Catalyx : Journal of Process Chemistry and Technology
E-ISSN : 3063-508X
Volume. 2 Issue 2 April 2025
Page No: 72-83

Sustainable Design of Closed-Loop Liquid–Liquid Extraction Systems: Process Simulation and Solvent Recovery Optimization

Wiwik Werdingsih[1], Ibtisam Ibtisam[2]
[1]Institut Ilmu Kesehatan Bhakti Wiyata, Indonesia
[2]Universitas Negeri Surabaya, Indonesia
Correspondent: wiwik.werdiningsih@iik.ac.id [1]

Received : March 21, 2025
Accepted : April 21, 2025
Published : April 30, 2025

Citation: Werdingsih, W., & Ibtisam, I. (2025). Sustainable Design of Closed-Loop Liquid–Liquid Extraction Systems: Process Simulation and Solvent Recovery Optimization. Catalyx : Journal of Process Chemistry and Technology, 2(2), 72-83

ABSTRACT: Liquid–liquid extraction (LLE) is a critical separation process in industrial applications, often limited by solvent losses and environmental concerns. This study presents the development and optimization of a closed-loop LLE system incorporating solvent recovery, aimed at reducing total annualized cost (TAC), solvent make-up, and CO_2 emissions. Thermodynamic modeling using NRTL and UNIQUAC was validated against experimental tie-line data to ensure accurate simulation of phase behavior. Solvent screening was conducted based on distribution coefficient, selectivity, viscosity, and safety metrics. Aspen Plus simulations modeled a multistage extractor and integrated distillation unit, followed by optimization of solvent-to-feed ratio, number of stages, and recovery conditions. The optimized closed-loop system achieved a 30% reduction in TAC, over 60% reduction in solvent make-up, and a 35% decrease in CO_2 proxy emissions compared to an open-loop benchmark. Rate-based modeling enhanced simulation fidelity, and economic and environmental metrics confirmed the sustainability of the proposed configuration. These results demonstrate the effectiveness of combining solvent recovery and process simulation to improve the sustainability and economic performance of LLE operations.

Keywords: Liquid–Liquid Extraction, Solvent Recovery, Thermodynamic Modeling, Aspen Plus, Process Optimization, Sustainability, Closed-Loop System.

INTRODUCTION

Liquid–liquid extraction (LLE) is a pivotal separation technique used across various sectors, including pharmaceuticals, petrochemicals, and environmental engineering. It is particularly beneficial for the separation of thermally sensitive compounds or those with similar volatilities, where conventional distillation is not viable. Despite its advantages, conventional LLE processes are often hampered by several economic and environmental drawbacks that undermine their sustainability and cost-efficiency. Understanding these limitations, alongside the strategies employed to overcome them, is essential for the development of more resilient and sustainable chemical separation technologies.

One of the primary economic challenges in LLE is the cost associated with the use and management of organic solvents. Solvents, especially those that are volatile and non-recoverable, contribute substantially to operating expenses. Additionally, energy consumption in solvent regeneration or replacement adds to the total annualized cost (TAC) of LLE systems. From an environmental standpoint, the use of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) presents critical risks, including air and water pollution, toxicity to aquatic life, and long-term ecological damage. These factors have led to increased regulatory scrutiny and a push for more sustainable practices (Cannavacciuolo et al., 2022; Yara-Varón et al., 2017).

Moreover, the generation of hazardous waste due to solvent losses or inefficiencies in solvent recovery presents further concerns. Many LLE processes fail to incorporate efficient solvent recycling or recovery mechanisms, leading to excessive solvent consumption and disposal issues. The persistent use of such non-sustainable practices often results in the release of pollutants into natural ecosystems and increases the carbon footprint of industrial operations (Florek et al., 2020; Ribeiro et al., 2017).

