further highlights the significant influence of systemic factors on the effectiveness of TPD. Infrastructure, policy frameworks, and institutional culture collectively shape the

opportunities available to teachers. Research consistently shows that inadequate technological infrastructure, such as unreliable internet access and limited hardware, undermines professional training efforts, particularly in rural areas (Usart et al., 2020). These findings echo earlier studies showing that structural disparities persist across geographic contexts, with urban teachers enjoying greater access to both resources and training compared to their rural counterparts (Nhung et al., 2025; Li, 2024). By situating these disparities within broader systemic constraints, the review underscores how access inequities translate into uneven digital readiness among teachers, which in turn affects classroom integration of technology.

Educational policy also emerges as a critical determinant of TPD effectiveness. For instance, Finland's systematic investment in professional training and institutional innovation has fostered a culture of teacher autonomy and collaborative engagement that supports sustainable digital integration (Kalinina et al., 2021). In contrast, professional development in the United States is often characterized as fragmented, episodic, and insufficiently coordinated, leading to inconsistent levels of digital competence among educators (Wang & Chu, 2023). These contrasting examples highlight the importance of policy coherence and sustained investment in shaping not only teacher competencies but also the culture of innovation within schools. The systemic lens therefore illuminates how macro-level decisions directly affect the micro-level experiences of teachers engaging in TPD.

The results of this review also confirm the significance of professional learning communities in mitigating resistance and enhancing the integration of technology. Studies by Castaño-Muñoz et al. (2021) and Yadav (2024) indicate that collaborative environments facilitate knowledge exchange and foster resilience in adapting to technological change. Torres et al. (2020) further emphasize the motivational role of collaboration, where teachers' sense of belonging and professional identity are strengthened through shared practice. These findings align with the broader literature on organizational learning, which posits that professional growth is not merely an individual endeavor but is embedded in collective structures and cultures. Thus, while individual competencies matter, systemic and communal factors remain decisive in sustaining long-term professional development.

Solving the barriers identified requires both systemic reform and innovative program design. Literature consistently recommends building collaborative, supportive communities that provide both pedagogical and moral encouragement (Lucena et al., 2019). Such communities reduce stigma surrounding experimentation with digital tools and create safe spaces for teachers to address challenges. Equally important are sustained and contextually relevant training programs that go beyond episodic workshops to offer continuous learning opportunities. Comparative evidence from Singapore illustrates how structured, government-supported workshops and communities of practice can yield significant gains in teacher competence and student performance (Li, 2024). Translating these lessons globally requires tailoring approaches to local needs, particularly in regions grappling with infrastructure deficits or cultural resistance to technology. At the global level, initiatives tied to the Sustainable Development Goals reinforce the urgency of reducing digital divides, echoing calls for equitable resource distribution and universal access to training (Svoboda, 2024).

At the local level, these solutions must be embedded within policies that address specific structural barriers. For rural contexts, this means investment in infrastructure and the provision of mobile

or hybrid training models that can reach dispersed teacher populations. For older educators, training must incorporate scaffolding and recognition of prior professional expertise to counterbalance apprehension about new technologies (Morska et al., 2022). At the institutional level, cultivating a culture of experimentation and providing time allowances for professional learning are crucial. Without addressing such structural factors, even the best-designed training programs may falter.

Finally, the discussion of ethics and professional identity adds an emerging dimension to debates on TPD. Phenomena such as AI shaming (Acut et al., 2025) reveal the cultural and identity-based barriers that shape technology adoption. Teachers' reluctance to disclose or embrace AI use reflects not only concerns about authenticity but also broader uncertainties about the role of technology in reshaping professional norms. This finding complicates narratives of digital enthusiasm by reminding policymakers and researchers that technological adoption is mediated by professional identity. Diao et al. (2023) advocate embedding ethical education into training programs to ensure teachers can critically and responsibly engage with new technologies. The findings here support that recommendation, suggesting that without explicit attention to ethics and identity, professional development risks alienating rather than empowering teachers.

The limitations of the current body of research are also noteworthy. Despite extensive literature on digital competencies, relatively few studies rigorously evaluate the long-term impacts of TPD on classroom practices and student outcomes (Ma et al., 2025). Much of the evidence remains short-term or descriptive, leaving questions about sustainability unanswered. Furthermore, there is limited exploration of how specific training models correlate with measurable improvements in student achievement (Lucena et al., 2019). These gaps underscore the need for future research that integrates outcome-based evaluations, longitudinal analyses, and comparative studies across diverse contexts. Such research would provide more definitive guidance on best practices and contribute to bridging the divide between policy aspirations and classroom realities.

