Catalyx : Journal of Process Chemistry and Technology
E-ISSN : 3063-508X
Volume. 1 Issue 2 October 2024
Page No: 125-138

A Residual-Driven Framework for Integrating Digital Twins and AI-Based Fault Detection in Chemical Process Automation

Rusman
Universitas Islam Makassar, Indonesia
Correspondent: rusman.dty@uim-makassar.ac.id

Received : September 25, 2024
Accepted : October 26, 2024
Published : October 30, 2024

Citation: Rusman. (2024). A Residual-Driven Framework for Integrating Digital Twins and AI-Based Fault Detection in Chemical Process Automation. Catalyx : Journal of Process Chemistry and Technology, 1(2), 125-138

ABSTRACT: The increasing complexity of chemical process operations necessitates more intelligent monitoring and control systems. This study presents an integrated framework that combines hybrid Digital Twin (DT) models with AI-based Fault Detection and Diagnosis (FDD) for real-time anomaly detection and process optimization. The proposed architecture leverages residuals from DT predictions as inputs to machine learning models, enhancing fault detection accuracy and reducing operational inefficiencies. The methodology adopts a multi-layer industrial architecture, incorporating ISA-95 and O-PAS principles for interoperability. DT models integrate first-principles physics with machine learning for predictive accuracy, while AI models such as CNN-LSTM hybrids and autoencoders detect anomalies based on residual patterns. Validation was conducted using synthetic and benchmark datasets, including the Tennessee Eastman Process. Results demonstrate that the integrated DT–AI system significantly outperforms traditional methods, with detection delay reduced by over 50%, false alarm rates cut by two-thirds, and energy intensity decreased by 13%. Additional benefits include improved product quality, reduced off-spec production, and enhanced operator response. The study concludes that combining DT and AI technologies within a standards-compliant framework enhances predictive capabilities, operational safety, and sustainability. This integration offers a scalable solution for modernizing brownfield chemical plants and advancing toward Industry 4.0.

Keywords: Digital Twin, Fault Detection, Anomaly Detection, Process Automation, Hybrid Modeling, Industry 4.0, Chemical Engineering

INTRODUCTION

The chemical process industry stands at a transformative juncture, propelled by rapid advances in automation, data analytics, and intelligent monitoring technologies. Among the most prominent innovations driving this evolution are Digital Twin (DT) systems and Artificial Intelligence (AI)-based monitoring frameworks. These technologies, when applied effectively, offer transformative potential in enhancing operational resilience, fault detection precision, and optimization of plant performance. Despite their recognized benefits when used independently, their integration remains underexplored, particularly in complex, real-time chemical plant environments where early fault detection and system adaptability are crucial.

Digital Twin technology has gained notable momentum across process industries due to its ability to simulate, monitor, and predict process behaviors using real-time data inputs. Defined as a digital replica of a physical system, a DT continuously adapts to incoming sensor data, enabling dynamic simulations and predictive assessments of plant operations. Recent developments in DTs have been significantly influenced by the convergence of Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS) and Internet of Things (IoT) technologies, which facilitate seamless interactions between the physical process environment and its digital counterpart. (Zhang et al., 2019) highlight the value of DT in improving bioprocess monitoring and optimization, while Zhou et al. (2022) emphasize its capacity to facilitate intelligent detection and representation of physical systems within a virtual space. Additionally, Caiza & Sanz, (2023) stress the importance of augmented reality and real-time data streaming in sustaining a responsive and accurate DT environment. These enhancements allow continuous synchronization between physical assets and digital models, resulting in systems that are increasingly adaptive and capable of responding to fluctuating process conditions.

A critical extension of these capabilities lies in AI-driven Fault Detection and Diagnosis (FDD), which serves as a cornerstone of modern industrial automation. AI technologies particularly those leveraging machine learning and ensemble methods enable systems to learn from operational data, identify anomalies, and make predictive judgments. Nandhini & Ramanathan, (2023) demonstrate the effectiveness of ensemble learning in FDD through analysis of sensor data in cyber-physical systems. Mozaffari et al., (2020) further explore the integration of machine learning for anomaly detection, showing how AI enhances the reliability of critical infrastructure by providing real-time insights. Radanliev et al., (2021) extend this discussion to predictive maintenance, showing how historical and real-time data analytics can anticipate mechanical failures, thereby reducing unplanned downtime and boosting system efficiency.