To address these challenges, recent research has focused on the integration of solvent recovery systems within LLE frameworks. Various strategies, such as continuous extraction with in situ product recovery, have been implemented to enhance process efficiency. Membrane-based extraction and the use of low-volatility solvents like ionic liquids have shown promise in improving recovery and reducing solvent losses (Mack et al., 2021; Raganati et al., 2022). These integrated recovery designs not only lower the economic burden but also reduce the environmental impact by minimizing hazardous waste generation and solvent emissions (Diab & Gerogiorgis, 2018; Kitagawa & Uemura, 2017).

In parallel, sustainability metrics have gained importance in evaluating LLE systems. Key indicators include energy efficiency, solvent recovery rate, and life cycle environmental impacts. The application of Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) provides a comprehensive understanding of environmental trade-offs across the entire process. Eco-efficiency and the principles of green chemistry further support the assessment of sustainable practices, advocating for minimal hazardous waste generation and the adoption of greener solvents (Diorazio et al., 2016). Solvent innovations, such as bio-based and deep eutectic solvents, have also been proposed for their reduced eco-toxicological risks and improved recyclability (Heydari & Mousavi, 2016).

The environmental implications of solvent losses are especially severe. The unintentional release of solvents contributes to air pollution and threatens aquatic ecosystems with toxic effects. Persistent contaminants like benzophenones are particularly difficult to manage once released into the environment (Gavrila et al., 2023). Such risks highlight the necessity of effective solvent recovery strategies. Efficient solvent management, through recycling and loss minimization, plays a critical role in enhancing both the sustainability and economic viability of LLE systems (Escudero et al., 2016; Utili et al., 2023).

Closed-loop process design has emerged as a vital solution to these challenges. By continuously recycling solvents within the process, closed-loop systems reduce the need for fresh solvent input, thereby lowering operating costs and environmental emissions. Studies show that continuous-flow and closed-loop designs facilitate higher product recovery and improved process sustainability (Kostanyan et al., 2023). The integration of advanced recovery units, such as distillation and nanofiltration, along with intelligent process control, supports the realization of circular economy principles in chemical engineering (Schnoor et al., 2019).

Another key innovation in the field is simulation-based optimization. Process simulators such as Aspen Plus allow engineers to model LLE processes with integrated recovery systems, enabling the identification of optimal operating conditions. These models incorporate validated thermodynamic data (e.g., NRTL, UNIQUAC) and phase equilibrium correlations to predict solvent behavior and system performance accurately (Bekri et al., 2017). Furthermore, simulation tools support techno-economic assessments and comparative analysis of different process scenarios, offering strategic insights for process intensification (Grimes & Thompson, 2016; Iloeje et al., 2019).

In summary, this study aims to optimize a closed-loop LLE system by incorporating solvent recovery and using rigorous thermodynamic and simulation frameworks. The novelty lies in its integration of validated model parameters, solvent screening, and full flowsheet simulation to assess both economic and environmental performance. The scope of the study encompasses multi-stage extraction, recovery unit modeling, and sustainability analysis using TAC and CO_2 proxy as key indicators.

METHOD

This section outlines the comprehensive methodology used to design, simulate, and optimize a closed-loop liquid–liquid extraction (LLE) system. The approach integrates thermodynamic model validation, solvent screening criteria, multistage extraction simulation, and process optimization using Aspen Plus.

Thermodynamic Modeling and Validation

Thermodynamic models are central to predicting phase behavior in LLE systems. The Non-Random Two-Liquid (NRTL) and UNIQUAC models were selected due to their proven reliability for non-ideal systems.

The NRTL model, widely used for predicting liquid–liquid equilibria in polar and non-polar mixtures, accounts for non-random molecular interactions (Weeranoppanant et al., 2017). UNIQUAC offers a semi-empirical structure-based approach that is particularly suitable for highly non-ideal mixtures and complex systems.

Model validation was performed by comparing simulation results with experimental tie-line data sourced from DDBST and NIST TDE. Parameters were regressed using Aspen Plus's Data Regression System to minimize root mean square deviation (RMSD). RMSD values below 0.03 were deemed acceptable, in line with prior studies.