By situating the findings within broader systemic, cultural, and ethical frameworks, this discussion underscores that TPD in the digital age is not solely about equipping teachers with technical skills. It is about embedding those skills within supportive infrastructures, responsive policies, and professional cultures that acknowledge both the opportunities and anxieties of technological integration. In doing so, the field moves closer to understanding how to design TPD initiatives that are both transformative and sustainable in a rapidly changing educational landscape.

CONCLUSION

This narrative review demonstrates that teacher professional development (TPD) in the digital age requires more than the acquisition of technical skills; it demands systemic, pedagogical, and ethical integration. The results highlight four critical areas: the integration of advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence, virtual and augmented reality, and digital platforms; the centrality of collaboration and professional learning communities; the persistence of inequities in access and readiness; and the evolving challenges of professional identity and ethics. Together, these findings confirm the urgency of addressing gaps in digital competence while underscoring the importance of contextual sensitivity, sustained policy support, and institutional commitment. Systemic factors

such as infrastructure, government policy, and institutional culture remain decisive in shaping outcomes. To overcome barriers, interventions must include investment in equitable infrastructure, design of flexible and continuous training programs, promotion of collaborative professional environments, and integration of ethical education into professional development. Future research should incorporate longitudinal and outcome-based studies, focusing on areas such as AI integration, rural access, and ethical considerations, to evaluate the long-term impacts of TPD on teaching practices and student achievement. By situating professional development within a global yet context-sensitive framework, the study reinforces that effective TPD is a strategic necessity for achieving sustainable, inclusive, and ethically grounded education in the digital age.

REFERENCE

Acut, D., Gamusa, E., Pernaa, J., Yuenyong, C., Pantaleon, A., Espina, R., … & Garcia, M. (2025). Ai shaming among teacher education students., 97-122. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-0122-8.ch005

Althubyani, A. (2024). Digital competence of teachers and the factors affecting their competence level: a nationwide mixed-methods study. *Sustainability, 16*(7), 2796. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16072796

Castaño-Muñoz, J., Vuorikari, R., Costa, P., Hippe, R., & Kampylis, P. (2021). Teacher collaboration and students' digital competence - evidence from the selfie tool. *European Journal of Teacher Education, 46*(3), 476-497. https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768.2021.1938535

Čakāne, I. (2025). Readjusting for digital transformation: a primary mathematics framework. *Environment Technology Resources Proceedings of the International Scientific and Practical Conference, 3*, 61-68. https://doi.org/10.17770/etr2025vol3.8554

Diao, J., Tang, X., Ma, X., & Ding, X. (2023). An international perspective on the connotation, framework, and development strategies of teacher digital literacy. *Journal of Educational Technology Development and Exchange, 16*(2), 66-78. https://doi.org/10.18785/jetde.1602.04

Diz-Otero, M., Portela-Pino, I., Domínguez-Lloria, S., & Juste, M. (2022). Digital competence in secondary education teachers during the covid-19-derived pandemic: comparative analysis. *Education + Training, 65*(2), 181-192. https://doi.org/10.1108/et-01-2022-0001

Dorofeev, A., & Korchagina, T. (2023). Interactive didactic support for students in a digital learning environment. *Perspectives of Science and Education, 64*(4), 40-53. https://doi.org/10.32744/pse.2023.4.3

Golodov, E., Gerlach, I., Kopchenko, I., Spirina, O., Khlopkova, V., & Chiyanova, E. (2022). Professional deficits of teachers in the field of ict competencies, manifested in the conditions of digital transformation of education. *Perspectives of Science and Education, 58*(4), 58-73. https://doi.org/10.32744/pse.2022.4.4

Gràcia, M., Adam-Alcocer, A., & Mardones, P. (2021). Exploring the impact of a teacher development programme using a digital application on linguistic interactions in the classroom: a multiple case study. *Applied Linguistics Review, 14*(5), 1305-1343. https://doi.org/10.1515/applirev-2020-0132

Ibda, H., Syamsi, I., & Rukiyati, R. (2023). Professional elementary teachers in the digital era: a systematic literature review. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (Ijere), 12*(1), 459. https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v12i1.23565

Kalinina, L., Ivanov, D., & Nikitin, N. (2021). Contemporary art thesauri in the context of the teaching profession development. *Perspectives of Science and Education, 53*(5), 32-47. https://doi.org/10.32744/pse.2021.5.3

Kasemsap, K. (2017). Teacher education and teacher professional development., 112-137. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-5225-1067-3.ch007

Lagos-Castillo, A., Chiappe, A., Soledad, M., & Becerra-Rodríguez, D. (2025). Mapping the intelligent classroom: examining the emergence of personalized learning solutions in the digital age. *Contemporary Educational Technology, 17*(1), ep543. https://doi.org/10.30935/cedtech/15617

Langset, I., Jacobsen, D., & Haugsbakken, H. (2018). Digital professional development: towards a collaborative learning approach for taking higher education into the digitalized age. *Nordic Journal of Digital Literacy, 13*(1), 24-39. https://doi.org/10.18261/issn.1891-943x-2018-01-03