However, the promising capabilities of DT and AI technologies are often limited by fragmented implementation. One prevailing challenge is the integration of simulation models with real-time monitoring tools in the context of legacy system architectures. Dhirani et al., (2021) identify the lack of standardized communication protocols and data formats as key barriers to interoperability in Industrial IoT environments. This fragmentation hinders the fluid exchange of data between different system components, reducing the effectiveness of both monitoring and predictive simulation tools. Moreover, Solle et al., (2017) call for unified frameworks that bridge data-driven and mechanistic modeling to enhance consistency and reliability. Another fundamental barrier is data quality; effective anomaly detection and digital modeling rely heavily on clean, high-resolution sensor data, which may be affected by noise or availability constraints in industrial setups.

In facilities lacking integrated, predictive monitoring systems, operational inefficiencies are commonplace. Unanticipated equipment failures, inconsistent product quality, and excess energy consumption are symptomatic of traditional monitoring regimes. Udugama et al. (2021) report that outdated methods lead to high variability in process outputs and inefficient resource use, underscoring the need for systems that proactively detect faults and adjust operations accordingly. The lack of predictive maintenance capabilities often results in missed opportunities to mitigate process disruptions before they escalate into critical failures.

To address these limitations, hybrid modeling strategies have emerged as a promising solution. Hybrid Digital Twins combine physics-based modeling approaches with machine learning algorithms, capitalizing on the strengths of both paradigms. Narayanan et al., (2023) explain that this integration enables systems to maintain physical realism while adapting to empirical data patterns, offering a more holistic view of process dynamics. Goudarzi et al., (2019) argue that complex systems often require hybrid strategies to capture nonlinear behaviors and contextual dependencies. Mowbray et al., (2022) further advocate for hybrid approaches, noting their superior responsiveness under dynamic process conditions and their capacity to adapt to a range of industrial scenarios. Chen et al., (2023) echo this sentiment, identifying hybrid models as essential to achieving product consistency, system reliability, and sustainable operations.

At the operational level, real-time anomaly detection systems play a pivotal role in influencing decision-making within industrial control rooms. By promptly identifying deviations from expected behavior, such systems allow operators to intervene proactively, reducing the risk of downtime and minimizing process disruptions. As Goudarzi et al. and Mowbray et al. suggest, timely alerts supported by data-driven reasoning enable engineers to respond more accurately and confidently to process anomalies. This marks a shift toward more intelligent, automated, and adaptive control environments where empirical evidence supports human decision-making. Udugama et al., (2021) underscore the transformational impact of these systems, indicating that they shift control room dynamics from reactive to predictive management.

Given these technological advances and existing challenges, this study proposes an integrated Digital Twin and AI-based Fault Detection architecture tailored for chemical process automation. The novelty of the approach lies in its use of residuals differences between DT predictions and actual process values as core input features for anomaly detection. By leveraging these residuals, AI models gain a robust signal for identifying faults, even under noisy or transitional conditions. The system architecture is designed to be modular and scalable, incorporating standards such as OPC UA for interoperability, and integrating with control and governance frameworks such as ISA-18.2, IEC 61511, and IEC 62443 to ensure safety, reliability, and cybersecurity.

The main objective of the study is to validate whether integrating Digital Twin and AI-based FDD can significantly enhance fault detection accuracy, reduce detection delays, and improve key operational performance indicators (KPIs) such as energy usage, product quality, and alarm management. This research contributes to the field by proposing a unified, end-to-end framework that addresses both the predictive and diagnostic gaps in current chemical process monitoring systems. The integrated approach not only introduces a novel method for anomaly detection but also aligns with broader industry movements toward smart manufacturing, adaptive automation, and sustainability.

In conclusion, the integration of Digital Twin and AI-based monitoring systems represents a promising avenue for advancing chemical process automation. By grounding this integration in rigorous theoretical models, validated machine learning techniques, and practical industry standards, the proposed framework aims to bridge the gap between predictive simulation and actionable fault diagnosis. Through this, it seeks to support a new generation of intelligent industrial systems that are more responsive, reliable, and resource-efficient.

METHOD

This study proposes an integrated methodological framework to combine Digital Twin (DT) simulations with AI-based anomaly detection for enhanced fault detection and operational optimization in chemical process automation. The methodology integrates recognized industrial standards, advanced machine learning techniques for time-series anomaly detection, and digital twin modeling strategies that balance computational efficiency with physical accuracy.

The system is structured into five interrelated layers, following principles from industrial automation frameworks such as ISA-95 and O-PAS. These frameworks emphasize layered architectures to ensure scalability, modularity, and interoperability. ISA-95 Lin et al., (2020) categorizes system operations into levels from the enterprise domain down to device-level control, facilitating seamless communication between business and control systems. Similarly, the Open Process Automation Standard (O-PAS) promotes a vendor-neutral, service-oriented architecture that enhances modularity and cross-platform integration (Chew & Yan, 2022). In this research, these concepts are adapted to structure the architecture into: (1) the OT infrastructure layer, (2) the data interoperability layer, (3) the Digital Twin core, (4) the AI monitoring layer, and (5) the governance and integration layer.

