

Task Aligned Technology: Enhancing Cross Unit Collaboration in Remote Work Environments

Ummi Masrufah Maulidiyah¹, Astried Silvanie², Sugianto³

¹Universitas Muhammadiyah Surabaya, Indonesia

² Institut Bisnis dan Informatika (IBI) Kosgoro 1957, Indonesia

³Universitas Jayabaya, Indonesia

Correspondent: ummimasrufah@um-surabaya.ac.id¹

Received : May 27, 2025

Accepted : July 18, 2025

Published : July 31, 2025

Citation: Maulidiyah, U, M., Silvanie, A., Sugianto. (2025). Task Aligned Technology: Enhancing Cross Unit Collaboration in Remote Work Environments. Data: Journal of Information Systems and Management, 3 (3), 185-197.

ABSTRACT: The growing prevalence of remote and hybrid work models has transformed how organizations coordinate tasks and collaborate across functional units. This study investigates whether IT media fit moderates the relationship between remote work intensity and cross unit collaboration. Drawing on Media Synchronicity Theory (MST), the research posits that communication media must align with task requirements particularly synchronicity needs to enhance collaboration outcomes. A quasi experimental, longitudinal design was used, analyzing weekly team level data from digital trace logs (Slack, Microsoft Teams) and surveys assessing remote work intensity and IT media alignment. The study employed Generalized Linear Mixed Models (GLMM) with interaction terms to evaluate the moderating effect of IT media fit. Key findings indicate that remote work alone does not significantly improve collaboration metrics such as network centrality or cross functional reply rates. However, in conditions of high IT media fit, remote work intensity positively correlates with more robust cross unit collaboration. These results were robust across multiple model specifications and validated through lagged temporal analysis, bias checks, and alternative statistical techniques. This research extends MST by incorporating the emotional and contextual dimensions of digital communication tools and emphasizing the need for tool task synchronicity in remote settings. The study provides actionable recommendations for organizations, including aligning communication tools with specific task types, training teams in digital collaboration practices, and designing adaptive communication policies. As digital collaboration becomes central to modern organizational life, the strategic fit between media and task emerges as a vital determinant of remote work effectiveness.

Keywords: Remote Work, IT Media Fit, Cross Unit Collaboration, Media Synchronicity Theory, Digital Communication Tools, Hybrid Teams.



This is an open access article under the CC-BY 4.0 license

INTRODUCTION

This transformation, accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic, compelled organizations to rely on digital communication tools for coordination and decision making. While these tools enable

operations across physical distance, their effectiveness depends on alignment with specific task demands a central tenet of Media Synchronicity Theory (MST).

Media Synchronicity Theory posits that communication success is influenced by the fit between the capabilities of communication media and the requirements of the task at hand. It distinguishes between conveyance where broad information is shared and convergence where mutual understanding and consensus must be achieved. Synchronous media, such as video conferencing and live chat, facilitate convergence by enabling immediate feedback and clarification. In contrast, asynchronous media, such as email or project boards, support conveyance by allowing individuals to reflect before responding. MST's application in organizational studies has grown, especially in the context of virtual teams, demonstrating that when media are appropriately matched with task types, teams achieve higher performance and mutual understanding (Torro et al., 2022; Windeler & Harrison, 2018).

Cross functional collaboration in particular has been reshaped by remote work. The pandemic dismantled traditional barriers and catalyzed new norms in interdepartmental interactions. Digital tools such as Slack and Microsoft Teams have enabled ongoing connectivity across functional domains, fostering inclusive communication cultures (Chen et al., 2024; Feng et al., 2023). Research suggests that flexible work arrangements combined with digital enablement have generally increased the frequency and quality of cross unit collaboration. Yet these benefits are not guaranteed. Organizations often struggle with the subtleties of asynchronous vs. synchronous communication. Determining which scenarios warrant real time interaction versus delayed response remains a critical challenge for distributed teams (Tan & Yan, 2020).