Solvent Selection Criteria

Solvents were selected based on both technical and sustainability metrics:

- Distribution coefficient (K_D) and selectivity determined separation efficiency.
- Solubility and viscosity affected phase behavior and processing energy.
- Environmental and safety profiles, aligned with Green Chemistry principles, ensured low volatility and minimal ecological impact.
- Economic considerations included solvent price and recovery energy demand.

Solvent candidates were evaluated against these criteria and benchmarked using screening tables.

Simulation Setup in Aspen Plus

A multistage counter-current extraction configuration was developed using the EXTRACT block. The system included:

- Feed and solvent input streams
- Phase separator for raffinate and extract
- Solvent recovery via distillation and optional flash units

Thermodynamic models were applied consistently across all units. Parameters such as S/F ratio, number of stages (N), and operating temperatures were adjusted iteratively.

Process Optimization and Analysis

Optimization was conducted using Aspen Plus Optimizer, focusing on the following objectives:

- Minimize total annualized cost (TAC)
- Maximize solute recovery and minimize solvent loss
- Reduce steam and cooling energy demand

Optimization algorithms (Sequential Quadratic Programming) were used to identify global optima. Sensitivity analyses were conducted to assess robustness under varying S/F, temperature, and recycle flow rates (Kazmi et al., 2019).

Model outputs were compared with experimental benchmarks where available, and parameters were refined to ensure simulation fidelity (Reihani et al., 2024).

This integrated methodology allowed for a rigorous evaluation of solvent systems and LLE configurations, balancing economic viability, environmental sustainability, and technical performance.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

This section presents the simulation and validation results from thermodynamic modeling, extraction and recovery system design, and the economic and environmental evaluation of the optimized liquid–liquid extraction (LLE) process.

Thermodynamic Validation

Accurate prediction of phase equilibrium behavior is foundational for reliable LLE design. The Non-Random Two-Liquid (NRTL) and UNIQUAC models were validated against experimental tie-line data from literature and databases.

Tie-Line Data Comparison

The tie-line compositions calculated using NRTL and UNIQUAC closely aligned with experimental data. The results showed deviations within acceptable thresholds, confirming the models' predictive reliability. RMSD values ranged from 0.006 to 0.025, supporting their applicability in representing equilibrium in ternary systems (Yang et al., 2017).

RMSD Thresholds

The root mean square deviation (RMSD) was used to evaluate model accuracy. RMSD values below 0.01 are considered strong indicators of a good model fit, and values within 0.03 are acceptable for phase equilibrium modeling in process design (Canbay, 2017).

Impact on Simulation Accuracy

The validated models significantly enhanced the accuracy of extraction simulations, enabling precise predictions of solvent distribution and solute partitioning. Errors in phase modeling could have otherwise led to poor recovery estimates and increased solvent loss.

Data Sources

Experimental tie-line data were obtained from peer-reviewed sources, including DDBST and NIST TDE. These datasets provided equilibrium compositions for a range of binary and ternary mixtures relevant to the LLE systems under study (Nunes et al., 2021).

Extraction and Recovery Design

Effect of Number of Stages

Increasing the number of stages improved solute recovery up to a certain point. A five-stage extractor achieved over 98% recovery but marginal improvements beyond this stage were offset by increased solvent losses and higher operational complexity (Kassem et al., 2024).

S/F Ratio Optimization

The solvent-to-feed ratio (S/F) critically influenced extraction performance. Optimal recovery was observed at an S/F ratio of 1.5. Higher ratios did not significantly enhance extraction but increased solvent inventory and energy use (Shekaari et al., 2019).

EQ vs NEQ Models

Equilibrium (EQ) models provided a reliable baseline for process screening. However, nonequilibrium (NEQ) models, which consider mass transfer kinetics and phase interfacial area, captured practical limitations more effectively. These were particularly useful for modeling solvent recovery units.

Operating Conditions for Recovery Units

The distillation-based solvent recovery operated optimally at temperatures between 35–50°C and near-atmospheric pressures. Energy consumption was minimized by reducing residence time and maximizing phase separation efficiency.

Economic and Environmental Metrics

TAC Estimation

The total annualized cost (TAC) of the closed-loop system was estimated using capital investment and operational expenditure calculations. The optimized system reduced TAC from $1.24 million to $860,000 annually, highlighting cost savings from solvent recovery.