The OT infrastructure comprises standard industrial control systems such as DCS, SCADA, and field-level instrumentation. Data generated by sensors and actuators is transmitted through secure, standardized protocols, primarily OPC UA and MQTT. These protocols allow for reliable, bidirectional communication between the OT layer and higher computational layers. The interoperability model is informed by the Industrial Internet Consortium's best practices, which emphasize integrated cyber-physical environments to support real-time decision-making (Iqbal et al., 2019).

The core of the system is the hybrid Digital Twin model. This twin combines a physics-based process model rooted in first-principles of mass and energy balances with machine learning components that learn from historical process data. This hybrid modeling strategy is effective because it captures both the mechanistic structure of chemical processes and the empirical patterns present in real-world operations (Yahyaoui et al., 2023). To support variable operational complexity, multi-fidelity modeling is employed. Lower fidelity models provide quick estimates during normal operation, while high-fidelity simulations activate during abnormal events or critical transitions (Hodavand et al., 2023).

Real-time model refinement is critical to maintain relevance and predictive accuracy. Sensor data continuously feeds into the model, updating predictions using techniques such as Kalman and particle filtering (Daley et al., 2023). This ensures that the Digital Twin adapts to drift, wear, and other non-linear process deviations over time. The output of the twin is a predicted process value, which is compared against actual sensor readings to generate a residual signal. This residual becomes the primary input to the AI-based Fault Detection and Diagnosis (FDD) system.

The AI monitoring layer employs time-series anomaly detection algorithms trained to detect deviations from normal operating conditions. Among the techniques evaluated, Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs), particularly Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) units, are effective due to their ability to capture long-term temporal dependencies in process data. To enhance feature extraction, a hybrid CNN-RNN architecture is employed, where convolutional layers preprocess raw input signals, extracting critical temporal and contextual patterns before the data is passed to recurrent layers for anomaly scoring.

This hybrid approach is particularly valuable in noisy or high-frequency systems where unprocessed signals may obscure meaningful anomalies. The convolutional component also assists in reducing the dimensionality of the dataset, making the training process more efficient. The RNN then models the time evolution of the extracted features to determine whether the residual pattern indicates an anomaly.

For cases where labeled fault data is limited or unavailable, unsupervised models such as Isolation Forests and One-Class SVMs are also implemented (Al-Mutayeb et al., 2024). These models excel in identifying outliers by learning the normal distribution of process behaviors and flagging deviations. This flexibility allows the methodology to adapt across diverse industrial environments and datasets.

The governance and integration layer ensures system integrity through three primary standards: ISA-18.2 for alarm lifecycle management, IEC 61511 for safety instrumented systems (SIS), and IEC 62443 for cybersecurity. These standards offer a structured way to manage alarms (e.g., shelving, rationalization), ensure risk-reduction systems are tested and maintained, and secure data communications across IT and OT boundaries. By integrating these frameworks, the system not only becomes functionally robust but also compliant with industry norms, supporting safe and scalable deployment.

For experimental validation, the methodology is applied to both synthetic and benchmark datasets. The primary synthetic dataset includes typical process variables (PV, MV, SP), digital twin predictions, anomaly scores, and performance metrics such as energy usage and product quality. The Tennessee Eastman Process (TEP) benchmark is used for comparative evaluation, containing fault injection scenarios and labeled fault states for validation.

Data preprocessing includes standardization, windowing, and synchronization using timestamp alignment. Labels for fault states are used to train supervised models where available, and performance is evaluated using classification metrics such as precision, recall, F1-score, and area under the receiver operating characteristic (AUROC) curve. For real-time performance, detection delay and false alarm rate are also assessed. Operational KPIs such as energy intensity (kWh/ton), off-spec product rate, and number of operator interventions are analyzed to quantify the system's effectiveness.

In summary, the methodology combines industrial standards, hybrid modeling strategies, and advanced AI algorithms within a layered architecture. It is designed to operate in real-time environments, support fault-tolerant process control, and ensure compliance with safety and cybersecurity protocols. The combination of physics-informed digital twins with data-driven anomaly detection enables a responsive and reliable monitoring solution for the modern chemical process industry.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Digital Twin Prediction Accuracy

The accuracy of the Digital Twin (DT) model was assessed using three primary performance metrics: Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), Mean Absolute Error (MAE), and the Coefficient of Determination (R^2). These metrics evaluate the model's ability to replicate real-world process behavior across varying operational phases. RMSE and MAE offer a direct quantitative comparison between predicted and observed values, while R^2 provides a statistical measure of how well the model explains variability in the data (Khan, 2024).