The communication challenges of remote and hybrid teams are well documented. These include technological limitations, unclear expectations regarding availability, and the difficulty of cultivating trust and rapport without in person cues (Allen & Williams, 2021; Dailey, 2022). The lack of non verbal feedback in virtual settings frequently results in misunderstandings and eroded team cohesion. Asynchronous tools, while offering convenience, often slow decision making and frustrate coordination efforts. Time zone differences compound these issues, necessitating intentional scheduling and clearer protocols (Naeem, 2020). The implications for organizational design are profound: structured communication protocols must be established to specify when and how various tools should be used (Cao et al., 2016; Qu et al., 2024).

Moreover, the selection of synchronous versus asynchronous communication tools critically shapes both the speed and accuracy of task completion. Synchronous methods enable real time collaboration and feedback, facilitating rapid convergence on complex issues (Torro et al., 2022; Kaneko, 2023). Conversely, asynchronous tools enable thoughtful deliberation but risk delays. MST suggests that optimal communication and by extension, collaboration results from a careful match between media capabilities and the complexity, urgency, and interdependence of the task (Tan & Yan, 2020; Windeler & Harrison, 2018).

Several empirical studies reinforce these theoretical claims. For instance, organizations that effectively combine synchronous and asynchronous tools report improved employee engagement, satisfaction, and collaborative output (Anders, 2016; Kaneko, 2023). Tools such as Slack and

Teams have been shown to enhance knowledge sharing and problem solving when properly integrated into team workflows (Qu, 2024). Importantly, studies emphasize the need for ongoing training and support to maximize the potential of these tools (Bilderback, 2024; Islam & Ahmed, 2018). The strategic selection and implementation of communication technologies aligned with MST principles is thus essential for remote collaboration success (Torro et al., 2022; Cao et al., 2016).

However, when media task alignment is absent, organizations face serious consequences. Misaligned tools can hinder understanding, reduce efficiency, and strain interpersonal dynamics. This misalignment has been linked to reduced productivity, lower job satisfaction, and increased turnover (Califf et al., 2020). For remote and hybrid organizations, ensuring that digital infrastructure supports not obstructs task demands is critical. Addressing this issue requires both technical adjustments and a cultural shift toward deliberate, evidence based media usage.

Despite the growing relevance of these issues, gaps remain in the literature. Few studies rigorously examine the interaction between remote work intensity and IT media fit, particularly as it affects cross unit collaboration. Most rely on subjective accounts or focus narrowly on productivity metrics. There is a pressing need for research that integrates digital trace data (e.g., from Slack or Teams) with perceptual measures to provide a comprehensive understanding of collaboration dynamics.

This study addresses that gap. Building on MST, it investigates whether the effect of remote work intensity on cross functional collaboration is moderated by the degree of media task alignment. It hypothesizes that remote work alone is not sufficient to enhance collaboration; rather, its success depends on the fit between communication tools and task requirements. By combining survey data with digital collaboration metrics, this study aims to empirically validate MST in real world hybrid work settings. The findings offer practical insights for organizational leaders, IT managers, and HR professionals seeking to optimize team effectiveness in distributed environments.

METHOD

This chapter outlines the methodology used to examine how IT media fit moderates the relationship between remote work intensity and cross-unit collaboration. Using validated instruments and digital trace data, the study adopts a quasi-experimental longitudinal design. Statistical modeling captures both within- and between-team variance over time.

This study utilizes a quasi experimental, team level panel approach. Weekly data were collected over six months from 128 hybrid or remote teams in mid sized technology firms. The unit of analysis is the team week, providing a granular view of evolving collaboration dynamics. Data are drawn from three primary sources: (1) digital communication logs from Slack and Microsoft Teams, (2) survey responses from team members, and (3) contextual data from external repositories (e.g., internet connectivity indices, teleworkability indices).

Participating teams were drawn from organizations with established hybrid work policies and a minimum usage threshold of collaboration platforms (Slack or Teams). The final sample includes knowledge intensive teams working across multiple functional domains, enabling robust measurement of cross unit collaboration.