Li, H. (2024). Education challenges in developing digital competence and critical thinking skills in a post-information age / desafíos educativos en el desarrollo de la competencia digital y el pensamiento crítico en la era de la posinformación. *Culture and Education, 36*(2), 419-445. https://doi.org/10.1177/11356405241259598

Li, M. (2024). Exploring the digital divide in primary education: a comparative study of urban and rural mathematics teachers' tpack and attitudes towards technology integration in post-pandemic china. *Education and Information Technologies, 30*(2), 1913-1945. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-12890-x

Lucena, F., Díaz, I., Reche, M., Torres, J., & Rodríguez, J. (2019). Factors influencing the development of digital competence in teachers: analysis of the teaching staff of permanent education centres. *IEEE Access, 7*, 178744-178752. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2019.2957438

Ma, L., Chee, C., Amri, S., Gao, X., Wang, Q., Wang, N., … & Liu, P. (2025). Impact of self-efficacy and burnout on professional development of physical education teachers in the digital age: a systematic review. *PeerJ, 13*, e18952. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.18952

Montes, C., Fuentes, A., Cara, M., & Capperucci, D. (2022). Functionality of apps for people with autism: comparison between educators from florence and granada. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19*(12), 7019. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19127019

Morska, L., Polok, K., Bukowska, M., & Ладанівська, I. (2022). New technologies and their impact on foreign language teacher professional burnout. *Advanced Education*, 35-44. https://doi.org/10.20535/2410-8286.251587

Nhung, N., Kien, P., Khánh, M., Tình, T., & Phong, T. (2025). Digital transformation in vietnam's education: opportunities, challenges, and development strategies. *Multidisciplinary Reviews, 8*(9), 2025282. https://doi.org/10.31893/multirev.2025282

Portillo, J., Ruíz, U., Garitano, E., & Quintana, N. (2020). Self-perception of the digital competence of educators during the covid-19 pandemic: a cross-analysis of different educational stages. *Sustainability, 12*(23), 10128. https://doi.org/10.3390/su122310128

Raman, A., & Thannimalai, R. (2019). Importance of technology leadership for technology integration: gender and professional development perspective. *SAGE Open, 9*(4). https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244019893707

Reichenberg, M., & Andreassen, R. (2018). Comparing swedish and norwegian teachers' professional development: how human capital and social capital factor into teachers' reading habits. *Reading Psychology, 39*(5), 442-467. https://doi.org/10.1080/02702711.2018.1464530

Rubi, K., & Sharma, P. (2024). Teacher professional development in the digital age., 533-556. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-7723-9.ch030

Setiawan, I. (2024). Implementation of online teacher professional development programs: physical education teacher perspectives. *Retos, 57*, 445-454. https://doi.org/10.47197/retos.v57.105406

Svoboda, P. (2024). Teachers' digital competencies: diagnosis and development in the context of the teacher21 model. *TEM Journal*, 2195-2207. https://doi.org/10.18421/tem133-47

Thannimalai, R., & Raman, A. (2018). The influence of principals' technology leadership and professional development on teachers' technology integration in secondary schools. *Malaysian Journal of Learning and Instruction, 15*(1), 201-226. https://doi.org/10.32890/mjli2018.15.1.8

Topalska, R. (2024). A research on the application of modern information technologies in teaching. *TEM Journal*, 1989-1996. https://doi.org/10.18421/tem133-27

Torres, J., Hossein-Mohand, H., García, M., Hossein-Mohand, H., & Reche, M. (2020). Mathematics teachers' perceptions of the introduction of ict: the relationship between motivation and use in the teaching function. *Mathematics, 8*(12), 2158. https://doi.org/10.3390/math8122158

Usart, M., Cantabrana, J., & Cervera, M. (2020). Validation of a tool for self-evaluating teacher digital competence. *Educación XX1, 24*(1). https://doi.org/10.5944/educxx1.27080

Wahono, B., Hariyadi, S., Subiantoro, A., Bravo, J., & Manalu, M. (2025). Empowering stem teachers with tpack: insights from the decode online professional development program. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics Science and Technology Education, 21*(1), em2570. https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/15896

Wang, Z., & Chu, Z. (2023). Examination of higher education teachers' self-perception of digital competence, self-efficacy, and facilitating conditions: an empirical study in the context of china. *Sustainability, 15*(14), 10945. https://doi.org/10.3390/su151410945

Yadav, S. (2024). Enhancing digital competencies of teachers., 109-134. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-1692-5.ch005

Yuktirat, C., Sindhuphak, A., & Kiddee, K. (2018). M-learning for the art of drawing: informal learning for a digital age. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies (iJIM), 12*(5), 152. https://doi.org/10.3991/ijim.v12i5.9207