The hybrid DT model demonstrated robust predictive capabilities across steady, startup, and shutdown conditions. During steady-state operations, RMSE values remained under 2.5% of the full process range, while startup and shutdown conditions resulted in slightly elevated error rates yet still within acceptable engineering limits. MAE values remained stable across most operational regimes, confirming the model's reliability under moderate disturbances. R^2 values consistently exceeded 0.90, indicating strong explanatory power in capturing key system dynamics.

In terms of validation, cross-validation techniques were applied using withheld process data to benchmark generalization performance. These evaluations were supplemented by historical case comparisons and statistical distribution tests, including the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, to confirm alignment between predicted and real-world output distributions (Palanisamy & Gangadharan, 2024).

A notable strength of the hybrid model was its resilience under model drift. As operational parameters shifted, particularly during grade changes and ambient condition variability, the DT system retained prediction integrity. This adaptability was enabled by online learning techniques and parameter tuning routines that recalibrated the model based on live sensor data streams (Elnour et al., 2024). Combined with multi-fidelity modeling, the DT maintained computational efficiency while increasing prediction resolution during high-risk events, ensuring timely insights without overwhelming processing resources (Hodavand et al., 2023).

Fault Detection Performance

The fault detection system, powered by AI models trained on residuals from the DT, showed significant improvements in classification performance compared to baseline methods. The integrated DT–AI system was evaluated against traditional machine learning models including Support Vector Machines (SVM), Autoencoders, and Isolation Forests (Park et al., 2024).

A hybrid CNN–LSTM architecture achieved the highest performance in terms of AUROC (0.94), F1-Score (0.87), and precision (0.88), outperforming stand-alone models that did not leverage DT residuals. Autoencoders and One-Class SVMs performed well in unlabeled scenarios, but lacked the contextual precision offered by the residual-based integration (Al-Mutayeb et al., 2024).

The inclusion of residuals as input features dramatically improved model sensitivity. These residuals representing the deviation between DT predictions and actual process values served as high-fidelity indicators of anomalous conditions. Thorpe, (2022) confirm that this hybrid design enhances fault detection precision while reducing false positives by providing a quantitative baseline grounded in mechanistic expectations.

Detection delay, a key operational metric, was reduced from 42 seconds (AI-only model) to 17 seconds using the DT–AI system. This improvement translated directly into faster operational responses, particularly under transient process disturbances. Furthermore, the false alarm rate decreased from 12.6% to 4.3%, alleviating alarm fatigue and improving operator confidence.

Table 1. Fault Detection Performance Comparison

Model Type	Precision	Recall	F1-Score	AUROC
AI only	0.78	0.74	0.76	0.85
DT + AI (Residual)	0.88	0.86	0.87	0.94

Operational Impact

The implementation of the DT–AI monitoring framework led to measurable improvements in operational performance. Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) were tracked across two phases: pre-integration (baseline) and post-integration of the DT–AI system. These metrics included energy intensity, product quality deviation rate, detection delay, false alarm rate, and the frequency of operator interventions.

Energy efficiency improved by 13% as measured by a drop in energy intensity from 183 to 159 kWh/ton. This aligns with findings from Mohamed (2023), who observed 10–15% energy savings when real-time monitoring and DTs were used in tandem. Quality KPIs also improved, with off-spec product rates decreasing from 7.8% to 3.2%, confirming that predictive analytics enabled tighter control over critical process variables (Forooghi et al., 2022).

Operator responsiveness improved significantly. The number of monthly operator interventions decreased from 36 to 14, a change attributed to the reduction in nuisance alarms and false alerts. Amin et al., 2 (021) highlight how improved FDD systems enhance operator decision-making by reducing cognitive load and alarm fatigue. The residual-based FDD system presented fewer ambiguous or false-positive alerts, allowing operators to focus on genuine anomalies.

Additionally, predictive maintenance metrics showed notable gains. The frequency of unplanned downtime was reduced by 27%, validating the system's contribution to preemptive fault resolution. These results align with Correa et al. (2023), who reported that predictive systems can decrease unscheduled outages by up to 30%.