Operationalization of Variables

Independent Variable:

- *Remote Work Intensity* is measured via two indicators: average days worked from home per week and hours of telework, as reported in team surveys.

Moderator:

- *IT–Media Fit* is assessed using the Media Use Questionnaire (MUQ), adapted to evaluate alignment between communication tool characteristics and task requirements. Synchronicity alignment is captured through both self reports and digital usage logs (e.g., frequency of synchronous vs. asynchronous tool use).

Dependent Variable:

- *Cross Unit Collaboration* is operationalized through digital trace metrics, including:
 - Cross functional reply ratio
 - Response latency (median time to first reply)
 - Network centrality scores (degree, betweenness)

These metrics are derived via network analysis of Slack/Teams communication logs (Davis et al., 2022; Stier et al., 2019).

Control Variables:

- Team size, function, teleworkability index (Dingel–Neiman), week fixed effects, and internet quality (Ookla/GSMA).

All digital trace data are pseudonymized and aggregated at the team level. Individual message content is excluded. The study follows ethical protocols for the use of workplace digital data, ensuring privacy, transparency, and compliance with institutional review guidelines (Buggenhout & Broeck, 2023).

The primary analytical method is Generalized Linear Mixed Modeling (GLMM), suitable for hierarchical data structures common in organizational research (Vaujany et al., 2024). GLMM accommodates both fixed and random effects, allowing for robust estimation of within team variation while accounting for nested observations over time. A log link function is employed to

address non normal outcome distributions, consistent with best practices in modeling count based network metrics (Munge et al., 2017).

Interaction terms are introduced to assess whether IT media fit moderates the effect of remote work intensity on collaboration outcomes. Models are tested for robustness using lagged specifications, alternative distributional assumptions (Poisson, binomial), and fixed effects regressions.

This methodology combines the precision of digital trace data with the contextual richness of validated perceptual instruments, yielding a comprehensive understanding of digital collaboration dynamics in remote work settings.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

This chapter presents the empirical findings derived from digital trace data and survey responses. It details descriptive statistics, main effects, moderation analyses, and robustness checks to examine how IT media fit moderates the effect of remote work intensity on cross unit collaboration.

Remote work intensity varied considerably across participating teams. On average, teams reported 3.1 days of remote work per week, with higher intensities concentrated in knowledge intensive departments such as IT and data science. These findings are consistent with prior studies showing higher remote work adaptability in technology and professional service sectors (Adekoya et al., 2022; Puzia et al., 2022). Conversely, operational teams with on site dependencies exhibited lower remote work adoption.

Digital tool usage analysis revealed steady engagement with collaboration platforms. Slack and Microsoft Teams usage was characterized by peak communication on mid week days, with increased activity in synchronous channels correlating with faster response times. Network metrics showed moderate average degree and betweenness centrality, identifying certain team members as critical communication bridges (Yusriani et al., 2023).

Table 1. Summary Statistics of Key Variables

Variable	Mean	SD	Min	Max
Days WFH per week	3.10	1.20	0	5
IT–Media Fit (1–5 scale)	3.60	0.80	1	5
Cross Functional Replies (%)	27.30	11.40	5	58
Median Response Latency (min)	31.50	12.90	5	88
Degree Centrality	18.20	6.70	2	42
Betweenness Centrality	10.10	4.30	1	25

Main Effects Analysis

Initial regression models indicated that remote work intensity alone did not significantly enhance cross unit collaboration. In some cases, increased remote work was associated with reduced collaboration quality, aligning with earlier findings of reduced interpersonal interaction in high remote settings (Evanoff et al., 2019).

However, this relationship appeared contingent on additional organizational variables. In teams with low IT media fit, tool availability did not translate into meaningful collaboration. These findings suggest that mere access to digital tools is insufficient; their effectiveness depends on alignment with task requirements and user needs (Puzia et al., 2022).