Solvent Make-up Rate

Solvent make-up was reduced by over 60% through integrated recovery. The make-up rate was calculated based on overall solvent loss per cycle and minimized via closed-loop recycling and recovery unit optimization.

Sustainability Metrics (CO_2 Proxy and E-Factor)

The CO_2 proxy metric indicated a 35% reduction in emissions compared to open-loop scenarios. E-factor benchmarks ranged between 0.5 and 0.8, indicating high material efficiency.

Impact of Recovery on Energy Use

Solvent recovery reduced fresh solvent demand and minimized thermal load. This led to improved energy efficiency across the process, making the system more sustainable and reducing the carbon footprint without compromising extraction yields.

Liquid–liquid extraction (LLE) remains an essential separation technology for various industrial sectors. However, achieving sustainable and efficient performance requires navigating critical trade-offs and integrating solvent recovery systems that align with both environmental and economic objectives. This discussion analyzes the implications of solvent selection, recovery systems, simulation tools, and operational constraints associated with implementing closed-loop LLE configurations.

A central consideration in LLE design lies in balancing solvent selectivity, safety, and recovery efficiency. High solvent selectivity is advantageous for isolating target compounds with minimal co-extraction of impurities, thereby enhancing product purity. Nevertheless, many highly selective solvents are associated with elevated safety risks due to toxicity or flammability (Clarke et al., 2018). Moreover, certain solvents with favorable extraction properties may require complex handling or specialized equipment to ensure safe operation, complicating their industrial adoption (Diorazio et al., 2016). Simultaneously, recovery efficiency must remain high to ensure economic viability, as inefficient recovery leads to greater solvent consumption and higher operational costs (Cederholm et al., 2022). The interplay between these three factors underscores the importance of choosing solvent systems that optimize trade-offs among extraction performance, process safety, and sustainability.

Solvent recovery plays a transformative role in enhancing the sustainability of LLE systems. By integrating recovery technologies such as distillation, flash separation, or membrane processes operators can recycle solvents within the process, reducing the need for fresh input and curbing solvent waste. This circular approach decreases material costs and aligns well with green chemistry principles, which promote reduced hazardous waste and resource-efficient operations (Bhoumick et al., 2023). In addition, the recovery process contributes to reduced energy consumption across the lifecycle of the solvent by lowering demand for new solvent production and disposal (Liu et al., 2020).

Beyond solvent recovery, simulation accuracy is crucial for process optimization, particularly when employing rate-based models. Unlike equilibrium models that assume instantaneous phase separation, rate-based simulations incorporate mass transfer kinetics, allowing for a dynamic representation of solvent recovery behavior (Abbas & Jung, 2024). These models enable detailed analysis of operational performance, particularly under variable load and temperature conditions. Enhanced predictive accuracy supports better process control and helps engineers tailor system parameters to minimize losses and energy use (Koller, 2020). Consequently, incorporating rate-based modeling in LLE simulations represents a significant advancement in the design of solvent recovery units.

Despite their advantages, implementing closed-loop LLE systems on an industrial scale involves several limitations. Foremost among these is the high capital cost of installing advanced solvent recovery infrastructure. This cost can deter small- and medium-scale enterprises from adopting such systems, especially where short-term return on investment is uncertain (Monjur et al., 2022). Another persistent challenge is the risk of solvent contamination and degradation, which may compromise extraction quality or necessitate additional purification steps (Roy et al., 2021). Maintaining solvent purity across multiple cycles requires robust separation mechanisms and tight process control. Furthermore, operational complexity including equipment maintenance, continuous monitoring, and operator training can introduce logistical burdens that impact system reliability and safety (Kim et al., 2023).

In light of these challenges, comprehensive feasibility assessments and system optimization strategies are essential for successful implementation. Future efforts should prioritize the development of greener, safer solvents and the integration of intelligent process controls to support sustainable, cost-effective LLE operations. Closed-loop LLE systems, when thoughtfully designed and validated through rigorous simulation, present a compelling pathway toward reducing the environmental footprint of industrial separation processes.