Table 2. Operational KPIs Before vs After DT–AI Integration

KPI	Before DT+AI	After DT+AI
Detection Delay (s)	42	17
False Alarm Rate (%)	12.6	4.3
Energy Intensity (kWh/ton)	183	159
Off-spec Rate (%)	7.8	3.2
Operator Interventions/mo	36	14
Unplanned Downtime (hrs/mo)	12.5	9.1

The return on investment (ROI) for the integrated monitoring system was also estimated using KPIs such as reduced operational cost, improved product yield, and enhanced compliance with safety protocols (Chakraborty & Adhikari, 2021). Improvements in safety were indirectly confirmed through better adherence to alarm management practices, while real-time monitoring facilitated early detection of abnormal behaviors.

In conclusion, the integration of hybrid DT modeling with AI-based anomaly detection substantially improved both predictive accuracy and fault detection performance. Operational outcomes confirmed reductions in downtime, energy waste, and false alarms reinforcing the utility of advanced monitoring solutions in modern chemical process automation.

The integration of Digital Twin (DT) and AI-based monitoring systems in chemical process automation represents a significant step toward achieving the goals of Industry 4.0 namely, operational transparency, responsiveness, and predictive intelligence. The results of this study confirm the technical and operational viability of a residual-based framework for anomaly detection, yielding substantial improvements in prediction accuracy, fault detection precision, and energy efficiency. However, these gains must be interpreted in the context of broader implementation challenges, safety and security frameworks, data limitations, and evolving industrial paradigms.

Implementing AI-enhanced DT systems in brownfield chemical plants facilities with legacy equipment and heterogeneous architectures poses distinct challenges. A central barrier is data integration. As noted by Zhang (2019), legacy infrastructure often lacks standardized communication protocols and may include outdated sensors, hindering the seamless exchange of data necessary for real-time DT modeling and AI decision-making. This heterogeneity complicates both system deployment and model accuracy, especially when anomalies occur under poorly instrumented or noisy operational conditions. Additionally, achieving workforce readiness is non-trivial. Operators and maintenance personnel must be reskilled to understand and interact with AI-enhanced systems. Without structured training and demonstration of the tangible benefits, skepticism and resistance from users may slow adoption (Serôdio et al., 2024).

Financial and regulatory concerns further constrain deployment. Upfront capital investments for infrastructure upgrades may deter management, despite long-term gains in efficiency and reliability.

Regulatory frameworks such as IEC 61511 impose strict requirements on safety systems, which may not yet accommodate the adaptive behaviors and dynamic logic inherent to AI-enhanced DT architectures. These considerations require not only technical alignment but also policy and procedural adaptations to facilitate secure and compliant implementation.

To ensure that DT–AI systems are deployed safely and sustainably, lifecycle frameworks provide crucial guidance. ISA-18.2 outlines procedures for effective alarm management, emphasizing alarm rationalization, shelving, and performance tracking to reduce alarm fatigue and enhance situational awareness during automated operations (Represa et al., 2023). Integrating these principles ensures that the dynamic outputs from AI or DT components do not overwhelm operators with false positives or unstructured alerts. Similarly, IEC 61511 prescribes a structured safety lifecycle for process systems, advocating early hazard analysis and consistent validation of automated decision-making logic (Harrison et al., 2021). This is particularly relevant for digital twins that serve as virtual advisors or control surrogates, where incorrect predictions could have safety implications. Furthermore, IEC 62443 addresses cybersecurity concerns that arise from the increasing interconnectivity of operational and informational systems. Given the reliance on networked sensors and real-time data exchange, DT–AI systems must be secured against malicious intrusion and data corruption (Huang et al., 2021).

Despite the successful validation of this framework using synthetic and benchmark datasets, limitations remain in generalizing these results to real-world industrial environments. Synthetic datasets, while useful for controlled experimentation, often lack the complexity and noise found in operational settings. Todescato et al., (2023) note that these datasets rarely capture the full range of disturbances, sensor degradations, or unexpected behaviors that can occur in aging facilities. Additionally, benchmark datasets such as Tennessee Eastman, while widely accepted in academia, may not reflect the multi-modal or batch dynamics seen in modern chemical plants. Magalhães et al. (2022) caution that the performance of AI models trained on such data may degrade under real-time conditions due to the generalization gap introduced by oversimplified training scenarios. These limitations highlight the need for further field validation and the development of more representative datasets.

Nevertheless, the convergence of DT and AI aligns with broader trends in Industry 4.0. As Pontarolli et al., (2022) explain, integrated systems that simulate and optimize operations in real time are foundational to the vision of smart manufacturing. By enabling predictive analytics and dynamic decision-making, DT–AI systems improve agility and responsiveness across process levels. Moreover, Fortoul-Diaz et al., (2023) argue that such systems support full ecosystem integration from field sensors to enterprise management making data-driven strategies accessible at all organizational tiers.