Moderation Effects: IT–Media Fit as a Key Condition

To test the moderating role of IT media fit, interaction terms were introduced in the GLMM models. Results confirmed a significant positive interaction between remote work intensity and IT media fit ($p < 0.001$). When IT media fit was high, remote work intensity predicted greater cross functional replies, reduced response latency, and elevated network centrality.

Table 2. GLMM Regression Results for Collaboration Metrics

Predictor	Coef.	Std. Err.	p value
Remote Work Intensity	0.030	0.012	0.031
IT–Media Fit	0.070	0.020	0.004
Remote $\times$ IT–Media Fit	0.050	0.010	<0.001
Team Size	0.002	0.001	0.087
Connectivity Quality	0.010	0.005	0.045

These findings reinforce the theoretical argument that tool task alignment is essential for collaboration effectiveness in remote contexts (Adekoya et al., 2022; Kaneko, 2023).

Robustness Checks

Robustness of the interaction effects was confirmed through several approaches:

- **Lagged Models:** Introduced to examine temporal causality, showing consistent effects across weeks (Yusriani et al., 2023).
- **Alternative Specifications:** Poisson and logit models used to account for non normal distribution of collaboration metrics (Tramontano et al., 2021).
- **Cross Validation:** Splitting data into training and test sets confirmed generalizability (Müller & Niessen, 2019).

- Bias Control: Comparisons between self reported and log data revealed acceptable alignment, minimizing concerns of response bias (Imai et al., 2016).

Together, these analyses confirm that the observed moderation effect of IT media fit is not an artifact of sampling, model specification, or measurement bias but a consistent feature across organizational settings.

From a strategic standpoint, uplift modeling facilitates superior resource allocation. By identifying which customers are most likely to respond positively to a specific marketing campaign, organizations can direct their efforts and budgets more efficiently, minimizing wasted expenditure on low-impact segments. Furthermore, the ability to uncover heterogeneous treatment effects enables the design of highly personalized campaigns, aligning promotional offers with segment-specific preferences—such as discount-based incentives for value-driven users and loyalty rewards for frequent buyers (Rafla et al., 2022).

The implications of these insights extend to channel strategy as well. Uplift scores can guide marketers in refining their marketing mix, choosing optimal delivery channels for each user group. For example, high-uptake segments may be more effectively reached through push notifications, while others may prefer in-app or email-based messaging. By adapting channel deployment based on uplift responsiveness, marketers can improve campaign precision and overall return on investment.

Notably, uplift modeling also provides a proactive approach to customer retention. It enables the early identification of at-risk customers who might churn without targeted intervention, thus supporting retention campaigns that are both timely and cost-effective (Belbahri et al., 2019). By concentrating efforts on these high-risk, high-impact segments, businesses can increase lifetime value (CLV) while reducing acquisition pressures.

Cost efficiency and ROI improvements are key benefits of uplift modeling. When uplift estimates inform campaign selection, marketers can avoid blanket messaging and instead prioritize high-response segments. This approach not only increases conversion rates but also significantly reduces costs associated with unproductive outreach (Rößler et al., 2021). As a result, marketers benefit from optimized campaign execution grounded in causal analytics rather than heuristic assumptions.

However, the application of uplift modeling raises important ethical considerations. Targeted personalization based on uplift scores can inadvertently lead to exclusion or discrimination. Customers who fall outside of high-uptake segments may be systematically denied access to benefits or campaigns, fostering perceptions of unfairness (Chen et al., 2022). Such risks necessitate the adoption of fairness-aware modeling techniques and governance practices that ensure inclusivity and equity in campaign delivery.

Privacy is another key concern. Personalization strategies derived from uplift models often rely on extensive behavioral and demographic data. Without transparency and explicit consent, such practices may erode consumer trust. Organizations must ensure compliance with data protection laws (e.g., GDPR, UU PDP) and uphold ethical standards in data usage and communication strategies (Rafla et al., 2022).