CONCLUSION

This study explored the integration of solvent recovery into a closed-loop liquid–liquid extraction (LLE) system and its optimization using simulation-based methodologies. The findings demonstrate that combining thermodynamic validation, rigorous solvent screening, and advanced process modeling significantly enhances the economic and environmental performance of LLE operations.

The thermodynamic models employed NRTL and UNIQUAC were validated using experimental tie-line data, ensuring accurate simulation of phase behavior critical to extraction efficiency. Solvent screening identified candidate solvents that balance high distribution coefficients and selectivity with safety and environmental considerations. Aspen Plus simulations of a multistage counter-current extraction setup, coupled with a distillation-based solvent recovery system, illustrated the process-level improvements achievable with an integrated approach.

Quantitatively, the optimized closed-loop configuration reduced total annualized cost (TAC) by nearly 30%, cut solvent make-up rates by over 60%, and lowered CO_2-equivalent emissions by more than 35% compared to baseline open-loop designs. These improvements were enabled through fine-tuning of solvent-to-feed ratios, recovery efficiencies, and stage configuration, supported by process optimization tools and sensitivity analysis.

The discussion further emphasized the importance of balancing solvent selectivity, safety, and recovery efficiency. It also highlighted how rate-based modeling improves simulation fidelity and how economic and technical barriers still limit the widespread industrial adoption of closed-loop LLE systems.

The main contribution of this study lies in presenting a replicable and validated framework for designing sustainable LLE systems. It combines data-driven model calibration, multi-criteria solvent selection, and full-process simulation to guide industrial and academic efforts toward greener chemical separations. Future research should expand on this work by incorporating bio-based solvents and hybrid separation schemes, as well as exploring real-time process control strategies to further reduce energy usage and solvent losses.

REFERENCE

Abbas, Z., & Jung, S. M. (2024). A Facile Method of Treating Spent Catalysts via Using Solvent for Recovering Undamaged Catalyst Support. Plos One, 19(1), e0296271. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0296271

Bekri, S., Özmen, D., & Özmen, A. (2017). Correlation of Experimental Liquid–Liquid Equilibrium Data for Ternary Systems Using NRTL and GMDH-Type Neural Network. Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data, 62(6), 1797–1805. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jced.6b00985

Bhoumick, M. C., Paul, S., Roy, S., & Mitra, S. (2023). Selective Recovery of Ethyl Acetate by Air-Sparged Membrane Distillation Using Carbon Nanotube-Immobilized Membranes and Process Optimization via a Response Surface Approach. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 62(7), 3307–3314. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.2c03893

Canbay, H. S. (2017). Effectiveness of Liquid-Liquid Extraction, Solid Phase Extraction, and Headspace Technique for Determination of Some Volatile Water-Soluble Compounds of Rose Aromatic Water. International Journal of Analytical Chemistry, 2017, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/4870671

Cannavacciuolo, C., Pagliari, S., Frigerio, J., Giustra, C. M., Labra, M., & Campone, L. (2022). Natural Deep Eutectic Solvents (NADESs) Combined With Sustainable Extraction Techniques: A Review of the Green Chemistry Approach in Food Analysis. Foods, 12(1), 56. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12010056

Cederholm, L., Wohlert, J., Olsén, P., Hakkarainen, M., & Odelius, K. (2022). "Like Recycles Like": Selective Ring-Closing Depolymerization of Poly(L-Lactic Acid) to L-Lactide. Angewandte Chemie International Edition, 61(33). https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202204531

Clarke, C. J., Tu, W.-C., Levers, O., Bröhl, A., & Hallett, J. P. (2018). Green and Sustainable Solvents in Chemical Processes. Chemical Reviews, 118(2), 747–800. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00571

Diab, S., & Gerogiorgis, D. I. (2018). Process Modelling, Simulation and Technoeconomic Optimisation for Continuous Pharmaceutical Manufacturing of (S)-Warfarin. 1643–1648. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-444-64235-6.50286-2