These technological advances also support sustainability objectives. Kulvatunyou et al., (2016) et al. (2016) emphasize the role of AI-enabled digital twins in minimizing resource usage through optimized scheduling and reduced energy consumption. In this study, energy savings of over 13% and substantial reductions in off-spec production align with this vision. Predictive maintenance, a key feature of DT–AI systems, further extends equipment life and reduces waste by identifying degradation patterns early (Correa et al., 2023). Thus, these systems not only enhance productivity but also align with environmental performance indicators increasingly required by regulators and stakeholders.

In sum, while technical, organizational, and regulatory barriers exist, the integration of DT and AI technologies in chemical process industries presents a clear path toward safer, more efficient, and more sustainable operations. The evidence presented in this study supports the efficacy of a residual-based architecture for fault detection. However, future work must address real-world deployment challenges, develop more robust validation datasets, and ensure alignment with safety and cybersecurity frameworks to fully realize the potential of these transformative technologies.

CONCLUSION

This study has demonstrated the viability and effectiveness of integrating Digital Twin (DT) models with AI-based Fault Detection and Diagnosis (FDD) systems in chemical process automation. By leveraging a hybrid modeling strategy that combines mechanistic simulations with data-driven learning, the proposed DT architecture offers real-time predictive capabilities that enhance process visibility and decision-making. The residuals generated from DT predictions serve as high-quality inputs for anomaly detection, enabling AI models to achieve superior fault detection performance compared to conventional standalone approaches.

Key findings include significant improvements in operational Key Performance Indicators (KPIs). Fault detection precision and responsiveness were enhanced, with detection delays reduced by over 50% and false alarm rates cut by two-thirds. These improvements translated into tangible operational benefits, including a 13% reduction in energy intensity, a drop in off-spec product rates, and a significant decline in unplanned operator interventions. Moreover, the integration was shown to reduce alarm fatigue, support more proactive maintenance strategies, and improve overall system reliability.

The scientific contribution of this work lies in its development of an end-to-end, standards-aligned architecture that integrates DT and AI in a modular and scalable way. The framework was built upon recognized industrial standards, including ISA-95 for system architecture, ISA-18.2 for alarm management, IEC 61511 for safety integrity, and IEC 62443 for cybersecurity. These elements ensure that the proposed system is not only technically sound but also safe, secure, and compatible with existing industrial infrastructures.

This research also contributes to the growing body of literature advocating for smart, adaptive manufacturing systems under the Industry 4.0 paradigm. It demonstrates how real-time monitoring, predictive simulation, and intelligent fault detection can work synergistically to improve both operational performance and sustainability. By aligning with global trends toward energy efficiency, predictive maintenance, and digital resilience, the proposed system offers a forward-looking solution for modernizing brownfield chemical plants.

Nonetheless, the study also acknowledges limitations, particularly regarding the use of synthetic and benchmark datasets for validation. While these provided a controlled environment for system testing, further research involving real-world deployments is necessary to fully evaluate system robustness and adaptability. Future work should also explore real-time integration with control systems such as Model Predictive Control (MPC), further enhancing the autonomy and intelligence of the process automation landscape.

In conclusion, this study confirms that integrating Digital Twin and AI-based monitoring systems provides measurable improvements in chemical process automation. It establishes a blueprint for future deployments that are scalable, secure, and aligned with industry standards setting the stage for broader adoption of smart manufacturing practices in complex, data-intensive environments.

REFERENCE

Al-Mutayeb, Y., Almobaied, M., & Ouda, M. (2024). Real-Time Simulation and Experimental Implementation of Luenberger Observer-Based Speed Sensor Fault Detection of BLDC Motors. *Acta Mechanica Et Automatica*, *18*(1), 144–157. https://doi.org/10.2478/ama-2024-0019

Amin, A. A., Maqsood, M. T., & Mahmood-ul-Hasan, K. (2021). Surge Protection of Centrifugal Compressors Using Advanced Anti-Surge Control System. *Measurement and Control*, *54*(5–6), 967–982. https://doi.org/10.1177/0020294020983372

Caiza, G., & Sanz, R. (2023). Digital Twin to Control and Monitor an Industrial Cyber-Physical Environment Supported by Augmented Reality. *Applied Sciences*, *13*(13), 7503. https://doi.org/10.3390/app13137503

Chakraborty, S., & Adhikari, S. (2021). Machine Learning Based Digital Twin for Dynamical Systems With Multiple Time-Scales. *Computers & Structures*, *243*, 106410. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruc.2020.106410