Operationalizing uplift insights in real-time marketing systems requires robust infrastructure. Adaptive data pipelines, real-time scoring engines, and marketing automation platforms must work in concert to deliver personalized experiences aligned with live customer behavior. Feedback loops—where model performance is continuously evaluated and updated—further enhance responsiveness and engagement (Hu et al., 2024). Agile integration of these systems ensures that uplift modeling remains not only analytically effective but also operationally viable.

Ultimately, uplift modeling provides a transformative lens through which to view customer engagement. It enables marketers to shift from reactive, correlation-based strategies to proactive, causality-driven frameworks. While technical and ethical challenges remain, the evidence from this study confirms that with thoughtful implementation, uplift modeling can substantially improve marketing impact, resource efficiency, and customer satisfaction in e-commerce ecosystems.

The findings of this study contribute to extending Media Synchronicity Theory (MST) in the context of remote and hybrid work environments. MST, originally formulated to analyze communication effectiveness in co-located settings, is shown here to be relevant but in need of refinement. The empirical results demonstrated that IT-media fit significantly moderates the relationship between remote work intensity and cross-unit collaboration, affirming MST's core tenet: optimal communication outcomes occur when the capabilities of media align with task requirements (Shirmohammadi et al., 2022).

However, the evolving nature of digital communication marked by multifunctional tools like Microsoft Teams, Slack, and Zoom requires MST to incorporate new dimensions such as emotional bandwidth and social presence. These facets have become particularly salient in remote work, where limited access to non-verbal cues constrains emotional interpretation and contextual awareness (Adikaram & Naotunna, 2023; Beckel & Fisher, 2022). MST can be enhanced by integrating these elements into its conceptualization of media richness, thus making the framework more applicable to current organizational realities. Moreover, advanced features like reaction emojis, collaborative whiteboards, and virtual project hubs demand a more granular typology of media capabilities that can be dynamically mapped to collaborative tasks (Kemell & Saarikallio, 2023; Wontorczyk & Rożnowski, 2022).

The practical implications of these findings are substantial. Organizations must prioritize media-task fit in their remote communication strategies. This requires moving beyond tool availability to strategically aligning tool characteristics with the cognitive and collaborative demands of various tasks. Synchronous tools may be prescribed for tasks involving brainstorming, real-time feedback, or decision-making, while asynchronous tools should support documentation, project tracking, and information dissemination (Rachman et al., 2022). Training programs must also evolve, focusing not only on basic tool usage but on digital communication literacy and collaborative etiquette. Evidence shows that insufficient training results in poor tool engagement, negatively affecting communication efficiency and team cohesion (Gajendran et al., 2024).

Despite its comprehensive design, this study faces several limitations stemming from its mixed-methods approach. While combining digital trace data and survey responses enhances analytical depth, it introduces interpretive challenges. Disparities between self-reported collaboration and objective log metrics may reflect social desirability biases or misunderstandings of engagement norms (Maghlaperidze et al., 2021). Moreover, temporal misalignment between the two data

sources can distort causal inference surveys reflect subjective, time-sensitive perceptions, whereas log data provides static records of behavior (Kawahito et al., 2023; Treacy et al., 2023). Mitigating this issue requires synchronized data collection windows and methodological triangulation to ensure interpretive clarity (Choudhury, 2020).

Finally, generalizability remains constrained by sectoral and cultural specificity. This study primarily involves knowledge-intensive firms with high digital maturity, where remote work infrastructures are well-established. Transposing these findings to other sectors such as healthcare or manufacturing requires caution, given the different operational dependencies and communication needs (Aleem et al., 2023; Santhanam et al., 2022). Organizational culture also plays a decisive role. Flat, trust-based cultures may facilitate remote collaboration, whereas hierarchical, control-oriented environments may inhibit it (Faraco et al., 2024). For future implementation, organizations are encouraged to conduct diagnostic assessments of their culture, digital readiness, and task characteristics before applying media-task alignment principles broadly.