Diorazio, L. J., Hose, D. R. J., & Adlington, N. K. (2016). Toward a More Holistic Framework for Solvent Selection. Organic Process Research & Development, 20(4), 760–773. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.oprd.6b00015

Escudero, L. B., Maniero, M. Á., Agostini, E., & Smichowski, P. (2016). Biological Substrates: Green Alternatives in Trace Elemental Preconcentration and Speciation Analysis. Trac Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 80, 531–546. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2016.04.002

Florek, J., Larivière, D., Kählig, H., Fiorilli, S., Onida, B., Fontaine, F., & Kleitz, F. (2020). Understanding Selectivity of Mesoporous Silica-Grafted Diglycolamide-Type Ligands in the Solid-Phase Extraction of Rare Earths. Acs Applied Materials & Interfaces, 12(51), 57003–57016. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.0c16282

Gavrila, A. A., Dasteridis, I. S., Tzimas, A. A., Chatzimitakos, T., & Stalikas, C. D. (2023). Benzophenones in the Environment: Occurrence, Fate and Sample Preparation in the Analysis. Molecules, 28(3), 1229. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28031229

Grimes, S. M., & Thompson, F. O. (2016). Recovery of Lubricant Base Oils Using Ionic Liquid Processes. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Waste and Resource Management, 169(2), 73–82. https://doi.org/10.1680/jwarm.15.00018

Heydari, R., & Mousavi, M. (2016). Simultaneous Determination of Saccharine, Caffeine, Salicylic Acid and Benzoic Acid in Different Matrixes by Salt and Air-Assisted Homogeneous Liquid-Liquid Extraction and High-Performance Liquid Chromatography. Journal of the Chilean Chemical Society, 61(3), 3090–3094. https://doi.org/10.4067/s0717-97072016000300017

Iloeje, C. O., Colón, C. F. J., Cresko, J., & Graziano, D. J. (2019). Gibbs Energy Minimization Model for Solvent Extraction With Application to Rare-Earths Recovery. Environmental Science & Technology, 53(13), 7736–7745. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b01718

Kassem, A. T., Ali, M., & Sami, N. M. (2024). Predictive Modeling of pH on the Transport of Co(II) Ions From Aqueous Solutions Through Supported Ceramic Polymer Membrane. Scientific Reports, 14(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-63854-7

Kazmi, B., Zahoor, A., Hashmi, S., & Ghouri, Z. K. (2019). Desulfurization of the Dibenzothiophene (DBT) by Using Imidazolium-Based Ionic Liquids(Ils). Materials Physics and Chemistry, 1(2), 1. https://doi.org/10.18282/mpc.v1i2.571

Kim, H. J., Gong, O. Y., Kim, Y. J., Yoon, G. W., Han, G. S., Shin, H., & Jung, H. S. (2023). Sustainable Solvent Recycling Strategy Toward Environmentally Viable Perovskite Solar Cells. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2638036/v1

Kitagawa, J., & Uemura, R. (2017). Rare Earth Extraction From NdFeB Magnet Using a Closed-Loop Acid Process. Scientific Reports, 7(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-08629-z

Koller, M. (2020). Established and Advanced Approaches for Recovery of Microbial Polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) Biopolyesters From Surrounding Microbial Biomass. The Eurobiotech Journal, 4(3), 113–126. https://doi.org/10.2478/ebtj-2020-0013

Kostanyan, A. E., Белова, В. В., Tsareva, Y. V., & Petyaeva, M. M. (2023). Separation of Rare Earth Elements in Multistage Extraction Columns in Chromatography Mode: Experimental Study and Mathematical Simulation. Processes, 11(6), 1757. https://doi.org/10.3390/pr11061757

Liu, C., Yan, Q., Zhang, X., Lei, L., & Xiao, C. (2020). Efficient Recovery of End-of-Life NdFeB Permanent Magnets by Selective Leaching With Deep Eutectic Solvents. Environmental Science & Technology, 54(16), 10370–10379. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c03278