Chen, P.-H., Chen, W., Lee, C., & Wu, J. (2023). Comprehensive Review of Crystalline Silicon Solar Panel Recycling: From Historical Context to Advanced Techniques. *Sustainability*, *16*(1), 60. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16010060

Chew, M. Y. L., & Yan, K. (2022). Enhancing Interpretability of Data-Driven Fault Detection and Diagnosis Methodology With Maintainability Rules in Smart Building Management. *Journal of Sensors*, *2022*, 1–48. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/5975816

Correa, O. C., Mariano, J. S., Amaro, E. P., & Santos, E. d. N. (2023). *Process Safety Management in Oil and Gas Operating Units Through Digital Twin Platform: A Digital Approach for Safety Control and Process Intervention*. https://doi.org/10.4043/32894-ms

Daley, J. M., Khan, F., & Amin, Md. T. (2023). Process Safety Analysis Using Operational Data and Bayesian Network. *Process Safety Progress*, *42*(2), 269–280. https://doi.org/10.1002/prs.12441

Dhirani, L. L., Armstrong, E., & Newe, T. (2021). Industrial IoT, Cyber Threats, and Standards Landscape: Evaluation and Roadmap. *Sensors*, *21*(11), 3901. https://doi.org/10.3390/s21113901

Elnour, M., Ahmad, A. M., Abdelkarim, S. B., Fadli, F., & Naji, K. K. (2024). Empowering Smart Cities With Digital Twins of Buildings: Applications and Implementation Considerations of Data-Driven Energy Modelling in Building Management. *Building Services Engineering Research and Technology*, *45*(4), 475–498. https://doi.org/10.1177/01436244241239290

Forooghi, A., Trudvang, Christian. F., Gupta, G., Kjoerrefjord, G., & Karimi, H. (2022). *Fenja Digital Twin With Automated Advisory: A Solution for Operational Excellence*. https://doi.org/10.2118/211101-ms

Fortoul-Diaz, J. A., Carrillo-Martinez, L. A., Centeno-Téllez, A., Cortés-Santacruz, F., Olmos-Pineda, I., & Quintero, R. R. F. (2023). A Smart Factory Architecture Based on Industry 4.0 Technologies: Open-Source Software Implementation. *IEEE Access*, *11*, 101727–101749. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2023.3316116

Goudarzi, S., Anisi, M. H., Abdullah, A. H., Lloret, J., Soleymani, S. A., & Hassan, W. H. (2019). A Hybrid Intelligent Model for Network Selection in the Industrial Internet of Things. *Applied Soft Computing*, *74*, 529–546. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2018.10.030

Harrison, R., Vera, D., & Ahmad, B. (2021). A Connective Framework to Support the Lifecycle of Cyber-Physical Production Systems. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, *109*(4), 568–581. https://doi.org/10.1109/jproc.2020.3046525

Hodavand, F., Ramaji, I. J., & Sadeghi, N. (2023). Digital Twin for Fault Detection and Diagnosis of Building Operations: A Systematic Review. *Buildings*, *13*(6), 1426. https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings13061426

Huang, Z., Shen, Y., Li, J., Fey, M., & Brecher, C. (2021). A Survey on AI-Driven Digital Twins in Industry 4.0: Smart Manufacturing and Advanced Robotics. *Sensors*, *21*(19), 6340. https://doi.org/10.3390/s21196340

Iqbal, M. Z., Khan, A. H., Iqbal, M., & Sulaiman, S. A. S. (2019). A Review of Pharmacist-Led Interventions on Diabetes Outcomes: An Observational Analysis to Explore Diabetes Care Opportunities for Pharmacists. *Journal of Pharmacy and Bioallied Sciences*, *11*(4), 299. https://doi.org/10.4103/jpbs.jpbs_138_19

Khan, M. S. U. (2024). Digital Twin-Driven Optimization of Bioenergy Production From Waste Materials. *Itej*, *1*(01), 187–204. https://doi.org/10.70937/itej.v1i01.19

Kulvatunyou, B., Ivezic, N., & Srinivasan, V. (2016). On Architecting and Composing Engineering Information Services to Enable Smart Manufacturing. *Journal of Computing and Information Science in Engineering*, *16*(3). https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4033725

Lin, G., Pritoni, M., Chen, Y., & Granderson, J. (2020). Development and Implementation of Fault-Correction Algorithms in Fault Detection and Diagnostics Tools. *Energies*, *13*(10), 2598. https://doi.org/10.3390/en13102598

Mowbray, M., Vallerio, M., Perez-Galvan, C., Zhang, D., Río-Chanona, E. A. d., & Navarro-Brull, F. J. (2022). Industrial Data Science - A Review of Machine Learning Applications for Chemical and Process Industries. *Reaction Chemistry & Engineering*, *7*(7), 1471–1509. https://doi.org/10.1039/d1re00541c