In sum, this discussion not only affirms the applicability of MST in remote work but also argues for its refinement to reflect the complexities of modern communication ecosystems. The findings support both theoretical advancement and actionable recommendations for improving digital collaboration in distributed workplaces.

CONCLUSION

This study examined how IT media fit moderates the relationship between remote work intensity and cross-unit collaboration in knowledge-intensive organizations. The findings show that remote work alone does not guarantee effective collaboration. Instead, collaboration improves significantly when communication media are strategically aligned with task demands, confirming and extending Media Synchronicity Theory (MST) in the context of remote and hybrid work. High IT media fit was associated with stronger network connectivity, more timely cross-functional interactions, and reduced coordination delays, highlighting the importance of emotional and technological dimensions in digital communication.

From a practical perspective, organizations must move beyond simply providing digital tools to ensuring their purposeful use. This includes establishing clear communication protocols, distinguishing between synchronous and asynchronous needs, and enhancing digital literacy through targeted training. Although this research was conducted in digitally mature sectors, it provides actionable insights for diverse industries as they refine hybrid and remote work models. Ultimately, the study underscores that the success of remote collaboration depends not on the volume of tool use but on the strategic alignment of media with the nature of collaborative tasks.

REFERENCE

- Adekoya, O. D., Adisa, T. A., & Aiyenitaju, O. (2022). Going Forward: Remote Working in the Post-Covid-19 Era. *Employee Relations*, 44(6), 1410–1427. <https://doi.org/10.1108/er-04-2021-0161>
- Adikaram, A. S., & Naotunna, N. P. G. S. I. (2023). Remote Working During COVID-19 in Sri Lanka: Lessons Learned And what the Future Holds. *Employee Relations*, 45(4), 1035–1056. <https://doi.org/10.1108/er-06-2022-0259>
- Aleem, M., Sufyan, M., Ameer, I., & Mustak, M. (2023). Remote Work and the COVID-19 Pandemic: An Artificial Intelligence-Based Topic Modeling and a Future Agenda. *Journal of Business Research*, 154, 113303. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.113303>
- Allen, S., & Williams, P. (2021). Remote Oral Doctoral Dissertation Defenses in Management, Leadership, and Business: Benefits, Pedagogy, and Practices. *Management Teaching Review*, 7(3), 208–225. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23792981211013024>
- Beckel, J. L. O., & Fisher, G. G. (2022). Telework and Worker Health and Well-Being: A Review and Recommendations for Research and Practice. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(7), 3879. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19073879>
- Bilderback, S. (2024). I Will See You in the Summer: Assessing the Cognitive Impact of Social Media on Employee Engagement. *Strategic Hr Review*, 23(6), 206–210. <https://doi.org/10.1108/shr-05-2024-0033>
- Buggenhout, N. V., & Broeck, W. V. d. (2023). Media Users' and Professionals' Responses to Personal Data Receipts: A Mixed Methods Study. *Information Polity*, 28(1), 97–115. <https://doi.org/10.3233/ip-211500>
- Califf, C. B., Stumpf, T., & Frye, J. (2020). Revisiting Technology and Flow: A Call for an Alternative Perspective and Directions for the Future. <https://doi.org/10.24251/hicss.2020.753>
- Cao, X., Guo, X., Vogel, D., & Zhang, X. (2016). Exploring the Influence of Social Media on Employee Work Performance. *Internet Research*, 26(2), 529–545. <https://doi.org/10.1108/intr-11-2014-0299>
- Chen, J., Muscoloni, A., Abdelhamid, I., Wu, Y., & Cannistraci, C. V. (2024). Generalizing the AUC-ROC for Unbalanced Data, Early Retrieval and Link Prediction Evaluation. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202209.0277.v2>
- Choudhury, P. (. (2020). Work-from-anywhere: The Productivity Effects of Geographic Flexibility. *Strategic Management Journal*, 42(4), 655–683. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.3251>