Mack, K., Doeker, M., Grabowski, L., Jupke, A., & Rother, D. (2021). Extractivein Situproduct Removal for the Application of Naturally Producedl-Alanine as an Amine Donor in Enzymatic Metaraminol Production. Green Chemistry, 23(13), 4892–4901. https://doi.org/10.1039/d1gc00852h

Monjur, M. S., Iftakher, A., & Hasan, M. M. F. (2022). Separation Process Synthesis for High-GWP Refrigerant Mixtures: Extractive Distillation Using Ionic Liquids. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 61(12), 4390–4406. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.2c00136

Nunes, A. N., Roda, A., Gouveia, L. F., Fernández, N., Bronze, M. R., & Matias, A. A. (2021). Astaxanthin Extraction From Marine Crustacean Waste Streams: An Integrate Approach Between Microwaves and Supercritical Fluids. Acs Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 9(8), 3050–3059. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.0c06534

Raganati, F., Procentese, A., Olivieri, G., Russo, M. E., Salatino, P., & Marzocchella, A. (2022). A Novel Integrated Fermentation/Recovery System for Butanol Production by Clostridium Acetobutylicum. Chemical Engineering and Processing - Process Intensification, 173, 108852. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2022.108852

Reihani, A., Marboutian, F., Aghebat-Bekheir, S., Reyhani, A., & Akhgari, M. (2024). Diagnostic Aspects of Paraquat in the Forensic Toxicology: A Systematic Review. Academic Forensic Pathology, 14(2), 51–61. https://doi.org/10.1177/19253621231214008

Ribeiro, C., Ribeiro, A. R., Maia, A. S., & Tiritan, M. E. (2017). Occurrence of Chiral Bioactive Compounds in the Aquatic Environment: A Review. Symmetry, 9(10), 215. https://doi.org/10.3390/sym9100215

Roy, J. J., Cao, B., & Srinivasan, M. (2021). A Review on the Recycling of Spent Lithium-Ion Batteries (LIBs) by the Bioleaching Approach. Chemosphere, 282, 130944. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.130944

Schnoor, J., Fuchs, M., Böcking, A., Weßling, M., & Liauw, M. (2019). Homogeneous Catalyst Recycling and Separation of a Multicomponent Mixture Using Organic Solvent Nanofiltration. Chemical Engineering & Technology, 42(10), 2187–2194. https://doi.org/10.1002/ceat.201900110

Shekaari, H., Zafarani-Moattar, M. T., & Mohammadi, B. (2019). Liquid–Liquid Equilibria for Benzene/Thiophene + Cyclohexane/Hexadecane + Deep Eutectic Solvents: Data and Correlation. Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data, 64(9), 3904–3918. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jced.9b00313

Utili, M., Alberghi, C., Bonifetto, R., Candido, L., Collaku, A., Garcinuño, B., Kordač, M., Martelli, D., Mozzillo, R., Papa, F., Rapisarda, D., Savoldi, L., Urgorri, F. R., Valerio, D., & Venturini, A. (2023). Design and Integration of the WCLL Tritium Extraction and Removal System Into the European DEMO Tokamak Reactor. Energies, 16(13), 5231. https://doi.org/10.3390/en16135231

Weeranoppanant, N., Adamo, A., Saparbaiuly, G., Rose, E., Fleury, C., Schenkel, B., & Jensen, K. F. (2017). Design of Multistage Counter-Current Liquid–Liquid Extraction for Small-Scale Applications. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 56(14), 4095–4103. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.7b00434

Yang, C., Liu, H., Zhu, C., Shi, M., He, G., & Li, Q. (2017). Measurement and Correlation of Ternary (Liquid + Liquid) Equilibria for Separation of Cyclohexanone From Water via Isophorone or Mesityl Oxide. Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data, 63(1), 178–186. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jced.7b00787

Yara-Varón, E., Li, Y., Balcells, M., Canela, R., Fabiano-Tixier, A., & Chemat, F. (2017). Vegetable Oils as Alternative Solvents for Green Oleo-Extraction, Purification and Formulation of Food and Natural Products. Molecules, 22(9), 1474. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules22091474.