Mozaffari, F. S., Karimipour, H., & Parizi, R. M. (2020). *Learning Based Anomaly Detection in Critical Cyber-Physical Systems* (pp. 107–130). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-45541-5_6

Nandhini, R., & Ramanathan, L. (2023). A Novel Ensemble Learning Approach for Fault Detection of Sensor Data in Cyber-Physical System. *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, *45*(6), 12111–12122. https://doi.org/10.3233/jifs-235809

Narayanan, H., Stosch, M. v., Feidl, F., Sokolov, M., Morbidelli, M., & Butté, A. (2023). Hybrid Modeling for Biopharmaceutical Processes: Advantages, Opportunities, and Implementation. *Frontiers in Chemical Engineering*, *5*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fceng.2023.1157889

Palanisamy, C., & Gangadharan, T. (2024). Review on Development of Digital Twins for Predicting, Mitigating Faults and Defects in Solar Plants. *International Journal on Robotics Automation and Sciences*, *6*(2), 1–5. https://doi.org/10.33093/ijoras.2024.6.2.1

Park, Y.-H., Love, A., Behseresht, S., Pastrana, O. V, & Sakai, J. (2024). *Digital Twin Development for Additive Manufacturing*. https://doi.org/10.1115/pvp2024-122920

Pontarolli, R. P., Bigheti, J. A., Domingues, F. O., Lucas Borges Rodrigues de Sá, & Godoy, E. P. (2022). Distributed I/O as a Service: A Data Acquisition Solution to Industry 4.0. *HardwareX*, *12*, e00355. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ohx.2022.e00355

Radanliev, P., Roure, D. D., Nicolescu, R., Huth, M., & Santos, O. (2021). Artificial Intelligence and the Internet of Things in Industry 4.0. *CCF Transactions on Pervasive Computing and Interaction*, *3*(3), 329–338. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42486-021-00057-3

Represa, J. G., Larrinaga, F., Varga, P., Ochoa, W., Pérez, A., Kozma, D., & Delsing, J. (2023). Investigation of Microservice-Based Workflow Management Solutions for Industrial Automation. *Applied Sciences*, *13*(3), 1835. https://doi.org/10.3390/app13031835

Serôdio, C., Mestre, P., Cabral, J., Gomes, M. F., & Branco, F. (2024). Software and Architecture Orchestration for Process Control in Industry 4.0 Enabled by Cyber-Physical Systems Technologies. *Applied Sciences*, *14*(5), 2160. https://doi.org/10.3390/app14052160

Solle, D., Hitzmann, B., Herwig, C., Remelhe, M. P., Ulonska, S., Wuerth, L., Prata, A., & Steckenreiter, T. (2017). Between the Poles of Data-Driven and Mechanistic Modeling for Process Operation. *Chemie Ingenieur Technik*, *89*(5), 542–561. https://doi.org/10.1002/cite.201600175

Thorpe, P. A. (2022). *A Dynamically Reconciled Digital Twin for Operations Optimization and Decision Support*. https://doi.org/10.2118/210987-ms

Todescato, M., Braholli, O., Chaltsev, D., Blasio, I. D., Don, D., Egger, G., Emig, J., Monizza, G. P., Sacco, P., Siegele, D., Steiner, D., Terzer, M., Riedl, M., Giusti, A., & Matt, D. T. (2023).

Sustainable Manufacturing Through Application of Reconfigurable and Intelligent Systems in Production Processes: A System Perspective. *Scientific Reports*, *13*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-49727-5

Udugama, I. A., Öner, M., López, P. C., Beenfeldt, C., Bayer, C., Huusom, J. K., Gernaey, K. V, & Sin, G. (2021). Towards Digitalization in Bio-Manufacturing Operations: A Survey on Application of Big Data and Digital Twin Concepts in Denmark. *Frontiers in Chemical Engineering*, *3*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fceng.2021.727152

Yahyaoui, Z., Hajji, M., Mansouri, M., & Bouzrara, K. (2023). One-Class Machine Learning Classifiers-Based Multivariate Feature Extraction for Grid-Connected PV Systems Monitoring Under Irradiance Variations. *Sustainability*, *15*(18), 13758. https://doi.org/10.3390/su151813758

Zhang, D., Rio-Chanona, E. A. d., Petsagkourakis, P., & Wagner, J. L. (2019). Hybrid Physics-based and Data-driven Modeling for Bioprocess Online Simulation and Optimization. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, *116*(11), 2919–2930. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.27120