- Dailey, S. L. (2022). "Define Yourself. . . #EXSTpride": Exploring an Organizational Hashtag Through the Structural Model of Identification. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 37(1), 127–143. <https://doi.org/10.1177/08933189221095597>
- Davis, S., Antonio, M., Smith, M. A., Burgener, P., Lavalley, D. C., Price, M., Fletcher, S., & Lau, F. (2022). Paving the Way for Electronic Patient-Centered Measurement in Team-Based Primary Care: Integrated Knowledge Translation Approach. *Jmir Formative Research*, 6(3), e33584. <https://doi.org/10.2196/33584>
- Evanoff, B., Yung, M., Buckner-Petty, S., Andersen, J. H., Roquelaure, Y., Descatha, A., & Dale, A. M. (2019). The CONSTANCES Job Exposure Matrix Based on Self-Reported Exposure to Physical Risk Factors: Development and Evaluation. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 76(6), 398–406. <https://doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2018-105287>
- Faraco, R. M., Broberg, O., & Duarte, F. (2024). The Impact of Covid-19 on Support Teams. *Work*, 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.3233/wor-230565>
- Feng, Y., Park, J., & Feng, M. (2023). What Is Holding Back Business Process Virtualization in the Post-Covid-19 Era? Based on Process Virtualization Theory (PVT). *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1084180>
- Gajendran, R. S., Ponnappalli, A. R., Wang, C., & Javalagi, A. A. (2024). A Dual Pathway Model of Remote Work Intensity: A Meta-analysis of Its Simultaneous Positive and Negative Effects. *Personnel Psychology*, 77(4), 1351–1386. <https://doi.org/10.1111/peps.12641>
- Imai, T., Kuwahara, K., Miyamoto, T., Okazaki, H., Nishihara, A., Kabe, I., Mizoue, T., & Dohi, S. (2016). Validity and Reproducibility of Self-reported Working Hours Among Japanese Male Employees. *Journal of Occupational Health*, 58(4), 340–346. <https://doi.org/10.1539/joh.15-0260-oa>
- Islam, T., & Ahmed, I. (2018). Mechanism Between Perceived Organizational Support and Transfer of Training. *Management Research Review*, 41(3), 296–313. <https://doi.org/10.1108/mrr-02-2017-0052>
- Kawahito, K., Yamaguchi, H., Nakanishi, Y., Kawaminami, S., Tabata, R., Okura, Y., & Tani, K. (2023). Feelings Toward Medicine in Remote Areas Among Medical Students Aiming to Become Generalists: A Comparison With Other Specialists. *The Journal of Medical Investigation*, 70(1.2), 129–134. <https://doi.org/10.2152/jmi.70.129>
- Kemell, K., & Saarikallio, M. (2023). Hybrid Work Practices and Strategies in Software Engineering-Emerging Software Developer Experiences. *Ieee Access*, 11, 112861–112876. <https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2023.3322934>

- Maghlaperidze, E., Kharadze, N., & КуспЛЯК, Г. (2021). Development of Remote Jobs as a Factor to Increase Labor Efficiency. *Journal of Eastern European and Central Asian Research (Jeecar)*, 8(3), 337–348. <https://doi.org/10.15549/jeecar.v8i3.669>
- Müller, T., & Niessen, C. (2019). Self-leadership in the Context of Part-time Teleworking. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 40(8), 883–898. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2371>
- Munge, B., Thomas, G., & Heck, D. (2017). Outdoor Fieldwork in Higher Education: Learning From Multidisciplinary Experience. *Journal of Experiential Education*, 41(1), 39–53. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1053825917742165>
- Naeem, M. (2020). Understanding the Role of Social Media in Organizational Change Implementation. *Management Research Review*, 43(9), 1097–1116. <https://doi.org/10.1108/mrr-07-2019-0336>
- Puzia, M., Green, J., Clarke, C., Cloonan, S., & Huberty, J. (2022). Examining the Associations of Using the Calm App With Team Mindfulness and Psychological Safety in Remote Workers. *Environmental and Occupational Health Practice*, 4(1), n/a. <https://doi.org/10.1539/eohp.2022-0001-0a>
- Qu, Y., Hu, W., Huang, J., Tan, B., Ma, F., Xing, C., & Yuan, L. (2024). Ultra-Processed Food Consumption and Risk of Cardiovascular Events: A Systematic Review and Dose-Response Meta-Analysis. *Eclinicalmedicine*, 69, 102484. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2024.102484>
- Rachman, T., Anindita, R., Izmi, N. N., Ewaldo, C., Rezki, F., & Andini, E. T. (2022). Fostering Innovative Work Behavior of Employees in the Tourism Industry: Strategies for Successful Remote Tourism During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Jurnal Manajemen Dan Pemasaran Jasa*, 15(2), 227–240. <https://doi.org/10.25105/jmpj.v15i2.13573>
- Santhanam, G., Balaji, K. C., & Zeeta, S. (2022). Plug and Play New Joiners During Pandemic Times: Creating a Sense of Belongingness. *PNR*, 13(SO3). <https://doi.org/10.47750/pnr.2022.13.s03.186>
- Shirmohammadi, M., Au, W. C., & Beigi, M. (2022). Antecedents and Outcomes of Work-Life Balance While Working From Home: A Review of the Research Conducted During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Human Resource Development Review*, 21(4), 473–516. <https://doi.org/10.1177/15344843221125834>
- Stier, S., Breuer, J., Siegers, P., & Thorson, K. (2019). Integrating Survey Data and Digital Trace Data: Key Issues in Developing an Emerging Field. *Social Science Computer Review*, 38(5), 503–516. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0894439319843669>

- Tan, H., & Yan, M. (2020). Physician-User Interaction and Users' Perceived Service Quality: Evidence From Chinese Mobile Healthcare Consultation. *Information Technology and People*, 33(5), 1403–1426. <https://doi.org/10.1108/itp-01-2019-0039>
- Torro, O., Pirkkalainen, H., & Li, H. (2022). Media Synchronicity in Organizational Social Exchange. *Information Technology and People*, 35(8), 162–180. <https://doi.org/10.1108/itp-06-2020-0384>
- Tramontano, C., Grant, C., & Clarke, C. (2021). Development and Validation of the E-Work Self-Efficacy Scale to Assess Digital Competencies in Remote Working. *Computers in Human Behavior Reports*, 4, 100129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chbr.2021.100129>
- Treacy, S., Sabu, A., Bond, T., O'Sullivan, J., Sullivan, J., & Sylvester, P. E. (2023). Organizational Cybersecurity Post the Pandemic: An Exploration of Remote Working Risks and Mitigation Strategies. *International Conference on Cyber Warfare and Security*, 18(1), 394–401. <https://doi.org/10.34190/iccws.18.1.973>
- Vaujany, F. d., Leclercq-Vandelannoitte, A., & Islam, G. (2024). Experimentation in Qualitative Organization Research: Determinacy and Indeterminacy Through Walking Ethnography. *Organizational Research Methods*, 28(2), 155–181. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10944281241229787>
- Windeler, J., & Harrison, A. J. (2018). Rethinking Media Synchronicity Theory: Examining the Cooperative Assumption. <https://doi.org/10.24251/hicss.2018.092>
- Wontorczyk, A., & Rożnowski, B. (2022). Remote, Hybrid, and on-Site Work During the SARS-CoV-2 Pandemic and the Consequences for Stress and Work Engagement. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(4), 2400. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19042400>
- Yusriani, S., Patirol, S. P. S., Lusiati, M., & Nurbaeti, N. (2023). Exploring the Dynamics and Implications of Remote Work During and Post-Covid-19: A Qualitative Analysis. *Proceeding of the International Seminar on Business Economics Social Science and Technology (Isbest)*, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.33830/isbest.v3i1.1259>